# BERNARD SHAW FRANK HARRIS & OSCAR WILDE



# By ROBERT HARBOROUGH SHIFAID

The Life of Oscar Wilde. With an additional chapter contributed by one of the prison warders who held this unhappy the in pail.

Robert Ross, Wilde's literary executor, prote of this book. It is

ndmirably done, and Sherard has a recommenced described with a real tact and discretion." This volume place the true facts of his causes a writer, his biography, and an account of his hierary work in the many fields in which he so greatly distinguished the ell.

The Life, Work and Evil Fale of Gu, de Mantarent and pages.

48 Illustrations Cloth 78 6d net
This is the standard life of Manparant for which the Ire of 60 err
ment have awarded his Sherard the Cron of the Ire in a H coMr. Sherard gives us in these pages a very consistent a confiler

ment have awarded it. Sherard the Cross of the Lerdys of Hearth Mr. Sherard gives us in there passes a very complete a manifely locating study of his personal friend. Guy, the Maupa and Hearth at sembes Guy s extraordinary cases. His deliberate descends on the continuity cases.

his early and sudden burst into fame

"Ten more interesting books of its kind have need the last of the reasons."—Sunday Times

T WERNER LAURIF LTD

# BERNARD SHAW FRANK HARRIS

ලා

# OSCAR WILDE

BY
ROBERT HARBOROUGH SHERARD

WITH A PREFACE BY LORD ALFRED DOUGLAS AND AN ADDITIONAL CHAPTER BY HUGH KINGSMILL

Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy ne ghbour

T WERNER LAURIE LTD
COBHAM HOUSE WATER LANE
LONDON, EC4

# MADAME MADELEINE CLÉMENCEAU JACQUEMAIRE

THE GIFTED DAUGHTER OF AN ILLUSTRIOUS FATHER
THIS BOOK IS RESPECTFULLY
DEDICATED



### CONTENTS

CHAP		PAGE
	Preface (BY LORD ALFRED DOUGLAS)	11
I	THE RAISON D ETRE OF THIS BOOK	17
II	THE ROMANCE OF THE 'WILDE ROSE'	28
Ш	EXALTED DUPES OF LITERARY IMPOSTURES	42
IV	Oscar on the Pictures	55
v	THE MAN AND THE BOOK	67
VI	SIR FRANK BENSON TO THE RESCUE	78
VII	DID SHAW MEAN 'VIPER ?	94
VIII	WILDE ATS WHISTLER (HARRIS INVERVENING)	106
ΙX	O HENRY? OH! HARRIS	119
x	HARRISS MANY INVENTIONS	131
ΧI	A POSTSCRIPT TO THACKERAY	145
$x_{II}$	THE MEANDERINGS OF MUNCHAUSEN	167
XIII	THE FLYING DUTCHMAN AT ERITH	189
VIX	WHERE 'FAKIR BOLTS	-04
xv	FRANK HARRIS AND DE PROFUNDIS	220
IVX	HARRISS FALSETTO TOP NOTE	236
XVII	A Great Romantic Passion'	254
IIIV	How Frank Put Oscar in Easy Street	270
XIX	THE ACE UP MY SLEEVE	285
XX	A FACER FOR SHAW	298
XXI	PHARAOH HARDENS HIS HEART (BY HUGH KINGSMILL)	309
	INDEX	313

#### PREFACE

#### BY LORD ALFRED DOUGLAS -

THE whirliging of Time continues to bring in his revenges in the matter of Oscar Wilde, and it is certainly an odd turn of the wheel that I should be writing a Preface to a book about him by his oldest, most faithful and most chivalrous friend, Robert Harborough Sherard

There was a time when Mr Sherard and I disagreed rather acrimoniously over Wilde, and it has taken years of slow-grinding mills, and buckets of mud slung by, among others, Frank Harris, to bring us together again, united in our devotion to the memory of a great genius and cruelly ill treated and injured man

I have to admit, with deep regret, that at one period I reacted violently against Wilde after having almost worshipped him for twenty years. In spite of the obvious protocation I received when I read for the first time in 1912, twelve years after his death, the attack' he made on me in his prison letter, part of which was faked up by the late Robert Ross under the title De Prefundis, I blame and reproach myself for having turned against him as I did when I published, in 1914, a book called Oscar Wilde and Myself, most of which was written by the late T W H Crosland I have already publicly repudiated this book and have admitted in my Autobiography (published 1929) that a great deal of what I said, about Wilde at that time was unfair and untrue, but as Mr Sherard has referred to the book in

his present publication I wish to repeat what I have written, and once more to put it formally on record that I withdraw what I said against Oscar Wilde in that book I deeply regret that the book was ever published

Partly my reaction against Wilde was due to wounded feelings (for his attack on me in *De Profundis*, though I now regard it as pathetically harmless, was very unfair and quite undeserved) and partly it was due to an imperfect apprehension of Catholic principles

Foolishly and unworthily I allowed my anger at the revelation of his unkind attack on me who had been, and remained faithfully to the day of his death his dearest friend, to warp my judgement and to cloud my sense of justice. I was then a recent convert to Catholicism and like most converts I tried to be more Catholic than the Catholics, and thus by spiritual pride was for the time being deprived of charity

For let there be no mistake about this, the sending of Oscar Wilde to prison for his private immoralities or sins was an offence against Christian charity for which there can be no forgiveness for England till she admits her guilt and repents of it. For what she did she is rightly hated and denounced as a hypocrite in every country in Europe and indeed all over the civilized world not excluding the United States of America.

Needless to say, I do not defend Oscar Wilde's immoralities, as a Catholic I am bound to reprobate them I have always been orthodox all my life, and when I was a Pagan in my youth I was an orthodox Pagan, and therefore necessarily in revolt against Christian morality As soon as I was converted, I changed my views and my conduct, so there has never been any inconsistency about me in these regards That Wilde was, as I have called him before, a Heresiarch and a most powerful and convincing one, does not alter the fact that he was a man of enormous genius, and that his influence on the

whole of literary Europe has been more profound than that of any other English writer except Shakespeare

That is not to say that I put him on the level of Shakespeare or that I give him a supreme place in English literature. I am alluding to the place which he actually occupies in European estimation, and not to the position in which I myself would place him, but I will say of him that even if he had never written a line of prose or poetry he would still be the most wonderful man I ever met, and so far beyond the ordinary "good talker that I have never been able to discover anyone who was in the same class with him or even remotely approached it

It is a fine thing to be a great talker, and it is a piece of good fortune when a supreme talker also happens, as in the case of Oscar Wilde, to be a first class writer, because while talk evaporates and is lost, writing remains. Thus we are still able to imagine how good Oscar Wilde was as a talker by reading Intentions and The Importance of Being Earnest, whereas no one could ever believe that, for example, Whistler was in the same talking class as Wilde after reading the Five O clock Lecture or The Baronet and the Butterfly Wilde talked even better than he wrote, and those who never heard him can thus arrive at an estimate of how good a talker he was He was as much above Whistler as a wit and conversationalist as he was as a writer The Importance of Being Earnest (I know that here Mr Sherard disagrees with me) is so good that it is impossible to compare it with any comedy of a less calibre than Twelfth Night or As You Like It or Much Ado About Nothing Whereas anyone who attempted to compare Whistler's published writings with Shakespeare would rightly be considered a fool

But perhaps I am over labouring my point which is simply that Wilde was probably the greatest talker who ever lived, not excepting Socrates who lacked Wilde's sense of humour It was this wonderful man, this supreme product of culture and civilization whom the English (led by the nose by my poor father, the eighth Marquis of Queensberry) in a fit of canting hypocrisy and Caliban fury broke on the wheel and hurled into their filthy prisons where he was tortured into the loss of his wonderful powers Well, I hope they are proud of it!

I always had an instructive feeling that once Oscar Wilde had been sent to prison, prison became the obvious goal for any self-respecting English poet, and I never rested till I got there. It took me about twenty-five years to do it, but I succeeded in the end, and I did six months' imprisonment in the Second Division for libelling Mr. Winston Churchill about the Battle of Jutland. The result is that I am one of the very few English men of letters now living, or who has been living since 1895, who can go to bed every night without feeling more or less ashamed of being an Englishman (strictly speaking I am not an Englishman as I am of almost pure Scots descent, but I am an English poet and have lived in England nearly all my life)

Of course I do not pretend (I wish I could) that at the time I went to prison I deliberately did so as a protest against the treatment of Wilde On the contrary, at that particular moment I was against Wilde, but looking back at it all now I see quite plainly that it was the persecution and imprisonment of Wilde which turned me into the enemy and flail of English hypocrisy and cant and cruelty which I became and because of which, among other things, I shall be remembered

As often as not one does things without knowing why one does them, but if one is in good faith and in possession of Grace one does the right thing, broadly speaking, every time

But I fear I am writing too much about myself, even though it be only in relation to Wilde, so let me avoid further autobiographical outbursts and say that this book in my opinion

is a noble and chivalrous defence of Oscar Wilde by the one man who knew and loved him in an entirely disinterested way from the standpoint of the normal man, a man, too, who was just as much anti Wilde's vices as my father was! Indeed I have more than once warned Mr Sherard that he does not know as much about Wilde's private life as do many other of Wilde's friends, and that he must beware of going too far in 'whitewashing' Wilde or in trying to prove too much in his favour. There were sides of Wilde's character of which Mr Sherard knew nothing

But I do not think that any decent or reasonable man (and from this category I would not exclude even Mr Bernard Shaw, who still goes on saying that Harris a disgusting and filthy book about Wilde is 'much the best intimate portrait that it likely to be draten') could read Mr Sherard's utterly convincing exposure of Harris's clumsy and wicked lies and his ignoble motives, without feeling a little ashamed of the pleased acceptance of a foul libel on a great and wonderful man which was (and is still as far as I know) the official English verdict on Frank Harris's essay in mud-slinging for profit which he called The Life and Confessions of Occar Wilde

Harris's lies and libels about myself are of little importance, as it happens, because being still alive and well equipped to defend myself I was able to refute them and to force him to a written acknowledgement of their utter filsity and absurdity I have indeed succeeded in stopping the sale of Harris's vile book in England, though I have agreed, to oblige Mrs Harris, to its republication on certain stringent conditions, but it is still read by thousands in America and all over Europe in Davray's perfidious translation, and if it were not for Mr Sherard it would still go down to posterity (with the blessing of Mr Bernard Shaw and other "such dear souls") as a true picture of Oscar Wilde

On the other hand, I suppose one may extract consolation from the fact that all history largely consists of corrected and contradicted lies. To vindicate Oscar Wilde it may have been necessary that Harris's foul and poisonous slanders on the dead man whose friend he so impudently professed to be should first gain currency, and that the courage and fidelity of the friend of his youth (Sherard knew Oscar at least eight years before I did) should ultimately prevail against them

As I have said elsewhere it is an error of optimism to suppose that truth in the long run is bound to get the better of false-hood as far as this world is concerned, there will always be men of ill-will who reject the truth even when it is self-evident. But if truth is not invincible, it is at any rate very powerful and, like Toussaint l'Ouverture in the magnificent sonnet of Mr Sherard's great-grandfather, Wordsworth, it has "great allies," the chief of which "Love and man's unconquerable mind" find noble expression in this offering of undying friendship and loyalty, the present book

ALFRED DOUGLAS

ST Ann's Court, Hove

July, 1936

# GEORGE, FRANK AND OSCAR

#### \_\_\_\_\_

### THE RAISON DETRE OF THIS BOOK

Except for the experience guined by over half a century devoted to the production of books, which has trught me that an author's preface is rarely if ever read, the following chapter would have appeared in the form of an introduction to this book and consequently the fact that this work is an absolutely indispensable contribution to the history of English men of letters in the innetcenth century (as established by the following prefatory explaination) night have been overlooked, neglected and ignored

Some years ago the late Frank Harris, who died about a lustre since in Nice, full of years and well, no, hardly that—published in America a book in two volumes called The Life and Confessions of Otear Wilde Having offered in wain to almost every publisher in New York, he was so fortunate as to find a capitalist—one Mr Otto kahn—who advanced him the money necessary for the production of the book, which he then proceeded to issue to the public in the States at prices varying from 5 to 50 dollars. It was cheaply produced in Germany and was published by the author from 29 Waverley Place, NYC According to his statement made in 1925 to Lord Alfred Douglas he was successful in disposing of 40,000 copies of this book, which justified the latter in saying that Harris must have made a fortune out of a biography to which he, Lord Alfred, took the strongest

17

exception It was indeed almost more cruel towards him than towards Wilde, but unfortunately for Harris he had not in Douglas a dead man to slander, but a gentleman of fighting instincts and very much alive. A man from the country where the thistle is an emblem and the nemo me impune lacessit is a slogan

When one approaches Frank Harris, or his concerns, one becomes swathed in a miasma of mendacity and every single statement of his, one realizes, has to be regarded with suspicion, It seems rather difficult to believe his oftis sujet à caution repeated statement that already in 1922 he had sold 40,000 copies of the book One has or ought to have considerable respect for the crassa Minerva of the Americans, for one knows that if wooden nutmegs and gold bricks do occasionally find purchasers among them, they are as a nation shrewd business-men and it is not easy to imagine 40,000 solid citizens parting with from 5 to 50 dollars apiece for a book which not one single publisher in the States would publish and which was being issued privately by an individual, who was known to have left England under a cloud and as to whose trustworthiness the gravest doubts were warranted is all the more difficult to believe the statement because as usual, Frank Harris, either from negligence, or oversight, or mere contempt for his public, has left patent to the most careless observer proofs of his divergence from fact

In 1922 he told Mr J. Stuart Young who was then staying with him in Nice, and who considers his book on Wilde "his old friend's best and noblest effort," that he had sold over 40,000 copies of *The Life and Confessions* and that he "had made three thousand pounds in 'royalties' from it" (The inverted commas to royalties are mine)

In 1925, he repeats this statement to Lord Alfred Douglas, who was also staying with him

In 1929, to be exact, on October 15th, I received from

Nice, where Harris was still hving, a new copy of the biography I had had considerable difficulty in procuring it. A lidy friend in Nice obtained it for me from Harris s flat in the rue Buffault, receiving it in exchange for 125 francs from a 'pretty lady, very pleasant' It was impossible for me, though I was aware of Harris s address, to communicate with him directly. I was the last person in the world to whom he would have sold a copy of his book, though already at that time his circumstances were very unprosperous and a very large stock of unsold copies of the work were stacked up in his apartment.

I knew all about the book, which I lind read in the seventh edition of its translation into French by Henry D Davray and Madeleine Vernon published in 1928 by the publishers of Le Mercure de France I had recognized many passages borrowed from my own books on Wilde and I was curious to see to what extent Frank Harris had copied my ipusima werba

From the dates on the title pages of the two volumes it would appear that the book I had received in 1929 had been printed in 1918. This must be the date of the s cond printing. The first edition was produced in America in 1916. It is difficult to believe that if Harris had sold 40,000 copies of a book published in 1916 he would have continued to issue copies of the 1918 printing without any mention of the enormous sale it had enjoyed. I am presuming the German printers stereotyped all the pages, even the title-pages, and that consequently no change in the date was made. But the fact that the work was printed in Germany is announced on the title page by means of a common india rubber stamp in purple letters. This, no doubt, was done in compliance with some Federal law on imported printed matter. Harris having no objection to defacing his title pages, was it only modesty that prevented him from using another purple ink india-

rubber stamp to inform the 1929 buyers of this work that though dated 1918 it was the 40,000th of the book? He took out his USA copyright in 1916 as mentioned on the back of the title-page of my copy

On the back fly-leaf of Vol 2 there is pasted a yellow leaflet advertising, as from the rue du Helder, Paris, the "Works of Frank Harris" in which my copy of the biography is described as the "Tenth and First complete edition"

In the dossier about Frank Harris which I have been collecting for over twenty years, I find a letter dated June 5th, 1921, addressed to me by a gentleman residing in California, which seems to go to confirm the falsity of Harris's statement that at that time he was making the income that such a sale as he boasted about would have provided

I have just been having an argument [writes this correspondent] with Mr Harris over a sale It seems he offered me a de luxe copy, handsomely bound, of the first edition of his book the Life and Confessions of Oscar Wilde, together with a facsimile letter of Alfred Douglas, the book to contain a great many of his personal remarks as well Furthermore, he said he was very loath to part with this copy, but if I specially wanted it, would take fifty dollars for it I ordered when they came, I was very much disappointed, because what he referred to as his personal remarks was confined to one small paragraph scrawled in pencil at the foot of the appendix I sent the books back to him post-haste and demanded my money back -which was a very foolish thing to do, because Mr Harris then said the books were not sent to me on approval and that he was holding them to my order After waiting a week or so, I wired him to return me the books and Douglas's letter at my expense Since then I have heard nothing

There seemed to be here something worse than I had expected from Harris and so I was glad when, on July 9th of the same year, I received a second letter from my correspondent in Del Rey telling me that he had received the books back from Harris He said "The bindings are beautiful, red

morocco He goes on to give me a copy of Alfred Douglas s facsimile letter which was included in the deal. It was apparently not published in the 1916 edition but appears in the 1918 issue printed in Germany. This is the famous Charlee letter-which might equally well have been known as the 'Robert letter masmuch as Lord Alfred tells his friend that he is looking me up. The Charlie in question was, as Douglas proved, a perfectly proper and desirable companion. The letter was written in rather extrivagant terms certainly (which can, of course, be attributed to the writers poignant distress and his desire to comfort and console), but only very evil minded people could see in it the suggestion that the milicious Harris wished to convey I only refer to it here just to show the nature of Harris's traffickings and how hard up he must have been to be forced to sell his own copy of his book with such a premium thrown in Having this in mind it is difficult to believe that his book had had the enormous circulation which he claimed for it

Unfortunately, however, there can be no doubt that the foreign translations of the book have had a very wide sale in Europe Davry claims for the French version, perpetrated by him and Madanie Vernon, that as a commercial proposition this book has been and is more successful and remunerative than a 'best-seller novel, a roman d nucls'. In the Mercure de France for March 1st, 1928, he quotes a number of enthusiastic reviews of The Life and Conferment, many of them signed by people whose names really are of significance in French literature

What is of more importance, however, is that the book has been regarded as a truthful portrait of Oscar Wilde and an accurate account of his career by various recent biographers, who have drawn on it in ever, was in combination with my own three books for the purposes of their compilations on Oscar Wilde Monsieur L F Choisy, Professor at the

University of Geneva, for instance, who in 1927 published through Perrin & Cie a long book about him, makes free use of Harris's statements as facts in Wilde's career

There is no doubt that much of what Dr Renier and André Gide have published about Wilde would never have been written but for Harris's book

In October 1931 M Léon Lemonnier published through La Nouvelle Revue Critique a Life of Oscar Wilde which has been both well reviewed and well received, and which should be of value to future biographers, as he has chronological exactitude and has been at some pains in collecting new facts about his subject. It contains notably one chapter entitled "La Condamnation d'Oscar Wilde et l'Opinion Française (1895-1897)" which is noteworthy as it gives a review with exact dates of the more important articles published about Wilde after his arrest and after his trial introductory chapter of his biography he admits once for all his indebtedness to Harris's biography and mine, making ample use of these two books and of my other writings on Wilde, but proceeds to use our various statements without further indicating which work he is quoting from His biography accordingly contains many statements transcribed from The Life and Confessions which are given in the narrative as facts, but which are sheer lies

In other countries also writers on Oscar Wilde have made use of Harris's "facts," which are not facts at all but perfidious slanders, and there is no doubt that unless the utter falsity of Harris's book is once and for all made patent, it will be used by future writers as a genuine description of the man and a veracious record of his acts and conversations. Wilde suffered enough in his lifetime and it is certainly unseemly that his lamentable and premature death should not have released him from his shameful pillory. Granted that the evil that men do lives after them, but let it be the evil that

they did do and not what they are represented as having done by self seeking chroniclers even when these are able to mask their perfidious purposes behind a camouflage of warm friendship

Harris has been successful in the main objects he had in mind when, after leaving England financially embarrassed, with all credit exhausted, and under a cloud, he decided to write the life of Wilde He made money out of it, though not as much as he would have people believe, and what was perhaps nearly as important in his estimation, he emerged from the transaction with the very highest reputation as a biographer In England the leading papers, such as The Times and the Athenæum, proclaimed it a masterpiece, but others-for instance, Upton Sinclair and Reginald Turner (to mention only two of several thursfers)-went even further and hailed The Life and Confessions as the best biography that has ever been written since biographers were, and, improving on Lord Macaulay, classed Harris as the first of Biographers (the majuscule is his L'dship s), Boswell, hardly worth placing as second and the rest not worth mentioning, in other words (borrowing his L dships simile from the race-course-flat racing, I would suggest in this instance) put Fakir' first, 'Eclipse a very bad second and the rest nowhere

In France, to judge from the Press notices, which H D Davray prints in Le Mercure de France of March 1st, 1928, the reception of the French version was an even more triumphant one Henri de Regnier (whose election to the French Academy I predicted years before he became a member of that distinguished but hardly literary company), having met Wilde once or twice in Paris before the fall, gave a very fine picture of him in his Figures et Caractives and describes Harriss a portrayal of Wilde as 'the truest and most life like portrait which has been drawn of him I doubt that when Harris, full of wine and libel, sat down to his table to indite his fame

and money-producing book and yielding to his fondness for classical quotations, exclaimed "Populus vult decipi, decipiatur," he ever imagined that here populus would include not only a distinguished French Academician but a large number of other eminent writers

These tributes to his skill as a biographer and as a photographic liminer would please Harris greatly, but where his satisfaction would overflow his cup of joy would be in the appreciations of himself as a Man Reading these tributes to him as reprinted by H D Davray I cannot help recalling that scene in Martin Chuzzlewit, where Mrs Todgers's boarders serenade Pecksniff not only as an Artist but as a Man And indeed in his self-seeking, his pretence of friendship where only a fierce desire to exploit existed, his unctuous moralizings, indeed in his very lachrimosity (he weeps throughout the two volumes over his "friend's" woes till almost every page of the books has its watermark) there is much in him to remind us of Dickens's masterly creation

We are asked to admire his bravery in penning his denunciation of English society, published abroad where he was out of the reach of the law, and of his equal courage in proclaiming himself the friend of such an outcast, at the time when the outcast was that no longer, when he had become a European celebrity and when to be able to say that one had "seen Oscar plain," and more than once, in his very lifetime, sounded not only "strange and true" but a distinction. What fourteen years previously had been a stigma and a reproach had become almost a recommendation.

We are told as to the artist, Harris, that "he is not only one of the most beautiful writers who do honour to contemporary Anglo-Saxon literature," but as to Harris, the man, that he is "one of the loftiest, straightest and most independent characters living" and "a fine specimen of superior humanity"

Again "Nor do we speak of the courage that Mr Harris

shows in the judgement of men and their laws, nor of his heart so nobly passionate that the moment one opens his book one feels it beating

And again There is nobody having read this admirable work who does not feel a deep admiration and a sincere affection at the same time for Oscar Wilde and for Frank Harris himself Mr Frank Harris has written an admirable book which gives as much honour to his intelligence as to his heart?

And again 'a complete narrative, the poignant and pathetic labour of ten years of a good fellow and a brave man' (Un brave homme et un homme brave) A strong, a very strong personality Mr Frank Harris When you have read The Life and Confessions of Oscar Wilde you will feel the highest esteem for the character of Mr Frank Harris And so on Harris comes out splendidly from his own account of his relations to and dealings with Oscar Wilde, but what about Oscar himself?

George Bernard Shaw, we read from his own pen, feels justified, after reading the whole two volumes at one sitting, in drawing from it the conclusion that Wilde ended and died an unproductive drunkard and swindler, besides having during his career as shown on Harris's authority displayed quite a number of most deplorable characteristics

But we will come to Shaw later Here we are concerned with the impressions about Wilde which French writers of eminence derived from Harris's description of him and his way of living I will give a typical pronouncement. It comes from the pen of Mattre Jose Théry, a leading French barrister who is always briefed in any big literary case and whose writings in Le Matin are read with high appreciation. I will preface my citation, however, with the remark that it seems to be extraordinary that a man like Thery, accustomed to sifting evidence and the very last man in the world to form an

opinion on the bare, unsubstantiated statement of a solitary witness, about whose trustworthiness and credibility he knows nothing, should have printed such a conclusion about Oscar Wilde without taking the slightest trouble to inquire into the authenticity of Harris's facts Théry has, of course, a telephone in his chambers and might easily have called up the Paris Préfecture de Police with the special request to be put into direct communication with Monsieur Chiappe, the Prefect himself Being thus in conversation with the head of the Paris police, he might have asked a question or two, which Chiappe, who is a lover of literature, would have been all the more delighted to answer (speaking to so distinguished a lawyer) that he was as a young man personally acquainted with Wilde and saw him in Paris-they both frequented the same café-many times during Wilde's-last miserable years there

In the meanwhile here is Théry against Oscar Wilde after the mere reading of Harris's concoctions "How fine a book!" he writes "No novel, no drama evolved from the imagination equals it in grandeur and in interest!" He continues by saying that until he read this book he had conceived that Wilde's tragedy finished with his imprisonment, with a disgraced and tortured and penitent Wilde

"But," he adds, "—and here is what is really dramatic and new—after the disgrace, after the torture, Oscar Wilde, speedily abandoning his feeble wish to amend and to make reparation, plunges into vice anew, indefatigably pursues his moral and physical degradation, becomes sordidly debauchee, becomes a liar, a swindler (escroc), a drunkard, accumulating on his head every possible infamy as though it were imperative that his ruin should be so complete that not the least room should be left for sympathy and pity"

He concludes "Had he, in his conversations, imagined such a tragedy? I do not know, but one can say that he turned

his life into the most terrible drama that can be imagined After ruining a splendid existence, after suffering the worst humiliations and the cruellest treatment, at the very moment when the martyr's crown of those who have been unjustly executed is about to descend on his head, he thrusts this crown aside and proceeds to a fresh outbreak whereby all chances of sympathy and pity shall be destroyed. He wishes to make no amends. He makes one think of an executed criminal coming out of his tomb to trample underfoot the flowers scattered by pious hands over his resting place.

I remember wondering when I read Thery's article, what Harns, having read it also, might be thinking in his sunny apartment on the Riviera and I was forced to come to the conclusion that it probably pleased him very much as likely to increase materially that months droits d auteur, to be divided between himself and the translators. I should say that not the vestige of a qualm beset him, that not the faintest tinge of blush red mounted to his cheeks while, busy with brown paper and string, he was making up his pornographic parcels for the promptest international airplane delivery

## CHAPTER II

# THE ROMANCE OF THE "WILDE ROSE"

I AM afraid I wondered less what Henry D Davray-I know nothing about Madame Madeleine Vernon or que diable allast-elle faire dans cette galère-may have thought when he had realized from Maître Théry's article what sort of an Oscar Wilde he, Davray, had presented to the French public Probably even more keenly than Harris did he appreciate the "pulling" power of this description of the book and its hero For the simple reason that, financially, he was even more interested in the sales of the French version than Harris himself, as he would have his share not only in the royalties earned, but a part also of the publisher's profits, for Davray has capital invested in the Mercure de France publishing business one could not help asking oneself whether he did not hesitate as Wilde's whilom friend and beneficiary before publishing in the periodical issued by his firm, the extract from which I have quoted above and which represents Oscar Wilde as a sordid debauchee, a liar, a drunkard and a swindler Davray knew that Wilde was none of these things He used to frequent him in Paris during the period when, according to Harris, Wilde was developing these characteristics and he must have known how utterly he had been misrepresented was twenty years younger than Wilde when they were so much together in 1898, and he had not then particularly distinguished himself in any way, nor was it till two years later that the first of his literary labours (a translation of Sir Edmund Gosse's Modern English Literature) attracted any

attention to his name It was, therefore, only Wilde's native kindness and—not to mince words—his ready condescension that procured Davray's access to the author of The Ballad of Reading Gaol, and the latter's acceptance of his offer to put it into French prose and to secure its publication in the same Mercure de France in which thirty years later, by his deliberate agency, his friend and literary sponsor was so shamefully to be pilloried

Davray gives some account of his relations with Wilde in 1898, when they were working together on this translation He makes the curious claim that Wilde asked him to do the translation He also publishes some of Wilde s letters to him. All this in the book entitled Occar Wilde La Tragédie Finale, published by the Mercure de France

It was through the Dayray translation of The Life and Confessions that I first became acquainted with Harris's iniquitous book It did not highly impress me with the translator s intelligence or knowledge of literature Davray as a Parisian must have known that Harris's account of Wilde's behaviour in Paris was an utterly impossible one, that the squalid story told in the chapter headed Une Grande Passion Romantique was an invention which is almost as big an insult to the Paris Prefecture and the Paris Head Military Command as it is to poor Wilde-as I am at pains to demonstrate farther on-and that many other of the scenes laid in Paris are fakes which should need no rebuttal to a Parisian of ordinary nous and honesty Even the densest gobe mouche would refuse to believe that Esterhazy at luncheon with Wilde and Harris at Durand's publicly confessed in the hearing of all and sundry that he was the author of the bordereau, or that Emilienne d Alençon would hurry from her loge at Olympia to sup with a clochard and a vague journalist on a mere whistle from the latter And apart from this Davray must have known that Wilde was in no respects the man that Harris represented

Yet he translates and publishes this book knowing it to be full of every kind of slander and malicious or idiotic fabrication, and to further the sale of his version quotes the opinion of high-placed but still more egregious gobe-mouches, such as Maître Théry, who have at least the excuse that they were not acquainted with Harris or his record and did not know Wilde

With the translation as such I have no particular fault to find, and was interested to see how passages lifted by Harris almost verbally from my books read in the French. In passing such passages in review I came by the way on one which Harris had annexed from me—the passage where I write of Balzac's comments, in La Cousine Bette, on those semi-artists who passent leur vie à se parler. In his transcript of this passage Harris gave as his own a quotation from Balzac which I had referred to, and prints it without quotation marks as one of his own clever remarks. Davray, whose literary achievements fill nearly half a column of Who's Who and have brought him several decorations, translates this passage and leaves it to be gathered by the illiterate reader that it was Harris and not Balzac who penned the famous axiom.

Le travail constant est la loi de l'art, comme celle de la vie, car l'art c'est la création idéalisée

In the Davray translation (Vol 11, p 139) this axiom, borrowed from Balzac by Harris, through Sherard, appears as Harris's own wise remark

Créer constamment est la première condition de l'art, comme c'est aussi la première condition de la vie

Harris plagiarizing Balzac, and Davray passing it on to the French this is one reason why I said that Davray shows a certain ignorance of French literature

Further to aggravate his offence against Wilde and to

exploit the British market with his book, Harns readily agreed in 1925 to write a new preface to the 1918 edition, in which he undertook to withdraw his monstrous charges against Alfred Douglas and to attribute the origin of all these charges to the malice of Oscar Wilde and the perfidy of Robert Ross

A copy of this fresh attack by Harris on Wilde in emendation of his Life and Confessions was sent to George Bernard Shaw directly it came out, as I was informed some years ago by a correspondent, who naively adds 'He could, doubtless, if he would, contradict some of Harris's lies Been au contraire It did not affect his original opinion on the excellence of his friend's portrait of Wilde in the least, as is proved by the letter which he wrote to Alfred Douglas and which the latter included in his preface to the new edition of his Autobiography

Now it is just these pronouncements by George Bernard Shaw—these imprimaturs which he delivers to Frank Harris on his biography of Wilde in general and on his portrayal of the man in particular—that have finally roused me to issue this book

Because, though Shaw does not seem to have much political influence in England, his word on matters literary gets a tremendous hearing. And the same the world over. The log to which he puts his hands rolls forward with a mighty impetus. And though Harris has told us that Shaw is not going to survive otherwise than as a personality in the future, it is quite certain that for a good long time to come, posterity, while admiring the man, will also allow itself to be guided by the literary critic and counsellor. So that unless Harris's book is definitely and effectively exposed as a worthless fabrication and his portrait of Wilde (from which the impressions recorded above are derived by people who either did not know him at all, like Maitre Thery, or had only met him five or six times, like Shaw) is shown to be an utterly false misrepre-

sentation, physical, mental and moral, of this pre-eminent man, future students of English literature will be induced into grievous error and injustice and a high offence against the Republic of Letters allowed to become permanent in its malignant effect

I am not attacking a dead man I am dealing with what I consider a vile attack on another dead man, for whom I had a great admiration and a sincere affection. I explain farther on what were my relations with Harris and that there was much in him that I liked and admired But now that he is dead, this evil that he has done, this cruel traducement, under a mask of friendship, of a great and unhappy man, is not going to live after him if I can possibly help it As long as Harris was alive, I allowed him to enjoy in peace to the last minute of his life the benefits in esteem and money that accrued to him from this evil act of his, all the more readily because I heard he was not very prosperous, that the man I remembered as buoyant, of radio-active vitality and an Ajax-like attitude towards the world, had come in his old age to no pleasant Latium, but "frail, feeble and given to somnolence," was fighting an up-hill battle for the existence (on lines of luxury certainly) of himself and the devoted wife whom he cherished His offences against me in his book only once prompted me to a very mild protest in the Mercure de France (May 1st, 1928) This was in the form of a letter I wrote to M André Maurois who had been violently attacked in that review by Frank Harris (April 15th, 1928) in connection with the ridiculous charges of plagiarism brought against Maurois by a writer called Auriant Harris, backing up this Auriant, had addressed a letter about André Maurois to the editor, of which one can say it was penned with even more "deliberate, studied and wounding insolence" (to quote GBS) than the very reprehensible letter that Wilde wrote to the Pall Mall Gazette on September 22nd, 1894 (when his mental condition would

not have perplexed any psychiatrist for one single moment) about T P O Connor Murois answered this letter, in which Harris had accused him of plagratizing in Maurois's Études Anglasses certain passages in The Life and Confessions, and quoted a letter I had written to him when the charges by Aurunt in connection with the Ltudes Anglaises had first been made. In this letter I had told Maurois not to worry about the matter, for that several of the passages which he was accused of having stolen from Frank Harris had been taken by Frank Harris from one or other of my books about Wilde, that all that I had written about my late friend, having been written for the purpose of trying to rehabilitate him in public opinion, belonged to the public and such authors as cared to make use of it I added I have never protested aguinst any borrowings in the matter of Wilde, and almost every book that has been written about this unliappy man has proceeded from my writings, but that does not mean to say that those who have borrowed from me (mes emprunteurs) have any right to claim the ownership of my souvenits Harris never answered this letter of Andre Maurois s, which also contained a letter from Sir Edmund Gosse telling Maurois that English readers view with indignation the perfidious attacks which are made on you, and assuring him of the admiration with which the British public regards his treatment of English themes, especially with reference to his critical faculty Harris no doubt had imagined me to be long dead and, like Aurclien Scholl when the demise of Alphonse Karr was announced, would have exclaimed Quoi! Encore! if he had heard I had died Harris prudently observed my caveat and remained mumehance and so I left lum alone

That I resent Shaw's statement that Harris's book has wiped out all preceding biographies because this may affect the sales of my books is a suggestion which I answer merely by shrugging my shoulders. I have never tried to make one

penny profit out of these writings about my dead friend, and in view of the expenses incurred in their production should have had a deficit to face, the sums paid me for their copyrights falling short of the total of my expenses, if certain payments from foreign publishers for translation rights had not just about squared accounts

The idea of any pecuniary benefits from such a source, let Zoilus know, is abhorrent to my nature. I do not suppose that he will understand, but there is the simple irrefutable fact. With regard to that other profit which accrues to the writer from work well done, the author of a biography of a man with a story like that of Wilde would be a fool should he look for much else than contumely and reprobation, especially when, as in my case, little more than a year had passed over his dishonoured grave before I took the pen in hand determined to present to the world a different picture of him than the public conception of the man who had described himself with his own pen as scated between Gilles de Retz and the Marquis de Sade in the lowest depths of Malebolge.

When I read that description to-day I think it is a pity Wilde did not live to see the complete rehabilitation of the author of Justine, and his ever-growing influence on the trend of British fiction. He would assuredly have revised this sentence as indicating the loathsome depths to which he had been hurled

I wrote that first book of mine at a time when I did not expect to live to finish it. That was thirty-four years ago I wrote it because I considered it an act of immanent justice to do so. It had its effect, a most unexpected and striking effect, and induced many people in different parts of the world, but mainly in Germany and France, to reconsider their judgement on the poor outcast in the grave in Bagneux Cemetery A direct consequence was the publication first in Germany and afterwards in England of *De Profundis*, with all that that

meant to Wilde's rehabilitation as a writer And then fifteen years later comes Frank Harris, who had failed very justly as a journalist because of his improbity in that employ and very unjustly as an author because the literary career is a lottery, and because for reasons that nobody has ever yet fathomed it is rarely that the prizes of success and fame go to the most meritorious This ruined, bankrupt, disappointed man, who had seen his fine efforts in literary achievement passed over with contemptuous indifference and who had no other means of getting the large sums of money necessary for the purchase of the mud honey of life, without which existence seemed to him undesirable and impossible, resolved to win notoriety where fame had been denied him, to make money in a profession which had led him to bankruptcy and imprisonment—and so, wretched and sick at heart, I have no doubt, deliberately turned to pornography I do not believe that until then he had ever had the idea of exploiting his acquaintance with Oscar Wilde for literary purposes At a time when a public expression of opinion on his part might have helped the prisoner and the social outcast, he preserved the strictest silence. There was no tribute from him after his mournful death. Then the way in which my writings were received even in England and the phenomenal success of De Profundis suggested to him that there might be fame and what was really all he wanted, money, in a work on Wilde The idea waxed within him and when everything else had failed and he had been forced to leave England sans esprit de retour, he determined to put it into execution He no doubt gave the question of the way of treating his subject the most careful consideration What would be the best way of making the book a best seller and at the same time win for himself, Harris, the approbation that is not refused to the self sacrificing friend? He decided to depict Oscar Wilde as having been a moral leper from his boyhood upwards and to

reserve to himself the rôle of Father Damien He decided that his work should be one of veiled pornographic tendency, for he calculated, I am afraid with reason, that the large public did not want an apology for a man of genius who congenitally was predestined to homosexuality and by a disease contracted before he reached his prime was, before his death, to afford another deplorable example of the gliastly mental, moral and physical ruin which that disease effects in those on whom it has set its claws. He estimated shrewdly that the public did not want a martyr Wilde, that what it wanted was a depraved offender, ruthless in his vile purposes and deliberate in their fulfilment. This quality was to be the pièce de résistance of the literary table d'hôte to which guests were to sit down at five dollars "per," but there were to be all kinds of trimmings and kickshaws to glut even the most voracious appetite There was not to be the slightest restraint on his part in concocting his book. Wilde was not for one moment to be considered. The book had got to sell must be sensational au diable He must confess to him, Harris He must admit vile practices and he must try to defend them out of the stores of his scholarship and philosophy against Harris's erudition and morality. This would afford the author many opportunities of displaying his knowledge of the classics, or at least to dazzle his readers with its cogency and extent This would be all to the good. It would put him, Harris, in the most favourable light as regards normality and moral observance, while blackening Wilde to the utmost The consideration that not a single person who had even only a casual acquaintance with Wilde would for one moment believe in the authenticity of these "confessions," because any such person would know that even on his deathbed, when every fibre in his tortured brain and body was straining for the soothing balm of absolution, he would have found it impossible to speak of these things or to admit such nameless offenceseven at the cost of seeing the Eucharist withdrawn, is one that would not trouble Harris at all The book had got to sell It was not written for Wilde's few friends and acquaintances It was written for the large moneyed public which would not mind spending five dollars to be titillated and even thrilled The confessions, Wilde being dead, could never be disproved In the Catholic Church there is some rule that the secrecy of the confessional is inviolable. That is the Church's affair He, Harris, wasn't a priest and if for some extraordinary reason his friend chose to select him, Harris, as a Father Confessor, bound by no rules of secrecy, and knowing him to be a publicist eager for 'copy, to make to him appalling avoids, which his lips would certainly have refused to frame, even if the very salvation of his immortal soul had been at stake-well, that was his friend's affair. And see how on velvet he was and how good he was sitting, -that friend was dead and muted for ever The book had got to sell That he, Harris, might be blamed for printing conversations which consign this same dead friend to eternal infamy Well, that is an affair of etiquette and sentiment and such considerations cannot be allowed to interfere with the success of a sound and promising business proposition

Fortunately, it is possible to prove that these conversations never took place and that the confessions are purely imaginary. That is one of the purposes of my book. Another is to show that as a biography it is untrustworthy. Harris, beyond previously published works on Wilde such as my three, Gide's Memoires, some newspaper files and so on, had little material to work upon, though of course he had a great many personal souvenirs of Wilde, for which, however, he seems to have found little room in his book. The first volume is almost entirely made up from my books and the newspaper accounts of the Wilde trials. It is in the main an indictment of English society in the nineties. It is full of misstatements and slanders

The second volume, which has been described as an indictment of Wilde, is almost all pure "fake". His imagination here before one's eyes acquires more and more strength as it rolls along. He heaps Pelions of fabrication on Ossas of malignance. His contempt for the public he is duping becomes greater and greater as the clumsiness of his inventions becomes more and more apparent. And he succeeds in duping such intelligentsia as Henri de Régnier, Maître Théry and George Bernard Shaw, to say nothing of Upton Sinclair. All these have given him brevets of excellence both as a writer and as a friend, while Shaw has instructed the world that his portrait of Wilde is to be taken as by far the best portrayal that has been given of the man, though he does not say whether Harris's additions to his description as set forth in the "New Preface" have in any way modified this opinion which was delivered when he had only read the book itself

Among the many astonishing superhuman feats that this superman has accomplished in his long and strenuous lifetime, surely this is the most amazing. At one sitting-"at one stroke," he writes—he reads through a biography in two volumes forming a work of 612 pages, with 30 lines to a page and from 7 to 9 words to a line, that is to say a work of 146,880 words He reads through this work of 146,880 words obviously with the greatest care and attention because he knows that he is to express an opinion on it which will be promptly given to the world by the author of the book, who knows with what attention the world listens to Shaw's judgements and with what almost reverential eyes it looks to him for light and guidance Well, he tells us so himself and it is therefore an indisputable fact—he reads this book of nearly 150,000 words through at one stroke and with a full sense of his responsibility finds himself justified in handing down the opinion that the portrait given in it by Frank Harris of Oscar Wilde and the record of his life, are those by which Wilde's

memory will have to stand or fall He then, within ten minutes of laying down Harris's book, takes up his pen and proceeds to write Harris' a letter of over 6,000 words on the subject, giving his own reminiscences of Wilde and recording interaling that he has gathered from the book that Wilde died an unproductive drunkard and swindler. From the same book Mutre Théry had gathered that also and a good deal more But perhaps Shaw did not wish to go as far as the French lawyer, at any rate in his letter to Harris, which he knew would be at once broadcast all over the world. He may have thought that perhaps that would not be a nice or a kind thing to do about a fellow-country man and brother craftsmin. He may have reflected that perhaps the man had suffered enough in his life time, that he had left friends who mourned him

descendants que sais je?

Had it been anybody else but George Bernard Slaw, I should have imagined that as there is reading and reading, his annihilating conviction about Oscar Wilde, as derived from this book, showed that he could only have read this ponderous work at one shot by reverting in a green old age to those smelling the paper knife methods of perusal which he must inevitably have practised in the lean Flegel-Jahre when he was reviewing novels for the newspapers

For Harris's two volumes no paper knife (and this is a redeeming feature) is needed and so we have to imagine Shaw religiously turning over page after page of the six hundred and twelve and reading each page from top to bottom. He would thus fatally come upon certain passages and certain descriptions which might, one would think, have made the severe but just critic and censor (fully sensible of his great responsibility) come to grips with the kindly and recognizant log roller. Since he to suppose from his letter to Harris that he did me the high honour of reiding my books on Wilde, which he admits were not so bad but which have since been obliterited,

wiped out, abolished, annihilated by Harris's biography, he must surely have recognized even in the first volume the effrontery with which Harris has bodily transferred to his pages the "purple patches" of which I was proudest. On the very last page of all in this volume is the Verestschagin-like picture I painted of the mob in the Old Bailey after Wilde's final trial and conviction. It was just the sort of literary croquis which I should have fancied would have impressed an artist like Shaw and have lingered in his memory, and that finding it reproduced in Harris's book he might have paused. hesitated wouldered doubted

All the more so that before reaching that passage he could not but have decided that Harris's account of the last scene in the Central Criminal Court was a mere transcription of current newspaper reports and that—which is a fact—Harris was not in the Court at all at any time during that fatal afternoon of May 25th, 1895, and certainly did not witness the scene in the Old Bailey which he borrowed from me He convicts himself of the falsehood of describing himself as having been present during this poignant episode by one carcless little slip, by a single word in his description

The judge, snarling and spitting out his words, has just pronounced sentence. Then, writes Harris

"Wilde ROSE"

When he wrote this, it is possible he was visualizing some mediæval scene a cowled and scowling justiciary speaking for Doom, a sputtering torch alone illuminating the black night, a wincing prisoner forced to his knees to listen to the awful sentence, then, when it has been pronounced, staggering to his feet

Surely Harris in London and Davray in Paris must have witnessed the repelling scene where one man passes sentence on another, and must be aware that—"though by the courtesy of the judge the prisoner was accommodated with a chair in

the dock during the proceedings"—an able bodied convict has to stand to hear the judgement

'Wilde ROSE"

Surely here was a statement which might have made Shaw wonder hesitate doubt Every slightest movement of every person engaged in that litidoous scene etched itself in mordant acid on the memory tablets of anyone who was present and witnessed the sordid and simiesque proceedings. So here by this one little slip Harris proves that he was not in court at all, and that it was not to his memory but to his imagination that he went to describe this scene, and this might have made even his most benevolent recensionist wonder in what other scenes the same process of fabrication had been indulged in by this latest of Wilde's biographiers.

They are, alas! many and manifold It will be my painful duty to detail them, though I shall not always be able so flagrantly to convict Harris of falsehood out of his own mouth, but in some instances have to fall back on irrefutable documentary cyclence

I am afraid the only conclusion that one can come to is that George Bernard Shaw has shown himself in the matter of this biography of Wilde by his friend and thurifer, Harris, to have been only another illustrious victim of a literary impostor

He is not in bad company in this respect. He is as much the dupe of Harris as Walpole was (or was not) of Chatterton, and as Napoleon and Goethe and Gottfried von Herder and Lady Wilde were of a certain Dr. Macpherson

## CHAPTER III

# EXALTED DUPES OF LITERARY IMPOSTURES

LADY WILDE had been so fascinated by Ossian and so completely bemused by the all-cozening Macpherson, that when she came to select Christian names for her second son it was to this spurious poem that she turned Ossian it will be remembered was the son of Fingal and father of Oscar Whence the names. Oscar Fingal for her baby boy.

Thus one impostor presided over the baptismal fount at which Wilde was ushered into this world. It will be mine to show that the stirrup-cup for his ride down the dim vista of posterity was handed him by another

I must confess that when I came to write my Life of Oscar Wilde, I was in ignorance of the reason why these names were selected. Yet that book has been described as an "authoritative" biography. I can only say here in exculpation for such a careless oversight, that neither Oscar Wilde, nor his mother, nor any one of his family ever referred in my presence to the source whence Lady Wilde had taken these names. I had never read Ossian because Dr. Samuel Johnson had warned me against doing so, just as I am afraid hundreds and thousands of people the world over may be induced to read Harris's book in centuries to come, because George Bernard Shaw has urged them to do so

As a matter of fact I stupidly attributed Lady Wilde's selection of her son's first Christian name to the fact that before his birth she had travelled in Sweden with Dr Wilde, and there had come to know Oscar of Sweden, Duke of Ostergotland and a poet of parts, who afterwards became King

Jane Francesca Elgee, Speranza, Lady Wilde, was of a mental composition which made her predestined from birth to fall a victim to the literary wiles of Macpherson, who in 1826 (the year in which Lady Wilde was born) had been comfortably lying in cold marble in Westminster Abbey for thirty years past. She was romantic to the point of Schwarmersi Macpherson's fabrication so greatly delighted her that she went to it for names for her son. That she should so have been duped by the translator of Ossian implies not the faintest reflection on her intelligence or critical faculty, for amongst her fellow victims had been Madame de Statl, who in 1800 wrote with wild enthusiasm about the beauties of the phantom Scotch hard

With regard to Macpherson's Ottian, it is a curious fact that literary impostures have always found their readiest dupes amongst the greatest of men. The translation of Ossian was a striking example. Talleyrand tells us "General Bonaparte adores Ossian, whose sublime beauties transport him high above earth. When later the general became emperor, he pensioned Baour Lormian who had published an imitation of Ossian's poem. And if Chateaubriand ardently espoused the Johnsonian side of the controversy and declared Macpherson an impostor, it was because Châteaubriand, who considered himself Bonaparte's equal, always tried to gainsay him.

Johann Gottfried von Herder gives Fingal's son a high place in his Stimmen der Voelker, and Melchiorre Cesarotti, of Naples, the translator of Homer, no doubt at the instigation of Napoleon, whose favourite he was, also translated Macpherson and declared Osman much superior to the bard of the Iluad

The great Goethe was no less enthusiastic Ossian, he wrote, has supplanted Homer in my heart His Werther is, we know, liberally flavoured with Macpherson Ersatz

This list of illustrious people who were duped in this matter of Osnan may afford some consolation to George Bernard Shaw

should he ever come to reconsider his testimonial on the Life and Confessions which he delivered to Harris, who has made such use of it in making hundreds and thousands of other dupes. We are all convinced of Shaw's entire probity and it is evident therefore that he considered this biography a truthful record of facts and Harris's portrait of Wilde a life-like one, that he fully believed in the authenticity and genuineness of the alleged confessions put into Wilde's mouth and, finally, that he was convinced of the sincerity of Harris's assertions of deep affection for his subject

One could not but conjecture that after reading Harris's "New Preface," written in collaboration with Lord Alfred Douglas, Shaw must have realized how he had been cozened into giving his high imprimatur to an unveracious and defamatory narrative, for in this preface Harris proclaims himself to have been duped throughout by Wilde whom he then describes as a "malicious liar" The "New Preface," accordingly, if Harris is to be believed, entirely destroys the value of the book as a biography, for if Wilde were indeed a malicious liar what reason had anyone for believing in the truth of the loathsome confessions which form so large a part of the biography?

However, Shaw's letter to Alfred Douglas proves that he persists in his admiration for and belief in Harris and his book, that the "New Preface" has not prompted and never will prompt him to reconsider his decision or to withdraw his certificate of excellence

One would have fancied, indeed, that Shaw would have been glad after reading the "New Preface" to disbelieve in toto that repugnant story about Walter Pater attributed to Wilde by Harris and reported in what he alleges were Wilde's own words at the end of Chapter III For if it be true that Wilde was a malicious liar, why should a story so particularly malicious, coming from his lips, be credenced? Does Shaw pretend to believe it? Has Harris duped him to the extent of

believing that Wilde would invent such a story about a man for whom he had the greatest admiration and respect? And if he does not believe it, must not this fact suggest to him that he should disbelieve all the rest of Wilde's alleged confessions, by which Wilde's memory is to stand or fall hereafter?

I hold about the Life and Confessions of Oscar Wilde exactly the same opinion that Dr Samuel Johnson held about Macpherson's Ossan and am decidedly inclined, from what I know both of Oscar Wilde and of Frink Harris, to pay regard not to what Frank Harris shall say about Oscar Wilde, but to what he shall prove I consider—I know—his book to be an imposture and the alleged confessions to be almost entirely inventions by Harris and malicious inventions at that And I should marked at Shaw's credulty had we not so many examples of how easily even great intellects may be bamboozled

I shall now proceed to make my words good and before I close this chapter I hope to prove that in his very first pages Harris convicts himself of hiving written this book for no other purpose than that of making money by veiled pornographly with real men figuring as characters in his sordid romance, and that for the venal object in view there is nothing too base for him to stoop to betrayal of the most sacred duties of friendship, ghoul like violation of time honoured graves, fabrication of bolstering evidence and even deliberate forgery when and as required

The fact that Harriss first chapter is a reprint of the newspaper reports of a scandalous trial in Dublin in December, 1864, which chapter is described in the Index of Contents as 'Oscar Wilde's Father and Mother on Trial, which had been so completely forgotten in Dublin that Shaw himself knew nothing about it until—as he writes to Harris— I read your account, proves from the very first page that Harris's book was not written in any spirit of friendship towards Wilde, but, on the contrary, with the firm determination to use any

incident connected with him or his parents, that might be considered a sensational appeal to the pruriency and sadism of the reading-public, to increase the sales of his biography

The first chapter is a long account of a libel action brought by a Miss Travers against Lady Wilde for damages for defamation in a letter written by Speranza to the plaintiff's father, In this letter Lady Wilde complained of a blackmailing campaign that his daughter had been carrying on against Sir William, whom she had accused of having debauched her when under an anæsthetic in 1862 Since this alleged occurrence she had been pestering Dr (afterwards Sir William) Wilde with constant demands for "pecuniary compensation," and not being very successful in this enterprise had flooded the town with—as Lady Wilde writes—"offensive placards in which my name is given, and also tracts in which she makes it appear that she has had an intrigue with Sir William Wilde" Though after Dr Wilde had been knighted by Lord Carlisle, the Viceroy, at the conclusion of a chapter of the Knights of St Patrick on March 17th, 1864, Miss Travers had so rancorously intensified her campaign, it had been going on for more than a year and every slightest detail of her charges against the surgeon must have been known at the Castle These must there have appeared so utterly unfounded and contemptible to the Viceroy's advisers and to HE himself that when dubbing William Wilde knight, he specially stressed that the honour was being conferred on him, inter alia, "in recognition of his high professional reputation"

The result of the trial was that the jury awarded Miss Travers one farthing damages. Harris adds that the jury intimated that the farthing should carry costs. "In other words," he writes, "they rated Miss Travers's virtue at the very lowest coin of the realm, while insisting that Sir William Wilde should pay a couple of thousands of pounds for having seduced her." Here Harris shows as little knowledge of civil

procedure as he showed of criminal court regulations The question of costs is reserved to the judge, who would know that it would be useless to lay them upon the plaintiff, and, well well

In London to-day Miss Travers would have found herself in the dock at the Old Bailey for having libelled and attempted to blackmail Mr X Harris justifies Miss Travers and vilifies both Sir William and Lady Wilde All this in the service of truth and friendship

But he is not content with digging up this dead and forgotten scandal. He naturally wished to give us the testimony of some contemporary on the matter, 'and proceeds to attribute to the late Mr R V Tyrrell, Regius Professor of Greek at T C D, an 'excellent and pithy letter which he alleges was addressed to him, Harris, and in which Tyrrell is represented as writing very rude and unkind things about both Oscar's father and mother, at a time when both had been dead for many years

It is perfectly clear that Professor Tyrrell never wrote Harris any such letter

Shaw would back me up in saying that one of the admirable characteristics of an Irish gentleman is that he never says, or allows to be uttered in his presence—and would sooner cut off his right hand than put down on paper—anything derogatory, or even faintly critical of a woman

I knew Tyrrell I met him in Dublin when I went over there to collect my materials for the Wilde book, and the way he spoke to me about Oscar and his parents renders it utterly impossible for me to believe he would several years later have penned a cruel and insulting letter about the two Besides, apart from his words, the man himself

Surely Bernard Shaw must have seen at once that the alleged letter from Tyrrell could only be a malicious invention by Harns But apparently nothing was to be allowed to disturb

his faith in Harris's credibility and his admiration for the book. He breathes not a suggestion of a hint that Harris ought not to have started his book with defamations of Lady Wilde. He might have remembered what Oscar wrote about his love of and reverence for his mother.

knew how deeply I loved and honoured her Her death was terrible to me, but I, once a lord of language, have no words in which to express my anguish and my shame Never even in the most perfect days of my development as an artist could I have found words fit to bear so august a burden, or to move with sufficient stateliness through the purple pageant of my incommunicable woe . What I suffered then, and still suffer, is not for pen to write or paper to record My wife, always kind and gentle to me, rather than that I should hear the news from indifferent lips, travelled, ill as she was, all the way from Genoa to England to break to me herself the tidings of so irreparable, so irredeemable, a loss

(De Profundis)

Surely remembering this Shaw must have realized that nothing could have pained Wilde more deeply than such an outrage on the memory of his mother as is constituted by Harris's first chapter, to which, after adding his Tyrrell fabrication, he puts the words "Such were the father and mother of Oscar Wilde" Oscar was a good-natured, easy-going, peaceable man, but I feel sure that could he have foreseen this act of treachery and baseness on his "friend's" part he would have strangled him with his own strong hands one moonlight night in the pinewoods of Napoule He might have forgiven him the vile slander about his brother, Willie, and possibly even the foul words about his wife, but this attack on his mother, this use of her name for purposes of which the worst guttersnipe of yellow journalism would have been ashamed he would have scotched the viper and killed its venom under his heel

Shaw, however, saw nothing in it to comment upon but thought to add some piquancy to Harris's narrative by making

a little fun of Wilde's father, by a thrust at his own dead parent. He tells us that Sir William operated on his father for a squint in such a way 'that my father squinted the other way all the rest of his life

Quel monde! Quel mondel

I am the better prepared to say that the Tyrrell letter to Harris is a fabrication and, if the manuscript of it has been written, a forgery because in Chapter II, Harris quotes another letter from the dead Professor which is a provable forgery. It is entirely made up of phrases copied from my Life of Wilde and in my very words

I had intended to reserve it for another chapter, but on reflection have decided to print it here and now, and so at once nail this particular lie about Professor Tyrrell to the counter

Harris, it may be explained, does not name Tyrrell in the introductory lines with which on page 28 of his Life he prefaces the forged letter He says it comes from a man who has since made for himself a high name as a scholar at Trinity but who does not wish his name given Tyrrell was alive at the time this book was first published and would certainly have disclaimed the authorship of the letter But later on, Harns in quoting further from Tyrrell states that he was the Trinity Don whose letter about Oscar Wilde at Portora he had quoted on page 28 Moreover his associate, H D Davray, who by his translation of Harris's book has played a questionable part in this literary imposture, substitutes for Harris's the Trinity Don whom I have already quoted (on page 40)—the name of Professor Tyrrell This appears on page 44 of Davray's version The two associates thus betray themselves

Harris does more, he shows he knew nothing about Tyrrell, even his age, for he writes of him on page 40. It must be borne in mind that the Don was one of his competitors at Trinity and a successful one. Tyrrell was as much one of

49

Wilde's competitors at Trinity, as were Courtney and Papillon competitors of mine at New College, Oxford, from '79 onward Tyrrell was a don at TCD when Oscar came up from Portora If Harris had studied my Life of Wilde which he pillaged so ruthlessly, with a little more attention, he would have seen on page 120 that when Wilde was being examined for one of the TCD scholarships, it was Mr Tyrrell who tested him in Greek and Latin vivâ voce

Also, had Harris read my book on the page referred to with a little closer attention he would have saved himself from attributing to Professor Tyrrell the ridiculous words he puts into his second faked letter from that gentleman He makes Tyrrell say that Wilde "did not do so well in the long examination for a classical scholarship in his second year was placed fifth" He misses the fact that each of the ten candidates of whom I gave a list won one of the scholarships, but that Wilde never held his as he preferred to go to Oxford The second name in the list of ten is that of Louis Claude Purser who afterwards became a Trinity Don and whom on my visit to Dublin I consulted about Oscar's schooldays Purser had been at Portora with Oscar-Tyrrell had not And a little farther down we shall come to a passage where Harris contradicts himself on the subject of Mr Tyrrell Here follow in parallel columns on the left hand the statements in the forged Tyrrell letter quoted by Harris, and on the right the passages from my Life which Harris used to concoct the said spurious document

Harris's Confessions (The Tyrrell Fake)

Oscar had a pungent wit, and nearly all the nicknames in the school were given by him (Page 28)

We noticed that he always

My Life of Wilde

He was a very clever boy at giving nicknames He was the ironical sponsor to the whole school (Page 105)

He had a great fondness for

liked to have editions of the classics that were of stately size with large print (Page 28)

He was more careful in his dress than any other boy (Page 28)

His care in dressing too and his delight in stately editions were all qualities which distinguished him to the end (Page 29)

He was a wide reader and read very fast indeed how much he assimilated I never could make out (Page 29)

He was poor at music (Page 29)

We thought him a fair scholar but nothing extraordinary. However he startled everyone the last year at school in the classical medal examination by walking away easily from us all in the risa wore of the Greek play (The Aramemon) (Page, o.)

At Trinity he did not strike us as a very exceptional person (Page 43) handsome books and choice editions (Page 111)

Already in those days young Oscar Wilde showed that fond ness for distinguished attire which ever marked him in life (Page 109)

He was a great reader and assimilated what he read in a re markable manner. He used to get through a book with a speed that astonished everybody and what he read thus rapidly he used to remember. (Page 110)

In arithmetic he made no pro gress at all (Page 112)

Oscar Wilde was never looked upon as a formidable competitor by the boys (Page 110)

It was perhaps in the competition for the Gold Medal which is the great distinction at Portora that Oscar Wilde displayed his peculiar capacity for mastering the contents of a classical book

In the viva voce says one of his competitors which was on the Agamernon of Æschylus he simply walked away from us all (Page 112)

Among certain men promi nent at Trinity College Oscar Wilde was held an average sort of man and surprise was ex pressed when he came to the front (Page 116) There was not a breath against his character either at school or Trinity" (Page 42)

"There was not a breath of complaint about him in any way His conduct was uniformly good (Page 110)

Should any reader take the trouble to refer to my Life about Oscar at Portora, I must explain that the Purser from whom I took my information was Mr Louis Claude Purser. He was just eighteen days older than Oscar. His father, Mr. Benjamin Purser, was master of history and geography at Portora when Oscar and Louis Claude were there together. He was the "Mr Purser" whom Oscar used to heekle so sedulously, as related by me

It will be noted in the parallel columns given above that in only one point does Harris vary from my text. It is where he makes Tyrrell say that Wilde was "poor at music." Of course there never was any question of Wilde's studying music, which was an expensive extra, at Portora But when Shaw came out with his remarks about Wilde's ignorance of the arts, with special reference to music, Harris thought he might serve the truth of this statement by changing the word "arithmetic" in the original Tyrrell fake to "music" Shaw, by the way, will find all about poor Oscar's inevitable pretence to artistic knowledge which he did not possess on pages 461 and 462 of my Twenty Years in Paris, published in 1905 The passage referred to begins "I think that the man who got closest to the truth in his reading of Wilde's character was the author of the review of De Profundis in The Times when he refers to his assumption of 'characteristics and qualities which were not his own, etc." Shaw's disquisition on this subject might not be considered of much interest had it not afforded Harris an opportunity for appending a note which is supposed to back up Shaw In this note he writes.

He could hardly tell one tune from another, but he loved to talk of that "scarlet thing by Dvořák," hoping in this way to be accepted as

a real critic of music when he knew nothing about it and cared less (App Note on p 20 of Shaws Memories of Oscar Wilde)

In the Mercure de France attack on Andre Maurois, Auriant reproaches him with having plagranzed this Dvorák note from Harris and is backed up by Harris s impudent letter of April 15th, denouncing the piracies of Maurois in his Études Anglaises. This 'plagrarism is one of those I referred to in my letter to Maurois as having been perpetrated by Harris in the first instance on me. In his note he had simply paraphrased an anecdote of mine about Wilde and, of course, used it to attack Wilde. The anecdote is told in my Life on page 134. Here it is

A friend (myself) of his relates that the rare occasion on which he saw Oscar Wilde angry with him was once when he had frequently repeated in his presence a phrase from one of Oscar's essays which had struck him by its effectiveness so that he had plessure in repeating it. This phrase was a splendid scarlet thing by Dvorak. At the third repetition of these words Oscar flew into a veritable passion and rebuled his friend for wishing to ridicule him.

Quite possibly, of course, Wilde was less vexed at me for pulling his leg than for ignorantly pronouncing the great Bohemian composer's name as it is written, instead of Dworschak, which is its proper pronunciation Wilde of course never repeated this phrase as Harris states. It reminded him of a veiled criticism, as he may have taken my innocent remark to be

I presume that after Harns had finished the Tyrrell fake quotations, he interrupted his labours and from felicity absented him awhile, and that when refreshed and with renewed vigour he sat down to further labours of fabrication, he entirely forgot that he had described Tyrrell as the contemporary of Wilde at Portora and Trinity and his successful competitor there. For in a certain passage he relates what, he says, Wilde told him of his life at Trinity, that he got his love of the

Greek ideal and his intimate knowledge of the language from Mahaffy and Tyrrell" And he adds that Tyrrell was very kind to him. So here he puts Tyrrell back in his right place as a teacher and not a condisciple of Wilde at Trinity, Dublin

I am surprised to hear Shaw read Oscar's pronouncement on the students at T C D, as reported by Harris, without one word of objection. It is obviously as much fabrication as what precedes it. Wilde never said any such things, never uttered any such slanders, for one thing because they are not true and for another because Wilde was the last person in the world who would have said such things about his fellow-students and countrymen

I do not think I am exaggerating when I say that if George Bernard Shaw had not been as entirely duped by Harris as was Goethe by Macpherson, he would by the time he reached page 40 of the book, that is to say before he had read more than one-fifteenth of its contents, have gauged the "friend's" perfidy, mistrusted the author's facts and suspected his methods In one word, in his own parlance, he would have "rumbled" Frank Harris

#### CHAPTER IV

#### OSCAR ON THE PICTURES

That Harris's interest in Wilde and his pitiful life-story was—in spite of his professions of love and admiration for the unhappy man—solely and exclusively prompted by the consideration of the pecuniary advantage which he could denive from an exploitation of the Wilde scandal, and that his fabrication of confessions on the part of Wilde had no other motive, must surely have been patent to Shaw when he sat down to read The Life and Confessions

I have not read Hyrris's book about Bernard Shaw either in the British or more complete American edition, and so I do not know if the story of how Harris tried to interest Shaw in a film about 'Oscar and his Career has already been publicly recorded I came upon it in a cutting from the Detroit (Mich) Free Press which was sent me by The International Presscutting Agency, who were sending me all notices of the Unauthorized Biography OK d by GBS' I have seen no reference to this deplorable project elsewhere Here it is in its squalor

Nevertheless he apparently schemed deliberately to use Shaw and Shaw s popularity as the peg on which to hang a last success. He tried it once when he attempted to interest producers in a film based on the career of Oscar Wilde. The producers wanted Shaw to coperate with Harris. They would not accept the venture unless Shaw consented. Harris cabled Shaw an offer of 3 000 dollars. Shaw lessurely wrote back refusing. I can he said by lifting my finger get 50 000 dollars for an Oscar Wilde film by Bernard Shaw or with the name of Bernard Shaw in the advertisement of it.

(Detroit Free Press Nov 29th 1931)

One would fancy that such a proposal from Harris would definitely have opened Shaw's eyes as to Harris's interest in Wilde and his love for his memory "Oscar on The Pictures!" with no doubt Harris playing in person his own alleged rôle, registering turn and turn about repugnance, admiration, enchantment, hesitation, decision, benevolence, reckless self-sacrifice, and such lachrymosity both on his part and Oscar's that the supply of glycerine in Hollywood would surely have run short, and so have boomed in price that criminals in Los Angeles might well have come to remember certain features of the German Leichenverwertung as practised during the War For the bumping off of any medium guy would produce the raw material for at least seven pints of glycerine, which is what the producers use on the faces of the actors when these have to weep

It would have been a ripsnorter of a film, a whale of a picture, a go-getter, a peacherino, a wow The Scarlet Marquess probably with coronet and ermined robes complete, Boy-Boy, and look at the supernumeraries And the scenes The Walk down Piccadilly in the Æsthetic Period, The Blackmailing Visits to Tite Street, The Call there of the Outraged Father, The First Trial, The Old Bailey, The Arrest, Holloway Gaol, The Visit of Harris, The Second Trial, The Release on Bail, The Hounding of Oscar, Harris to the Rescue, The Flying Dutchman in Erith Harbour, The Phantom Brougham, The Third Trial, The Old Bailey Again Wilde Rising from His Armchair in the Dock to Address the Judge after Sentence, Harris seated in the Lord Mayor's Seat under the Royal Arms registering Intense Distress, The Saraband of Outcasts in the Street Outside Wilde at Wandsworth-The Removal to Reading—The Scene on the Platform at Clapham—Reading Harris's Fresh Efforts-Harangues the Home Secretary—Reaches Reading Gaol as Plenipotentiary—Dismisses the Governor Wilde in Distress in Paris-Harris, Harris, Harris A Wow!

And the opportunities afforded by the story for Comie Relief1 One instance only Oscar on his Release Walking about in the Lovely Clothes tailored for him at Harris's expense on measurements taken two years previously, before he had lost "from thirty to forty pounds in weight How enthusiastically hopeful Harris must have been about the certain financial success of this scheme is shown by the liberality of the fee he brought himself to offer Bernard Shaw by cable for his collabora-As this took place in the days of the silent screen, before the 'talkies' had added to the horrors of living, all that he wanted of Shaw was a scenario for Oscar On The Pictures And he offers him exactly twelve times the amount which he had offered Oscar for the scenario of Mr and Mrs Daventry, provided also that he would write the first act. And it must be remembered that Harris in an interview with Oscar in Reading Gaol represents himself as having offered to remunerate him at even better rates than I am playing Bernard Shaw '

Poor Oscar accepted the £50, wrote more than the first act, and in so doing probably fixed in Harris's mind the scale of remuneration which could safely be offered to leading dramatic authors for their collaboration He may have imagined that Shaw would be dazzled with the suggested fee of 1600 and one would like to see the expression that his face registered when Shaw's 'leisurely written answer informed him that his offer was less than the sixteenth part of what Shaw would accept, if he even considered the proposal, and mentioned a sum which was two hundred times greater than he had paid Wilde for something much more valuable Shaw's answer administered a much needed censure to the unsnubbable Harris, but one is sorry that it reads as though Shaw's refusal was based on the financial question alone, as we all know the entire and very fine indifference of the Nobel Prizewinner and the Houser of the Durham Miners to such considerations One would have liked to see him pointing out to Harris that his project was so vile that it was an outrage to ask a man of honour to associate himself with it. And in any case it ought definitely to have "placed" Harris in his mind

I am sincerely sorry to have to speak with such indignation about Harris as he reveals himself in his book on Wilde, a book which shall not go down to posterity as anything but a malicious fabrication, if any effort of mine can prevent it, because I knew Hairis and used to admire him, as a personality

As a personality, not as a writer I simply could not read his short stories, though I tried hard as I heard them much praised This was no doubt due to the misfortune by which, for some obscure psychological reason, I cannot appreciate or enjoy the works of many authors who delight millions of For while I am an enthusiast about Charles Dickens readers I have never been able to read either Scott or Conrad have never read anything written by Shaw and have only once witnessed one of his plays, namely Captain Brassbound's Conversion at Birmingham when Ellen Terry was playing it That was umpteen years ago and the effect it produced on me was that I never attended another Shaw play and have never wished to Mea culpa, mea maxima culpa, no doubt, because I have for years all over the world heard people talking (in various tongues) of the fascination and interest of these theatrepieces, and as to St Joan I know it has enthusiastic admirers

It is probable that in this matter of Shaw's work, the reason why I am so unfortunately debarred from the pleasure which it affords to others, is that my sense of humour is peculiar and restricted. The humour that appeals to me is not that which provokes the "roars of laughter" recorded in brackets in reports of lectures, speeches or plays. It is the humour the effect of which is occasionally mentioned in similar reports as "a laugh". I never see this solitary cachinnation recorded without trying to instruct myself as to what it was that appealed to the man who alone in the audience gave vent to it, or without wondering

wistfully whether he might not be a kindred soul, like myself, a pariah excluded from the Paridise of the Perfect Screum I have been 'a lrugh myself on several occasions. I re-member that the only time on which I laughed during a performance of Our Boys was when the wealthy Jeune premier answers his aunt, who has been suggesting various parlour games for their delectation, and living heard backgrimmon rejected with scorn, says 'Well, we ll call it cliess, 'with Well you may call it chess Mine was the hugh on that occasion, the only laugh, and it was the only cally that provoked my risibility during a performance which made the huge audience rock with inextinguishable mirth Wilde s plays never amused me at all and the only time I attended a performance of The Importance of Being Larnest I went out of the theatre after the first act and yawned all the way home to bed Yet I had gone there with the firm intention of following the play with the closest attention, as I was anxious to solve the physiclogical problem as to what had given the brain of its author the extraordinary and transforming stimulation of which this work gave evidence, and if indeed it had been the same that in France ten years previously had transformed a beefy civil servant into one of the finest writers in French literature, 'as a critic wrote, in allusion to Bel-Ami, in reviewing my Life of Guy de Maupassant

Poor Maupassant! Harris is frequently compared to him as a writer of short stones and we hear the latter spoken of as the English Maupassant How far this is justified I am not qualified to write, for though I have rend and re-read Maupassant's tales with delight as a reader and generally high approval as a recensionist, I was never able to follow Harris, any more than Bourget, or Bordeaux, or Hall Caine for more than one or at the most two piges of narrative My fault, my mistake, my stupidity, no doubt, but there it is, the fact
The only connection between Guy de Maupassant and Frink

Harris that anyone could trace in those days was that Frank had been made to figure in a transparent manner as a kind of colonial Bel-Ami in a novel called The Adventures of John Johns which is an obvious adaptation of Guy de Maupassant's John Johns is Frank Harris and Frank Bel-Ami novel Harris is Georges Duroy Most of the other characters, the whole plot, and most of the episodes have been borrowed from Maupassant and several of his phrases have been translated and shifted into Frederic Carrel's book. It is the most curious piece of transcription that I am aware of in the English bookselling trade and the odd fact is that it is published at 2s 6d by the gentleman who has specialized in Maupassant and who in the back of my copy of Carrel's book, which has been selling steadily since 1897 and is now in its seventeenth or eighteenth edition, announces his series of admirable translations of Maupassant's works by Mrs Marjorie Werner Laurie, at the head of which series stands Bel-Ami at 7s 6d or three times the price of Carrel's adaptation of this remarkable study of the success that an unscrupulous scoundrel may attain by trading on his physical appeal to the sex-urge of women of all ages and social positions John Johns in Carrel's book arrives at wealth and honours by exploiting feminine lust in exactly the same way as Georges Duroy in Maupassant's novel

The initial success of the book in England came, of course, from the fact that everybody in London recognized Frank Harris in John Johns There were striking resemblances between what was known of his adventurous career and that of Carrel's "hero" Here was a struggling journalist from the colonies who slowly par les femmes had lifted himself out of a squalid position as a reporter on linage higher and higher in the social scale, cozening, deceiving and plundering one woman after another, until he reaches a high position, as the husband (unfaithful, of course) of a very rich woman with a magnificent residence in a fashionable quarter John Johns of course goes

further than did Harris, because Carrel's hero is but the shadow of Maupassant's Georges Duroy and has to imitate his squalid prototype to the last line of the book. John's wife dies and we leave him like Georges having successfully kidnapped a very young girl, the daughter in Maupassant's story of the enormously wealthy Israelite newspaper proprietor and in Carrel's transcription of Bel-Ami, the child of a millionaire Having succeeded in placing the child in a hopelessly compromising situation, John blackmails Mr. Wilson into allowing him to marry his daughter, exactly as Georges did with the other father. And in both books we are left knowing that the child wife will soon be betrayed by her husband in the arms of a former mistress of his to whom he usually returns periodically

Carrel attempts a slim mode of camouflage to disguise the attack on Harris, to counteract the idea that he is caricaturing and vilifying him He actually introduces Frink Harris by name as the Editor of the Fortinghtly Review, whom John Johns studies to see how an editor ought to conduct himself! Certainly his description of his hero does not in all points tally with Harris's corporeal presentment, for though one recognizes the big moustache and especially 'the keen black (7) eyes of extraordinary expression and penetration, Harris had blue eyes and a fine dominant nose devoid of the snubness' of this feature in John Johns, nor was there noticeable about his face any 'somewhat excessive breadth' On the other hand he certainly never reminded one of the pirate of the Spanish main" whom Shaw beheld in him He suggested to me some superior executive in a leading dry-goods emporium, who made himself up to remind people of Lord Randolph Churchill but never managed to invest himself with the attributes that mark the caste of Vere de Vere. He was entirely devoid of the je ne sais quoi which enswathes a gentleman He was noisy, assertive Crosland used to say that he roared like a sucking-dove Douglas writes that he was in the habit of spouting Greek on the slightest provocation and that he was fond of embellishing his disquisitional monologues with numerous quotations from poets of various nations and I do not remember any of these characteristics in him, I should certainly have avoided him if I had, and Carrel does not seem to have noticed them either, but he takes good care to let John Johns remind one of Harris on almost every page and even towards the very end of his book introduces a character of the unusual name of "Blanchamp," whom Harris mentions in his Life and Confessions in connection with a fairystory he tells on page 363 of his second volume, about getting some clothes for Oscar against his release "I could not trust myself," he writes, "to talk to this man (the West-End tailor who is supposed to have refused to make the clothes for Wilde) and therefore sent my assistant editor and friend, Mr Blanchamp, to have it out with him "

I have always thought that Harris was very unfairly treated by this John Johns book The only excuse Carrel may have had for representing him as Bel-Ami is that, like Georges Duroy, he had married a rich widow and for some years lived in state with her in Park Lane But there was nothing in Harris of the man who sponges on women He was never a cake-eater but a male he-man Certainly he seemed most avid for money and one heard stories that, if true, proved he had little scruple how he got it, but I never heard of his taking money from women who were in love with him been with him at his house in Park Lane and noticed that he treated his wife, a quiet lady who seemed older than he was, with deferential courtesy and that, far from assuming any proprietary airs in the fine and luxuriously furnished house, he used to insist with almost unnecessary vigour that the house and everything in it were not his at all but "All my wife's! All my wife's "

It is a curious coincidence, by the way, that Bernard Shaw being, as we have recently been informed, descended from Macduff, Harris in his Life and Loves is obviously the spiritual descendant of that Malcolm who made such an appalling confession about his immorality to the said Macduff, who was urging him to go for the crown of Scotland

There's no bottom none
To my voluptuousness your waves your daughters
Your matrons and your maids could not fill up
The eistern of my lust

Harris, the Shakespearian, must often have had this passage from Act IV of Matbeth in his mind when he was penning his pornographic autobiography and his remembrance of it must continually have stimulated his prurient imagination, for there is no doubt that most of the stories of his loves' are as much pure invention as the confessions' attributed to Oscar Wilde He has recently been compared in this respect to Casanova without Casanova's talent" He certainly had as great a gift of dirty imagination as the Venetian writer of erotic memoirs, which can be as easily proved to be largely sheer fake, as the confessions' which Harris makes about himself and those which he puts into the mouth of Oscar Wilde

Certainly in his conversition at the time of which I am speaking he never betrayed that he was carrying about inside his lumbar region the unpleasant and unfillable receptacle to which Malcolm gives a name. He was quite a clean spoken man and a clean minded one too, one would have said, although, of course, any worldling contemplating his exuberant energy, his fullbloodedness and the unrestricted way in which he satisfied, even glutted, his body in response to its other claims, would have surmised him to be a man of unbridled procreativeness. But he never spoke about it. And I only once saw him do something which showed that under a civilized and

urbane exterior, the ruttish wild boar was fleshing its tusks We had been visiting Texeira de Mattos together in his chambers in Plowden Buildings in the Temple and as we were returning down the staircase, Harris noticed written on the whitewashed wall that flanked the steps some writings—"the short and simple annals of the poor," as I always call them—referring to matters which are usually dealt with in public lavatories and in a vocabulary with which readers of certain passages in Lady Chatterley's Lover have of late been made familiar "Oh!" cried Harris, whipping out a pocket pencil, "this can be added to!" and suited the action to the word But that was the one and only time that Harris ever did a coarse thing in my presence

I used to admire the extreme audacity of the man I used to think that in any revolution in England, he might come to play the part of the man who bellowed for "de l'audace, encore de l'audace et toujours de l'audace," and indeed as far as venality is in question he did resemble Danton to the last, but in other respects Chabot rather and Fabre d'Églantine, whose forgeries were more pardonable than his have been, though they brought these two to the guillotine, while Harris's fabrications led him to the luxurious flat at 9 rue de la Buffa in Nice, a placens uxor and the high approval of distinguished contemporaries Fabre and Chabot, after all, only falsified the Decree about the Compagnie des Indes for the purpose of blackmailing that company, while Harris, behind the mask of devoted friendship, has deliberately falsified Wilde's life-story and sacrificed his memory for the purpose of blackmailing posterity

With regard to his extreme audacity, I remember being with him one night in an upstairs private room in the Criterion We were talking and drinking. And here let me say that to write of Harris as an intemperate drinker, or even as a drunkard, as some obituary-writers have done, is unjust and untrue. He was no more a drunkard than was Wilde. A franc buveur,

a Rabelaisian lover of the bottle, just like Wilde, he undoubtedly was, but I never saw him the worse for drink He used to remind me of something Alphonse Daudet once said to me

You see no drunkenness in the South of France Nous y naissons saouls (We come into the world there intoxicated) Intoxicated with the sun, with the music of the agales, with the air, with song and dance Harris, as someone else was described in a philippic, was intoxicated with the exuberance of his own verbosity

We sat on and on discoursing It was long since we had listened to the chimes at midnight, for we were both noctam bulous and late-walkers, when there entered the room an apologetic and almost cringing waiter to tell us that it was long past two o clock a m—I remember, he pronounced it hay hem—and that we must really be going, as the police

Oh! Nonsense, cried Harris It is I, Frank Harris, who am here and this is a business friend, with whom I am discussing a matter of the highest importance. You can tell them downstairs to telephone, if they like, to the Commissioner of Police, at his private address and they Il see it is all right And you can bring us each a large whisky and soda when you come back.

But where I most admired this trait in his character was when after Wilde's release on bail he came to call on him at the house in Oakley Street and invited him to come out to funcheon, and when questioned by Willie as to where he meant to take his brother, said. To the Cafe Royal, of course I describe this incident in my Unhappy Friendiship book and their record how fine I thought his defiance of the mob and this method of showing London that he totally disbelieved the churges against his friend and that he was proud to be seen in his company. It seemed to me to be dictated by the same chivalrous spirit which later on prompted the Scandinavian painter Thaulow (as recorded by Lemonnier) in a cafe in

65

Dieppe, after Wilde's release, to stand up and publicly in a loud voice invite Oscar to come to lunch with him in his villa, en famille, when some English customers having objected to Wilde's presence in the place he was about to be turned out of the establishment with ignominy

#### CHAPTER V

### THE MAN AND THE BOOK

I AM sorry that Harris in his account of his visit to Oakley Street (pp 281, 282) adduces my testimony which many years previously I had given of it, because this tends to make it guarantee the various fabrications in connection with this visit which follow on his invitation to Wilde and the latter's very seemly refusal of it. Wilde did not go out with Harris that day and consequently the luncheon in the private room at the restaurant in Great Portland Street never took place, nor the conversation between Harris and Wilde that Harris alleged was held then and there

This conversation is one of the many infamous fabrications in the book It is the one in which Harris represents Wilde as confessing to him, Harris, the fantastic and incredible thing that he was not innocent of the charges which had been brought against him at the Old Bailey It gives Harris a splendid opportunity of proving the extent of his friendship by declaring that this confession made no difference at all It also leads the way to the tale of his efforts to induce Wilde to flee the country and to his absurd story--implicitly believed in by Shaw and others-of the MP s yacht at Erith and the two pair brougham of his imagination This was a mere substitution of himself for the present writer and I must say that though I never publicly objected to his copious plagiarisms from my book, I did think this other kind of plagiarism this ote tor de la que je my mette by which he robbed me of any little admiration from the

67

lawless for an act of reckless self-sacrifice, did rouse some indignation in me I deal with the whole fabrication lower down

The ruthless portrayal of Harris as what the French call a dos vert in the character of John Johns, produced no effect on me at all and I paid no attention to the book beyond wondering whether I ought not in memory of poor Guy de Maupassant to notify his mother of the piracy committed by this publication, as she was the guardian of little Simone, Guy's niece and sole heiress, executrix of his will and guardian of his estate

I had previously paid similar inattention to the many disparaging stories that were current about the rich editor who lived in Park Lane When I was told that Sir Bartle Frere at a dinner-party one night at his house had rung for the butler, just after the ladies had left the table and had ordered Frank Harris's carriage to be called, explaining to the expostulating Harris that he had overheard certain remarks made by the editor of the Fortnightly to the lady sitting next to him at table and that he, Sir Bartle Frere, would never tolerate such conduct in his house, I simply shrugged my shoulders as vigorously as I did when the other day I read in Douglas's Autobiography that Frank Harris, having gone to call on Wilfred Blunt for the purpose of an interview, was—the butler having been rung for-given his congé in about five minutes a common experience of interviewers to be shown to the door when the person called on does not care to be interrogated I myself when I once tried to interview Bismarck in the Friederichs-Strasse in Berlin was accorded by the interviewer considerably less than five minutes, no longer time, in fact, than it took him to pronounce the one word "WEG!" (Get Out!) As regards the Blunt interview, so skilful a newspaper man, trained in Chicago, would find more than ample material in Mr Blunt's Humph's and Ha's, to fashion forth columns

of newspaper matter, imagination aiding, or even a Contemporary Portrait, considerably more authentic than some to which he put his name

The Bartle Frere incident did not disparage Harris in my eyes at all because, having lived abroad most of my life, I know how difficult it is for people coming from abroad into England to avoid saying things with the most innocent intentions, which may give mortal offence because of the vast difference in the mentality of the British nation and its view of what is seemly and what is not Harris probably had said in the most innocent way in the world something which a Parisienne would have considered a banal commonplace, but which in England—all this was in the eighties of last century, be it remembered—would be regarded as shocking in the extreme Just as if a Britisher dining at a bourgeois dinner-table even to day in Paris were to tell his hostess in connection with the elegant furnishing and decoration of her house that he would very much like to see her in her boudoir He might possibly get his ears boxed, he would certainly be called sharply to order by the husband and the amenity of the dinner party would as certainly be spoiled for the rest of the evening

Nobody was more surprised than I was when I read Harris's Life and Confessions, because though I had always suspected that his interest in Oscar arose mainly from what he hoped to make out of him, especially when all was ruin in him except his magnificent brain and incomparable wit and conversation, I had never imagined he could be base enough, for no other purpose than one of money-getting, to vilify Oscar's memory by presenting him as the squalid hero of a pornographic book When Harris afterwards began issuing his own Life and Loves and thus made himself also the squalid hero of a low Casanoviat I had still sufficient liking left for the man to endeavour to condone the worst features of the former work by deciding that the man's mind had been affected in the way that senile

69

decay does affect even the most brilliant intellects, jostling back into an obscene animalism of thought or word or act men who through long lives have earned by their conduct and civic virtue the high respect of their contemporaries much so, that when the British Government sent over copies of the Life and Loves to the French authorities in the hope that its author might be prosecuted in France if it could be established that Harris had committed an offence against the French law by trafficking in obscene books in that country, I tried indirectly to save him from a prosecution in the Court of Assizes I do not for one moment suggest that the humble excuses I then made for him and my declarations about his great talents and literary ability had the slightest effect on the gentlemen who were commissioned to read and report on the Life and Loves (of which at that time only two volumes had been issued) I only mention this to show that I never harboured ill-feeling against Harris

In any case the volumes evoked in high legal circles little but hilarity and Harris was allowed to continue to issue to the last his pornographic parcels to the four points of the compass, unchallenged by the French law. The real reason of this impunity was explained to me after his death by a magistrate who had had an opportunity of seeing the report which was made on the volumes. He was so good as to set out these reasons in a letter to me which runs as follows

If the affair about which you write to me was not further dealt with by French Justice, it was because it was considered that public order was not affected by the publication of a book printed in English, issued in a limited number of copies and, in the main, sent abroad in sealed packets. Besides this the law of July 29th, 1881, appoints the Court of Assize to repress outrages against public morality by way of obscene books, as distinct from newspapers, magazines, etc., and it seemed useless to give to a book which was totally unknown to the French public the resounding publicity of an assize court prosecution while risking the chance of an acquittal by the jury

Knowing the Nice jury as I do, I think the magistrate might have written certainty 'instead of chance'

My acquaintance with the scandalous Life and Confessions was first made through Davray's able though not always faithful translation. When I definitely decided to deal with the book in print and it became necessary for me to procure the volumes in the original English, I encountered some difficulty. Only one bookseller in Nice stocked the volumes and he was only unearthed after a long hunt by friends of mine. He was demanding 200 francs for the book, or three dollars more than the proper price. I then wrote to Harris, using a friend's name to inform him of the fact. His answer showed that his attention was monopolized by The Life and Loves, for he wrote

#### 9 RUE DE LA BUFFA,

NICE

MY LIFE is in three volumes, the third volume being in two parts. I sell the four at Frs. 1200, so if you got one for 200 Francs, you got it very cheap

I had to put up the price because so many books were confiscated in America

Yours Sincerely,

It was not his own Life but his Life of Wilde that I had written to him about

I admit that this letter distressed me It was indeed saddening to have this proof of the base uses to which the Frank Harris, as I remembered him that afternoon in Oakley Street, and particularly the Frank Harris who had been so kind to John Davidson and had given my poor friend by his high appreciation of The Ballad of a Nun and his estimation of its high pecuniary worth, one of the very few joys his literary career had brought him, had descended I felt sorry for him

I "visualized" a "Dying Gladiator" and my resentment against him for his atrocious conduct towards the dead Oscar flickered lower and lower His plagiarisms from me and one or two insidious attempts to discredit and misrepresent me which he had made in his Life and Confessions I had treated, the former with a shrug of the shoulders and the latter with a pitying smile, long before All the more so that none of Wilde's friends or relations in England seemed to think the Life worth troubling about in any way One of those who stand closest to the dead poet and philosopher wrote me "Frank Harris is rather an extinct volcano His Life and Confessions of himself have banished him for ever, I am afraid, from the realms of serious literature Personally, I always think that it is better to ignore all these things" Unfortunately posterity will not ignore them and writers yet unborn will not cease telling men and women yet unbored, of the horrors to which Wilde had confessed Several of the biographies already issued are maculated with Harris's unclean inventions That, apart from Shaw's reiterated approbation, is the reason of this book

But at the time I felt sorry for the "frail, old man" who had fallen from Academe down into the gutter of Holywell Street, and remembering also the words that Oscar had written in his dedication of An Ideal Husband to Frank Harris about his "chivalry and nobility as a friend," I resolved that as long as he lived I would do nothing to interfere with his peace of mind or with his acquisition by any means in his enfeebled powers of the wealth necessary for the purchase of "the mudhoney" for which he craved

It was accordingly with no great enthusiasm that late in 1929 I perused a typed circular letter from Chicago, announcing that the sender was engaged on a biography of "that strange creature," Frank Harris, and would be glad of any information I could supply, mentioning Galsworthy, Leonard Huxley, Winston Churchill, Ernest Newman, Lord Dunsany, Francis

Hackett, as having already obliged. In a covering letter, I was told that the writer was especially eager to have your reactions to Harriss Ottar Wilde. His Life and Confess ns, as my book calls for a lengthy examination of that celebrate work, and he was good enough to add that he had already the impressions of Lord Alfred Douglas, but that what you will say will be of the very greatest interest and value

I do not exactly remember what I wrote in reply, beyond, I think, that my reaction towards Harris for having written such a book closely resembled that obtained from a healthy patient who is under the impression that he is heading for tabes, when the specialist hits him just above the knee-cap and the booted foot flies vigorously upwards. I was not in a mood particularly to wish to injure Harris and I gathered that the proposed biography was not intended to be a friendly I spoke most strongly, however, on the subject of the Confessions, which I described as a fake almost from the first page to the last On February 6th, 1930, Mr Gertz wrote to me saying he was grateful to me for my helpful and candid letter, and thanked me for my generosity, but requested me to give him all my reasons for describing the Life and Confessions in such strong language He added that so far everybody had assured him that the book was truthful, and wished me to point out a few of Harris's misstatements. I did not answer this further letter it seemed to me that Mr Gertz, being a Chicago lawyer and trained in sifting evidence, would have little difficulty in disproving many of Harris's fabrications out of his own mouth from the contradictions in the book itself

I had very little knowledge of Harms as an editor but I was with him and other friends when he took possession of the Saturday Review office after he had bought this publication A great deal has been made by Davray and others in Paris of the fact that Harms was once the proprietor and editor of this periodical, and I do believe that in his hands it became a re-

munerative venture But at the time he bought it, it was in a decidedly moribund condition and had been hawked round for sale at almost any price in all the literary marts in England It had even been proposed to my friend, the late Sir Hall Caine, and I happened to be staying at Greeba Castle in the Isle of Man when the offer was made to him. A copy of the last issue had accompanied the letter of proposal and I remember the air of depreciation with which he was turning the pages over. This disparagement was not caused, however, by the literary quality of the printed matter, but by something in the appearance of the typesetting which proclaimed the fact that only a very small edition had been expected "Why, look here!" he cried, pointing to a printed page and making some technical remark, "I don't believe they are printing 2,000 a week." And the offer was promptly turned down

It rather amused me to invade, in the wake of the triumphant and elate Frank Harris, the editorial sanctum whence so many bolts had been launched against young writers whose maiden efforts had here received short shrift—often enough with the excellent effect of warning them off the path of letters ce chemin où prospèrent la ronce et l'ortie as Verlaine described it Harris was in high spirits and for a moment this august and austere apartment was the scene of boyish diversions, in which a yawning waste-paper basket figured now as coiffure, now as a football I think a bottle and glasses were produced and the health of the new editor boisterously toasted

Harris was never successful as an editor, and failed time after time. I know there was permanent melancholy in the heart of Oswald Crawford, of Chapman and Hall's, while Harris was in authority over the *Fortmghtly*, and he objected very strongly to the "long prices" which Harris suggested as remuneration for special work which he particularly admired, such as Wilde's essays and John Davidson's *Ballad of a Nun* He was in this respect a pioneer with Alfred Harmsworth in

the great improvement in the status, social and pecuniary, of the working journalist. Harris, at least, got some recognition of his good intentions and was liked as an editor, and even the harsh Crosland sang the praises of his acumen in the matter of poetry and of his liberality towards his contributors. Harris, he wrote, -is one of the few editors who can appreciate good poetry and absolutely the only one who knows how to pay for it. Harris was very kind to Crosland and recognized his genius and proclaimed it. He did not escape what was inevitable when one had any dealings with Crosland.

I had only one transaction with Harris as between con tributor and editor and that was when I wrote an article at his request for the Saturday Review, on my friend Émile Zola and his espousal of the cause of Captain Dreyfus The main interest of the article was geographical as I was able to console Dreyfus's friends and admirers by contradicting the nonsense that was being printed about the horrors of Devil's Island (so called because a convict, known as le Diable, had once been kept there) and quoted from a pamphlet written by a Nantes prosent named Chalosse, who was confined there after the December coup d etat, and who described it as reminding him of un Paradis terrestre Harris was quite satisfied, though he thought its tendency anti-Dreyfusard, and I was suitably remunerated But I never did anything else for the Saturday I used to think Harris did not care for my prose till that notion was dispelled by seeing how liberally he had helped himself to my very words when plagianizing my books for his Wilde concection

Harris was too truly an artist in letters to have much appreciation of journalism, or indeed any understanding of it. Such journalistic experience as he had, had been gained on what is called in America the Yellow Press, where he undoubtedly had learned the trick of the fabrication of sensational copy, evolved in the main from the imagination, of which he makes

so mordinate and so evil a use in his book on Wilde and with minor criminality in his own biography. I noted the other day in a biographical obituary of him published in the States, that he had lost his first and best job as a salaried reporter on a Chicago paper because he had brought in the lurid description—a "regular whale of a story"—of a dreadful fire in the city editor's domain which had never taken place. He was a very bad editor to the Evening News in London, and brought this paper, which since in the Harmsworth hands showed its marvellous possibilities, to the very verge of extinction. He was deft in making use of an editorial position (combined with that of owner) to make money in ways outside the recognized standards of journalistic enterprise. His methods eventually led him to bankruptcy and total discredit, and thence to pornography

His audacity remained with him to the end I remember a typical example of it. It was in 1908. The occasion was the "banquet" given by subscription to Robert Ross to celebrate the final publication of the first collected edition of Oscar Wilde's complete works, which he had edited with such loving care. I had come up from the country to attend it and was staying at the Ritz. After the dinner, where I had the good fortune to sit next to Mrs. Frankau, with whom I had some entertaining conversation, and who was kind enough to say "This dinner ought, of course, to have been given to you," I left the room to escape the oratory and was sitting in the penumbra of discreet lighting in the Ritz lounge, or winter garden

I had noticed as I passed, that there was a supper-party being entertained at a long table near the entrance, and while I was resting in my chair, I could hear that the numerous guests were being harangued in what sounded like a deep basso voice greatly subdued, which went on and on I concluded that this must be the host and I gathered he must be a man of fecundity and standing, for while he spoke so

abundantly, he by no means limited his guests enjoyment to mere listening, for there was an array of ever renewed Hock bottles on the table, with caviare and similar dainties on the side, while the occasional pop of a champagne cork broke in on the monotonous undercurrent of oral sound

The whole place at that late hour was so dimly lighted that even if I had scrutinized the party I could hardly have recognized anyone I was, however, too much taken up with the thoughts that that night's occurrence had evoked and paid little attention to the shadow feast on my right. At last as I was rising to go to the lift, and once more cast my glance in the direction of the door, I noticed that, with the noiselessness that the decorum of that exalted sphere automatically imposed, the guests had all departed and that there was left standing by the supper table, still a bristle with slim bottleage, the Rhetor of the Minor Key, erect and affluent in fur-coat and shining tophat To him at that moment the attendant came up and some muted words were exchanged And then I am Mr Frank Harris, I tell you, the best known man in London. and I'll sign the bill and pay it when convenient, as I ve done here dozens of times before You can ask Monsieur -And I recognized Harris, Harris the irrepressible, whom I had lost sight of for years — It was only while I was ascending (by gravitation, like Shaw) in the lift that I remembered that the fur coated magnate who had feasted his friends with elo quence, sturgeon's roes and Liebfrauenmilch that night had been a pauper for several months past

Yes De l'audace, encore de l'audace et toujours de l'audace!

## CHAPTER VI

## SIR FRANK BENSON TO THE RESCUE

HAVING IN his first chapter besmirched Oscar's parents, it is in Chapter II—which covers his schooldays at Portora—that Harris begins to show that already at the age of 16 Wilde had formed a friendship of a perverse nature—Harris proceeds here by innuendo rather than direct statement and endeavours to convict his friend out of his own mouth by words which Harris himself has invented

I have not the least hesitation in saying that the whole of the story of Oscar's friendship with a schoolmate of his who was two years his junior, attributed to Oscar, which fills pages 31 to 36 of the chapter, is simply invention. It is the first "confession" that Harris puts into his victim's mouth and it is as false as all those that follow it. But here as well as in the worse fabrication at the end of the chapter on Oxford which slanders Walter Pater it has pleased Harris to depict Wilde at the outset of his perversity as playing a passive rôle It is he who is assailed and embraced I presume that when only page 31 of his book had been reached Harris had not yet made up his mind utterly to sacrifice his friend's memory Though for the sake of the sale of the book it must perforce be a story of perversity, he may have thought it would suffice to show Oscar as the victim of the aberrations of others on he jettisons all such scruples and makes the "confessions" real avowals of guilt

In Oscar's alleged narrative about the Portora friendship there are one or two slips on the part of Harris which suffice to show its inauthenticity In his ignorance of the course of things in the scholastic world, or with his usual contempt for his readers credulity, he makes Oscar tell him that he was sent for one day by the Headmaster of Portora who informed him that he had won an 'Exhibition (which is untrue) and that he was to go to Trinity College, Dublin, that he rushed off to inform his chum of the great news and announced that he was leaving Portora next morning to enter TCD Harris seems to be under the impression that a man can enter the University any old time that suits him and without the preliminary formality of a matriculation examination. Yet Harris had my biography before him and might have learned there that it was not till after the summer vacation and after he had left Portora some months that Wilde matriculatedand very creditably-at TCD He could also have learned that it was not till much later that he won a scholarship there which he never entered upon Of course this sudden departure of Oscar's from Portora in the middle of the school term is an absurd narrative to put into his mouth and so is his alleged account of how the chum' got permission from Dr Steele to accompany him to the station and did so accompany him, an idiotic hors dœuvre to the nauseous dish which Harris makes Oscar describe as having been served up at the station

Apart from this I think that had any such friendship existed between Oscar and another lad, there would have been some mention of it in Sir Edward Sullivan's letter to Harris about Wilde's schooldays at Portora where Sir Edward was his playmate. As it had been generally made known that Oscar Wilde had been rather stand offish as a schoolboy and consequently not popular with his schoolfellows, Sir Edward would most certainly have referred to this warm friendship to prove the exception. Another point is that after this story has been told about this mysterious youth he is never heard of again in Harris's narrative. Seeing that Harris represents lumself as

cross-examining Wilde continually to get at his perverted "sex credo" it is surely very extraordinary, to say the least, that he never again refers to this boy of 14, who, he would have us believe, initiated Wilde into the horrible mentality that according to Harris was to hag-ride him for the rest of his life

It is all the more extraordinary because he has represented Wilde as telling him, Harris, in describing how he broke the news of his lightning departure to his cold, bitter and resentful "chum" of 14, that he had urged on the lad to come and see him at his father's house in Merrion Square, which, the boy must know, "was in the best part of Dublin" The boy of 14 had told him that he would frequently be up in town and was looking at him with "yearning and regretful eyes," and so Oscar invited him to the private room that Dr Wilde was going to put at his disposal in the fashionable family mansion But we never hear of the lad's coming there Perhaps he was too modest to show himself in the "best part of Dublin", perhaps Harris would have us suppose that Oscar was so disgusted with the boy's conduct at the station, the boy's hot hands, his passionate kiss, and the very unpleasant, cold, sticky tears that Oscar felt trickling down his cheeks after the train started, that he told the footmen at Merrion Square he was "not at home" if the perverse young fellow should ever happen to call, when doing Dublin Town

But more than this—if Wilde had had any such remarkable friendship as a lad, how is it that he told me that he had never had a real friend as a boy or at Trinity? He said, "The only affection I had as a child was for my sister Isola and, of course, in a lesser degree for Willy" It was on that occasion that he told me the story of the toy bear he had given Willy, of which, whenever he and his brother fell out, he used to demand the instant return. It was on the same occasion that he spoke to me of Isola as "a ray of sunshine dancing about the house," which Harris has borrowed from me.

deal about his schooldays as we were walking about the midnight streets of Paris in those first wonderful days of our
'unhappy friendship, and he never told me about this dear
friend of his in whose existence anyhow I should never have
believed Never have believed, because you see I knew Wilde
very well and it would have been impossible for him to tell
such a story Harris might just as well have asked me to
believe that, calling on Renan at the École de France, he had
overheard the great exegetist reproaching his femme de menage
for some domestic peccadillo in terms borrowed from the
vocabularies of Zola and other naturalists

No, nol I can only consider this long passage as a preliminary canter of the good horse Fakir, Harris up It is less offensive than most of the fakes that follow because the friend whom Oscar is supposed to talk about remains an ethereal being without form or substance I fancy that Harris must have realized this, for at the end of the succeeding chapter (Chapter III) he less himselfgo completely and fabricates a confession from Oscar Wilde which befouls the memory of a gentleman of letters and a scholar whom Wilde admired immensely as one of the great masters of English prose, Walter Horatio Pater

But before we come to this fabrication something must be said about the biographical sketch Harris gives of Wilde's career at Oxford—a not unimportant period in his life history for a biographer to consider. However, Harris disposes of this part of Oscar's life in exactly two pages, bringing him in this circumscribed space from his matriculation on July 11th, 1874, to his triumphal appearance in the Sheldonian Theatre on June 26th, 1878, to recite the Newdigate prize poem Ravinna. Harris seems to have had no information whatever about Wilde at Oxford except what he was able to glean from my books, though here and there he improves on my text. For example, I had written that his verses were listened to

Ω.

with rapt attention," which I quoted from a contemporary Harris, for the sake of local colour, quoting the same eulogy, attributes it to the Oxford and Cambridge Undergraduates' Journal of which I do not think many 'Varsity men have heard Much of the previous part of this chapter had been borrowed or adapted from me

And now to come to the Pater fabrication

In the course of my researches about Oscar at Oxford for my biography, I had come across an insignificant item in a newspaper about a fancy-dress ball that was given by a Mrs Morrell at Headington Hill, where he appeared in the costume of Prince Rupert I made a note of this incident because I thought it was strange to read of Oscar Wilde masquerading, he who afterwards "masqueraded in defiance of society and was later on made by society to masquerade in defiance of My reference to this appears at the head of Chapter VIII on page 159 of my biography, just where it would catch the eye of somebody grubbing for useful facts With this he could lend artistic verisimilitude to otherwise "bald and unconvincing statements" attributed to Wilde He makes prompt use of this to introduce the long conversation from pages 44 to 49 which ends with the filthy innuendo against Walter Pater

However, with his usual method, in order not to appear to copy me word for word, he gives Mrs Morrell's husband the Christian name of "George" and he adds a "Hall" to my Headington Hill But he overlooks the fact, most prominently stated—in fact the chapter begins with the date—that this very minor function took place on May 1st, 1878, that is to say, more than eight weeks before the triumph at the Sheldonian Harris, however, places it as having occurred just after the recitation of the prize poem and makes Wilde begin his imaginary monologue by referring to the great success he had enjoyed at this ball as a Newdigate prizewinner. He

(2

makes poor Oscar say I hardly danced at all!' but that he had talked most brilliantly, turning all his foes into friends, and that he had had the drainest evening. He then bursts out into two pages of eulogy of Oxford—a eulogy which smells of Harris ten yards off. He is supposed to have described all the appurtenances of life at Oxford as perfect the food, the wine, the eigarettes!'—though I don't think eigarettes were much smoked at the Varsity in 78, and I can hardly imagine a gournet like Oscar describing the wine and the battels as "perfect. But where Harris, or rather Fakir, comes a most tremendous cropper is where he goes on to make Oscar say, 'It was at Oxford I first dressed in knee breeches and silk stockings. I almost reformed fashion and made modern dress assthetically beautiful

If Harris had studied my biography with a little more care, he would have seen that it was not till some years after he left Oxford that Oscar adopted those eccentricities of costume which later attracted universal attention to his person. Or he might have gone direct to the source whence I drew this information. Mr. Walter Hamilton's The Esthetic Movement in England. At Oxford, Oscar—as shown in the photographs of him as an undergraduate (one of which I publish in my The Real Oscar) dressed like everybody else—the men would see to that—and appeared comfortably and soberly attired in a tweed suit with a flannel shirt and a tie unassumingly gathered into a knot under his turndown collar. In the winter he used to wear a grey ulster. His hair was brushed back from his forchead and was not too long.

With reference to Pater, Harris makes him say 'Pater meant everything to me He taught me the highest form of art the austerity of beauty Pater as a teacher meant so little to Oscar Wilde, that when Pater, who was a Fellow and Tutor of Brasenose College, was lecturing on Plato in 1875 and 76, Wilde did not attend any of his lectures Wilde

had a great admiration for Pater as a writer and Pater reciprocated this feeling towards Wilde's work in a certain measure, but there were never such relations between the two men as to authorize anyone to speak of them as friends letters from Wilde to Pater and from Pater to Wilde are in existence and, purely on literary topics, are cordial and appreciative and nothing more Stuart Mason gives two of Pater's letters to Wilde, one dated 1888 and the other 1890, and neither contains the faintest suggestion that ever at any time had Pater had for Wilde the feeling of overwhelming physical attraction which might lead the Fellow of a College and a Public Lecturer to make such an exhibition of himself in broad daylight in a public place as Harris makes Oscar falsely describe in the course of this fabricated "confession" addresses Oscar in these letters as "My dear Wilde" and signs himself "Yours very sincerely"

It is true I have another letter from Pater to Wilde which could be twisted by Sergeant Hariis into something quite as insidious, incriminating and condemnatory as Pickwick's "chops and tomato sauce" to Mrs Bardell. It is a letter in which "Yours very sincerely, Pater" asks Wilde to come round to his rooms in Earl Street one afternoon to have a cup of tea as he had not seen him for so very long

When I went up in '80, by which time Oscar was quite forgotten in Oxford, I did certainly hear foul aspersions against prominent professors and scholars, but never once was a breath breathed against the reputation of Walter Pater, in fact I never heard the Brasenose don spoken of at all, and was unaware—being a healthy young Philistine chiefly interested in Lord Valentia's hounds—of the very existence of this fine scholar

There is no doubt at all, I am afraid, that the evil reputation which later sullied his name came solely from the fact that after Wilde's condemnation it was maliciously remembered

against him that Wilde had always been so enthusiastic about him and that he, Pater, had seemed to wish to advance Wilde and propagate his views on certain objectionable matters by his Bookman review of The Peture of D rian Gray

But it may be well here to recall E J Bock's admirable study, II' Paters Einfluss auf O II ilde (Pater's Influence on O Wilde), which has been summed up in Professor Choisy's biography of Oscar Wilde in a few words as follows

Wal er Pater avait pour Wilde le prestire d'un grand prou eur et d'un pretre de la beauté. Ses essus sur la Renaissance lui résélèrent la splendeur de la prose anglai e e lui parurent. I écriture sainte de la beauté. Dans leur rapports l'ater maintenait envers son disciple une attitude réservée il se méfiait de ce dandy et parlait de lui avec séventé.

Professor Chois, therefore, after reading Herr Bock's book which was based on probatory documents and careful and extended inquiry and investigation, informs us that In his relations with Wilde Pater took up an attitude of reserve towards his disciple he was distributed of this dandy and used to speak of him with reverty

Choisy had Harris's book on his writing table when he was writing his Life of Wilde and was not for a moment so credulous as to believe the story about Pater. I can see him shrugging his shoulders in his pleasant study in the University buildings in Geneva, but I am rather surprised he should have gone on using Harris's fabrications—and his references to The Life and Confession are continuous—after he had had this opportunity of gauging Harris's truthfulness

Harris, however, is writing about the Piter of twenty years earlier than when the scurribites about the latter began to crystallize. As he had set out to depiet Wilde—for the portrait of him by which his memory is to stand or full—as a pervert proud and proclamatory of his abnormality, he deemed it useful and lucrative for his book to show Wilde seeking out and fostering doubtful and dangerous contacts both

that "so far from being a flabby æsthete, a weedy drawing-room jester or decadent gaol-bird, there was only one man in the College (and he rowed seven in the 'Varsity Eight) who had a ghost of a chance in a tussle with Wilde"

He goes on to relate a story of how Oscar received four men who came one night "to rag Wilde and break up some of that furniture he's so proud of" Here is the rest of it

four intruders burst into their victim's room, the others following upstairs as spectators of the game. To the astonishment of the beholders, number one returned into their midst, propelled by a hefty boot-thrust down the stairs, the next received a punch in the wind that doubled him up on the top of his companions below, a third form was lifted bodily and hurled on to the heads of the spectators. Then came the triumphant Wilde, carrying the biggest of the gang, like a baby, in his arms. He was about Wilde's size and weight, and hefty at that. But his struggles were useless, and he was borne by Wilde to his own rooms and buried by Wilde underneath a pile of the would-be ragger's fine furniture."

Sir Frank concludes that "Wilde must have been mighty fit to take on such odds as four to one, with such an overwhelming result I only saw the best side of his nature I never heard him utter a coarse word or thought"

Contrast the Wilde depicted above by an English gentleman and artist, who is also an athlete, with the Wilde evolved from the malicious inventions of Harris Wilde has left Oxford and has come to London to seek fame and fortune and Harris weeps over the terrible disadvantage at which he is going to be for this fight, as compared with what he would have been if he had looked after his body properly and developed himself as the "majority of English 'Varsity men do, who devote themselves to athletic sports", and he points out that 'Varsity athletes usually succeed in life because "a Spartan discipline proves itself incomparably superior to Greek accidence" According to this biographer Wilde "knew nothing of this discipline He was the perfect flower of academic study and

leisure He appears to have lived the life of Hannibal at Capua, in the sensuous delights that surrounded him at Magdalen where he had been taught luxurious living and where he had learned the delight of indulging expensive tastes. And pages of ill informed rubbish like this about the Wilde we have just seen defending his rooms and his blue china against a gang of four ruffians, an athlete among athletes, whom Harris describes, now as full fed with cloistered triumphs, again as pleasure loving, vainly self confident and weak, and again as a cultured, honour-loving Sybarite

Thus Harris, and thus no doubt the biographers to come Shaw has by now come to this passage on the Wilde as turned out by Magdalen, and surprise and doubt may be wrinkling his brows for he has seen and knows of the average Oxford man that he has mighty little chance of developing into a pleasure loving Sybarite there, especially when he is obliged, as Wilde was, to practise strict economy But he has Harris's word for all this and goes on reading, reading

And when he has finished his reading, reading, and is writing the letter to Harris of which so much has been made to boom this worthless book, and he is giving his analysis of Wilde s character, he writes as a preliminary to the passage where he says that Wilde ended as an unproductive drunkard and a swindler' the following words of lofty wisdom and insight

Externally in the ordinary action of life as distinguished from the hterary action proper to his genius he was no doubt sluggish and weak because of his giantism

And though he had not read Sir Frank Benson's above account at the time, he must certainly have read—because he includes it amongst the books that Harris wipes out—both my Unhappy Friendship and The Real Oscar Wilds, in both of which I have told the story of how, to give Frank Miles the time to escape through his studio window, he held the door against two Scotland Yard men with his back against it

89

Eh, bien! Monsieur George Bernard Shaw! On reading the interview in John o' London I wrote to Sir Frank to ask his permission to quote him on Wilde as an athlete in this book, and reminded him how fifty years ago I had called on him for a job in his company, and how he had graciously engaged me then and there, though stagefright prevented me from taking advantage of his kindness He wrote me he well remembered our interview and especially my "very fine white friend" (a bull-terrier I had brought down from Oxford) confirmed what he had told the interviewer and gave me the name of the Oxford blue who was the only other man at Magdalen who would have had any chance in a tussle with Oscar Wilde He wrote that this man, "himself a fine athlete," used to tell him of Wilde's muscularity and strength He adds "Americans who used to meet him on his lecture tour in the States, were astonished also at the great strength that lurked under that somewhat lazy and languid air that he put on" He agrees with me that it was sheer insanity that caused the tragedy of Wilde's life "the pathetic result of inherited tendencies amidst conditions that led not to their cure but to their development"

Harking back to Wilde as an athlete, I never once heard him refer even indirectly to his great physical strength. When Pierre Louys had written to decline his further acquaintance and he expressed a wish to punish him for this insult, he regretted that he had not learned the use of arms so as to be able to "teach these fellows a lesson". It is needless to say that he never once used his strength against his aggressors, even under the strongest provocation. And when Frank Harris backs up Lord Queensberry in his insolent boast that on the occasion when he called at 16 Tite Street, to expostulate with Wilde about his friendship with Bosie, Wilde showed the white feather, Harris is as usual lying and traducing his friend Wilde could with the greatest ease have taken Queensberry

by the scruff of his neck with one hand and Queensberry s 'friend' with the other hand, and have landed them outside in Tite Street each on his several ear, and I think it is a pity he did not do so. It would have taught the noble Marquis the lesson that he stood badly in need of and inspired him with respect for the 'flabby resthete,' and very probably have prevented him from attacking him further—and spared us all these tears

Wilde had the clemency of the very strong

Refreshed by his oratorical moralizing on a subject of which he obviously knows less than nothing, Harris now (p. 56) turns to my biography and transfers from the same page 159 there (where to camouflage his venial plagiarism about Oscar in masquerade he re-christened Mrs Morrell's husband by the name of George so dear to him, and gave an ampler sound to the name of the Hall where the ball took place), the essence of the following paragraph

Later on Society forced lum to assume another traveiti which in its essential features was not dissimilar to the one he had assumed when he went up to London in the rôle of a 'Professor of Asthetics and Art critic' as Foster describes him in his Aluran Oxonientic

Harris again camouslages this trisling transfer by writing that it was Wilde limiself, and not Foster, who was responsible for this description, which Harris qualifies as infinitely ludicrous and pathetic, proceeding to give hie or six pages to justify this condemnation. The two adjectives might be apily applied to Harris's disquisitions here. Ludicrous and pathetic' most certainly is his account of Wilde's débuts in London. He describes Salisbury Street, Strand (afterwards pulled down to make room for the Hotel Cecil), where Wilde had his first lodgings in London, as 'a very Grub Street for a man of fashion. It was, of course, nothing of the sort. Salisbury Street in the early eighties, if slightly less classy' than its sister, Cecil Street (the property was part of the London estate

of the Marquis of Salisbury), was quite a good address, quite as good as any in the adjoining Adelphi, as possibly Mr Shaw might remember. The rooms were kept by retired butlers and people of that sort, accustomed to cater for the wants of the gentry if not for "men of fashion". Of course Oscar never at that time posed as a man of fashion and it is too absurd of Harris to suggest it after informing his deluded readers some pages back that Wilde had already adopted his ridiculous æsthetic costume, and making Wilde tell us himself that at that time he was reforming fashion

Equally ludicrous and pathetic is Harris's statement that on his arrival in London Wilde "stepped boldly into the limelight, going to all the 'first-nights'" He does not explain how an unsuccessful journalist, as higher up he has described Wilde, living in what he has qualified as Grub Street, yet obliged to posture (and dress) as a "man of fashion" who had only very small means, could manage the "limelight" and the *premières* Wilde certainly did frequent the theatres and possibly did compass a few first-nights, but let Ellen Terry tell us in what way She was speaking—it was at a time when to pronounce Oscar Wilde's name in public was to court ostracism, but Dame Ellen was always as courageous as she was beautiful—at a meeting of the Gallery First Nighters' Club, and this is what she said

"In the gallery and pit at the dear old Lyceum, there used to be seen faces of many men who had won or were about to win distinction in the world the Burne-Joneses, the Justin M'Carthys, Alfred Gilbert, the great sculptor, the late Oscar Wilde, the poet O'Shaughnessy"

My quite rational deduction from this, quoted in my Life, was that "in the days when Oscar Wilde was writing his verse, he was not a prosperous man" and that "the young man whose circumstances force him to go to the pit or the gallery of the theatre à la mode will find difficulty in storming the fortresses of the British aristocracy"

If Harris had studied my biography throughout with the care which he sometimes gave to his marauding excursions into my books, he might have spared himself the ridicule of fcaturing poor, needy Oscar Wilde as ruffling it at premières in a way that calls up reminiscences of the gorgeous Arnold Bennett of The Diary I regret it all the more because I notice that for this same paragraph he did go, farther down, to one of my books, namely the Unhappy Friendship, and records in almost my spassima verba that never a bitter word fell from those smiling lips Harris rather fancied this observation of mine because I notice that he uses it again, clumsily camouflaged of course, at the end of his book I notice still further (on p 68) that he speaks of the divine Ellen Terry's admiration for Wilde and quotes her as saying she was more impressed by the genius of Oscar Wilde and of Whistler than by that of any other man, so that-with her Reminiscences at his elbowhe had still less excuse for his ludierous and pathetic nonsense about Wilde as a First nighter

## CHAPTER VII

## DID SHAW MEAN "VIPER"?

During the magnificent days when the "man of fashion," who was also an unsuccessful journalist living in Grub Street, was ruffling it so splendidly in the pit of the Gaiety or the gallery of the Lyceum, Harris did not know Wilde He only arrived in London in 1883 It is only some pages later on in his biography that we come to his description of when and where he first met him We shall come to that description later on

It is such that, taking it together with many of the preceding malicious misstatements about Wilde—I say nothing about the appalling slanders farther on—I found myself laying his book down and recalling all I knew of Harris, asking myself most earnestly whether in writing this book, besides the obvious purpose of making money, he had not the further motive of paying off old scores against his friend, whether for many years past he had not harboured grievances against Wilde On the one hand, we know that his constant attempts to batten on Wilde's brain, especially after his downfall, were not always successful, and as a business man he may have resented both the slight implied and a certain loss of invested time and capital But there might have been a sharper and more compelling incentive the constant rankling of wounded vanity.

During the last few weeks we have read over and over again in the reviews of Harris's book on Shaw the remark attributed to Wilde about Harris as a diner-out And then, of course, there is the conversation he overheard at the Hôtel

des Bains, la Napoule, in January, 1898, when Wilde was telling Mellor how absurd it was of Harris to boast of his social position in London, which was nothing as compared to what his own had been, and that Frank Harris was specially ridiculous when he talked about literature Fancy a Jeremiad preached by a man in a fur coat! Frank's comic Harris professes to have eavesdropped on this occasion with a magnanimous smile on his face, listening to the man whom he was loading with benefits, sneering at him to a perfect stranger He says that this showed him what Wilde really thought of him, but, morbidly vain as he was, would have us believe he did not resent it

It seems to me certain that Harris bitterly resented Wilde's sneer, and though he posed to the last as Wilde's friend, admirer and ungrudging benefactor he may all the time have wished to avenge himself. We have had in Wilde's unhappy career many instances of how bitterly he was made to pay for his bens mots against other people. In Corsica we murder for a wound to vanity, usually with a bullet from behind a wall, in England the feeling of revenge is quite as bitter and the methods perhaps equally fatal, if not so direct. I think the best example of this is to be found in the leading article which Sir Edwin Arnold wrote in the Daily Telegraph for April 6th, 1895, on the night of Wilde's arrest at the Cadogan Hotel after the collipse of the Queensberry prosecution

It was a most vituperative article and even at a time when everybody had cast aside that sense of British fair play of which we are so proud it did evoke some sympathy for the man thus pilloried. It was thought not cricket to attack a man in such a way at the very moment when he was faced with a criminal trial, and on the very morning on which the article appeared was to take his place in the dock at Bow Street People wondered what could have impelled Sir Edwin to violate thus one of the stringent rules of British journalism

It was known that the then proprietor of the paper had serious reasons for grave displeasure against Wilde, but it seemed quite certain that in this matter Sir Edwin had acted entirely on his own initiative. One could hardly attribute it to the editor's righteous indignation on moral grounds, for reasons into which it is not necessary to enter here. An explanation which was given was that Arnold had never forgiven Wilde for a slighting remark about some literary work of which he was vastly proud

On December 11th, 1888, Oscar Wilde had reviewed in the Pall Mall Gazette a book called "With Sa'di in the Garden, or the Book of Love, by Sir Edwin Arnold, MA, ACIE, author of The Light of Asia, etc (Trubner)" The review was headed "Sir Edwin Arnold's Last Volume," and this was Wilde's mot d'esprit which provoked seven years later Sir Edwin Arnold's philippic in the Daily Telegraph

Sir Edwin Arnold has translated Sa'dı and someone must translate Sir Edwin Arnold

The review was of course anonymous, but everybody in Fleet Street knew who the writer must be

I remember thinking and saying at the time, when people were wondering as to the ferocious tone of the *Daily Telegraph* leader and this seven-year-old review was recalled, that *Sa'di* might have suggested *Sade*, and the famous Marquis such unnatural cruelty

Here is some of the abuse that Sir Edwin Arnold hurled at the wretched man who at that moment was lying in a cell in Bow Street Police Station, utterly disgraced, hopelessly ruined and with years of torture and abject poverty before him as his only prospect. The article begins

Hardly had the Queensberry trial recommenced yesterday, when Sir Edward Clarke withdrew from the hopeless task of maintaining his unworthy client's case, and the miserable impudence of the prose-

cutor's charge collapsed The jury directed by the Court wanted not so much as one minute s consideration to find that the statement made by the Marquis of Queensberry was true in fact and that its publication in the form of the alleged libel was for the public benefit with the consequent verdict of Not Guilty ' The Judge did not attempt to silence or reprove the irrepressible cheering in the Court which greeted the acquittal of this sorely provoked and cruelly injured father As for the prosecutor whose notoriety has now become infamous he made no appearance yesterday upon the scene and he has since been arrested at the instance of the Treasury on a charge of a very grave character

This being so as regards any further influence which he can exercise upon social literary or artistic matters and the contempt and disgust felt for such a character being fully met by the hideous downfall of the man and of his theories we may dismiss the prisoner without further remark. We have had enough and more than enough of Mr Oscar Wilde who has been the means of inflicting upon public patience during this recent episode as much moral damage of the most hideous and repulsive kind as no single individual could well cause

If the general concern were only with the man himself—his spurious brilliancy inflated egotism diseased varity cultivated affectation and shameless disavowal of all morality—the best thing would be to dismiss him and his deeds without another word to the penalty of universal condemnation

#### And so on

When one has seen the editor of the most important paper in London publishing such an article, against all the elements of justice, fair play and even legality, prompted merely by pique for a trivial, anonymous gibe, one may well pause to wonder whether some such motive may not also have prompted Harris to write his book about Wilde all the more readily so that nobody could frequent Harris without detecting in him a distinct type of the class which Henri Becque depicted in his play Les Faux Bonshommes For Harris's bonhomie rang as base metal, his verbal hospitality—Crosland may be read on this in one of the last articles he ever wrote, in Public Interest —usually proved itself a sham and where his friends

and admirers saw in him a Christ-inspired Crusader ever ready to couch a lance in defence of the poor and oppressed, the shrewd and uncozened observer beheld rather the *Lands-knecht*, the mercenary and, if the wage were high enough, the bravo This last book of his certainly seems to justify the latter view of his character and aims in life

To revert to Sir Edwin Arnold's article for a moment, it is perhaps of interest to record that almost exactly ten years after he had so denounced Oscar Wilde and had, in such scathing terms, consigned him to the eternal oblivion of the unmentionable, there appeared in this same Daily Telegraph, to wit in April, 1905, a review of a book which had been issued privately three years previously, and in that discreet form had won throughout the whole world a humane reconsideration of the non inter Christianos nominandus, for a reason which the reviewer indicates This is a copy of the significant part of this notice

It is certainly pathetic and undeniably interesting written, too, and in parts rises to the dignity of real literature that, we venture to think that it would have been better if the "story" had never been written, or, if written it must be, it had been restricted to that "discreet method of publication" which gave it private circulation only As it is, it will, we imagine, be regarded as something in the nature of a challenge It is a great thing to have a high opinion of a friend, a greater thing still to stick to this ideal, through good and evil report, and no one will blame the author for his attempt to do justice to the memory of one whom he regards as a bright genius of the last century in whom the "allied madness" once got the upper hand For our part, we have no fault to find with the language in which the author couches his vindication, for, barring certain references, foolish rather than anything else, to the British character, there is nothing to which one can take exception in the whole book. The personal sketches of the man are extremely good and telling He tells many interesting little anecdotes of Wilde which are very suggestive of his peculiar affectations at the time We quote one or two

A large part of this little book is devoted to the tragedy of Wilde's career. This is a matter we cannot enter upon here

Thus—about the little book that coming out less than two years after Wilde's death, prepared the world for *De Profundis* and encouraged Meyerfeld and then Ross to publish Wilde's manuscript—a writer in the same office in which Wilde and his works had been consigned as unmentionable to eternal disregard

That was twenty-seven years ago and meanwhile the little book has gone on and on, and others have been reading, reading, reading. Here, for instance, is a letter that its author received ten years ago, just a quarter of a century after he had laid down his pen after writing it

It came to him from a Parisiun woman of the world, a lady highly distinguished in French Interature, whose second name proves that it was critical acumen, nay, almost cynical judgement of men and their motives and a strong distaste for pretence and sentiment, that could be looked for in the household in which she was reared from childhood. For it is the daughter of Clémenceau, the 'Tiger, who wrote this letter, the same Madeleine Jacquemaire who, presenting her Pat de Banthe to the author reviewed above, wrote in it 'En remerciment de ton livre in profond sur le grand Orient Wilde. (In gratitude for his so profound book on the great Oscar Wilde)

Her letter, which only reached the author some months after she wrote to him—and that by a miracle of international post office efficiency—runs as follows

19, RUE DARU, VIII<sup>e</sup>
PARIS, 9 Avril 1927

MONSIEUR,

Je viens de lire votre livre sur Oscar Wilde et j en ai été si touchée que j eprouve le besoin de vous le dire

C'est comme vous le dites, l'histoire d'une amitié malheureuse —mais aussi d'une bien belle et profonde amitié Rien n'y manque, même pas la suprême amertume de la lettre affreusement injuste où l'ami auquel vous aviez été ni fidèle vous accuse de parler mal de lui "derrière son dos"

Il fallait un grand cœur, Monsieur, pour conserver pur un si beau sentiment à travers de pareilles épreuves aussi que Oscar Wilde eût de quoi l'inspirer. Il fallait enfin un talent touchant et simple pour conter cela au public Vous avez fait à vos lecteurs un honneur de noble qualité en lui parlant si ouvertement Pour ma part, je vous en ai une vraie reconnaissance et me permets de vous le dire en toute bonne foi Votre livre m'a l'aime à le dire à vous et J'ai toujours beaucoup admiré les œuvres d'Oscar à mes amis Wilde, qui était plein de génie J'avoue que ce que je pouvais savoir (d'après ce qui a été écrit) de son caractère m'avait en général horripilée Vous ne niez rien des faits, cependant, je ne sais comment-il se trouve que, le livre fermé, une impression toute autre et qui paraît plus juste, succède à l'ancienne un bienfait de l'amitić et vous aimerez à penser que vous l'avez réalisé

Peut-être vous ai-je rencontré jadis sans le savoir, chez certaines des personnes que vous avez connues à Paris, et qui étaient des amis communs—Georges Hugo, par exemple

Mais un livre comme le vôtre vaut bien plus qu'une rencontre C'est ce livre, si beau, qui est le sujet de ma lettre lettre si hasardeusement adressée que je ne sais si elle vous arrivera jamais

Veuillez croire, Monsieur, à l'expression sincère de ma sympathie et de mon admiration

MADELEINE CLÉMENCEAU JACQUEMAIRE

of which the following is the translation

19 RUE DARU, VIII<sup>e</sup>,
PARIS, 9 April 1927

DEAR SIR,

I have just finished reading your book on Oscar Wilde, and I have been so touched by it that I feel I must write and tell you so

It is, as you say, the story of in unhappy friendship, but the friendship is a very deep and beautiful one Nothing is lacking in it, not even the supreme bitterness of the atrociously unjust letter in which the friend to whom you had been so faithful accuses you of speaking badly of him behind his back

It required a great heart, sir, to preserve unalloyed so fine a feeling athwart so sharp a test. It was requisite also that Oscar Wilde should have in him the power of inspiring such a feeling There was required, in fine, a touching and simple talent to tell it all to the public You have paid your readers an honour of noble quality in speaking so openly As for me, I am truly grateful to you for it and I take the liberty of telling you so in all good faith Your book has touched me-I want you and all my friends to know this I have always admired the works of Osear Wilde, who was full of genius I admit that all I had heard about his character (from what had been written about him) had generally speaking horrified me You don t deny any of the facts, nevertheless-I am sure I do not know how or why-it so happens that when the book has been laid down, quite a different impression, which appears to be a more just one, takes the place of the former impression This is one of the benefactions of friendship, and you will like to know that you have achieved it

I fancy I may have met you formerly without knowing it at the houses of people you knew in Paris who were mutual friends—at Georges Hugo s, for instance

But a book like yours is worth far more than any social

contact It is your book—so beautiful—which is the subject of my letter—a letter addressed so haphazardly that I am not at all sure that it will ever come into your hands

Please believe, sir, in the sincere expression of my sympathy and my admiration

MADELLINE CLÉMENCEAU JACQUEMAIRE.

The book reviewed in the Daily Telegraph on April 21st, 1905, and written about in the above letter in 1927 by the daughter of Georges Clémenceau, is my The Story of An Unhappy Friendship, originally published privately in 1902

It is one of the books that Harris's biography of Wilde has, according to George Bernard Shaw, utterly wiped out. This would be a depressing prospect, except for the consolation I find in the thought that some of the best passages in it, some of my phrases and thoughts, will continue to survive in Harris's book, which, again according to Shaw, is to live as long as Wilde's memory is handed down to remote posterity. So I shall not perish altogether. Non omnis moriar!

This reflection is, as Mr. Pecksniff once said, "also very soothing," and all the more so as having lately been reading my Siegmund Freud again, I have sometimes wondered, not from any personal alarm but from mere curiosity, whether when George Bernard Shaw assigned to his friend Harris his abstersive literary rôle, this may not have simply been the involuntary, almost automatic result, of one of those subconscious mental processes which Freud describes in his book on memory. It has occurred to me that, having read Harris's sacrilegious attack on Wilde's honoured mother, on his respected friend Pater, on Constance, his wife (a particularly spiteful diatribe) and on the brother whom, in spite of all, Oscar loved and admired, and whom Harris foully traduces, there may not have registered themselves in the inner sanctum of Shaw's

brain, where his memory of Æsop's Fables would be duly stored, the two words

#### HARRIS-VIPER

illustrating some impression of such a snake striking its poison fangs into the bosom which had sheltered and fostered it

I remembered next that Shaw is fond of using the Cockney pronunciation and that in London, "v's are pronounced by the hoi polloi as w s, so that the chances were Harris might be inscribed on the memory deltas' of Shaws archives as

#### HARRIS-WIPER

Now, a wiper wipes That function is his raison d etre So that when Shaw, naturally rather muzzy after reading Harris's book through at one sitting,' which would involve even such a superman in at least ten hours steady perusal (allowing 250 words to the minute) may in this way have come, when writing his 6,000 word letter to Harris just after he had laid down the 150,000 words of reading matter, to attribute to his friend the suggested role of Obliterator, of the Wiper Out

It was, by the way, on an occasion on which Wilde and I were together for luncheon at Marguery's restaurant on the boulevards, that in the course of describing a Freudan process of memory links, my friend for the only time during the seventeen years that I knew him suggested ever so faintly the snobbishness of which he has been so freely—and I consider, so unjustly—accused by Harris, Shaw and others. We had ordered lunch and had trifled with the hors docuves (which did not include caviare because Wilde heartily disliked it, in spite of Harris's sneer) and were waiting for the first course, when to pass the time I suggested to Wilde that it would be rather amusing for us to find out what a certain large *limit clossonit* jar, on the peculiar hideousness of which we had

earlier commented, might suggest to our memories, link by link. When at last Oscar reeled off the succession of his reminiscences set afoot by the ugly jar, he came somehow or other to "Gladys, Countess of Lonsdale". Unlike Harris I was not making "notes" in those days of all he said and so only remember the reference to the grande dame, and have since as much regretted this forgetfulness as I have of all the winged words which Victor Hugo once addressed to me, when he had caught me raiding his plum-tree in the garden at Hauteville House, Guernsey

"And what was your suite d'idées?" then asked Oscar

"Oh, I don't remember," I said, "I had got as far as Bibi-la-Purée and got to thinking that our waiter is a jolly long time in bringing us our *filets de soles Marguéry*!"

And "What a brute you are!" cried Oscar Wilde

My reference to Bibi-la-Purće, the famous outcast of the Latin quarter, was, of course, intended as a mild chiding for the "Gladys, Countess of Lonsdale"

When I think of how Wilde looked in those early days and compare my remembrance of that athletic and Apollo-like figure and the singular beauty of the face lit up by genius and good nature, and contrast it with the corporeal picture which Harris gives of him at their first meeting at Lady St Helier's (pp 91, 92)—so disparaging, so obviously, spitefully untrue—I cannot help reverting to the opinion that Harris never liked him at all, was never his friend, was indeed his secret enemy, who saw in a venomous biography in which he would entirely misrepresent and traduce him, the opportunity of making large sums of money and at the same time of gratifying a year-long resentment

It was after reading this description of Wilde by Harris that, for only that one time, I broke my resolution not to say or do anything to denounce Harris's book, to interfere with "the frail, old man" or to interrupt him in the enjoyment of

very much more than thirty pieces of silver. Hearing from Clémenceau's daughter that she had come to a kindlier and juster opinion about the unhappy man whom Shaw had described as having ended as a drunkard and a swindler, and about whom Hairis and Davray (who both professed to have been his warm friends and admirers) were through the translated Life and Confessions prompting Paris—as instanced by the published words of Mutre Thery, inter alios—to regard him as a vulgar debauchee, an eieroe, an ivrogne, a mention, heaping every kind of infamy on his own head, I decided that I ought to prevent her from being influenced by the awful miasma with which in the Paris of to-day his memory had been befouled

So I wrote her a short note and was glad to read in her answer the following assurance  $% \left( 1\right) =\left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{$ 

Jai été très frappée de ce que vous mavez écrit au sujet du livre de F Harris que je n ai pas lu et que certes je ne lirai pas En revanche je racenteria autour de moi eeq que vous me nidites Combien je comprends votre indignation! Je me rappelle avec admiration le livre si beau pour lequel je vous ai cert et j ai parlé de vous avec mon amie d'enfance Mine Herman Paul—que vous avez connue quand elle était Mine Georgei Hugo

It was refreshing to hear that there was at least one distinguished house in Paris where Mr Frank Harrs, chaperoned by Wildes former beneficiary, Henry D Davray, would never be allowed to play the role of The Gentleman With The Duster assigned to him by Mr Bernard Shaw

### CHAPTER VIII

# "WILDE ATS WHISTLER (HARRIS INTERVENING)"

The farther one progresses into Harris's book, the more impressed is one with the fact that he knew very little about the subject of his biography, and that he never took the slightest trouble to ascertain any truth where his imagination could be called into play and where invention could replace research Instead of laboriously routing out authentic documents he thought it quite sufficient for his purpose to use any books, including mine, that he could lay hands upon, to camouflage his plagiarisms from them and let guess-work do the rest. His object after all was not to give a faithful biography of Oscar Wilde but to manufacture a book that would sell readily for the prurient interest it was certain to arouse, and he knew that to succeed in that he must depict Wilde, not as he really was, but as the kind of man that a malicious world wanted to hear about

A little painstaking on Harris's part would have spared his readers the nonsense he writes about Wilde's first book of poems (pp 67, 68) We are told that having prepared the way for the success of the publication by his æsthetic masquerading and having brought it out at his own expense with a publisher called Bogue in 1881, "the book had an extraordinary success," that "Oscar had built high fantastic hopes on this book," and that these hopes, although Harris contests his claim to the title of poet, were in so far realized that the sales were large, that four editions were disposed of in a month

and that Wilde actually made quite a good profit out of the venture Thus Harris

The facts are that only 750 copies were first printed (June, 1881) and that each of the three editions which came out in 1881 consisted of 250 copies only, of which a large number were sent out for the reviewers, so that the first edition must have consisted of barely 200 copies On January 24th, 1882, a second printing was considered warranted and Wilde ordered another 500 copies from the printers, of which 250 copies each formed the fourth and fifth editions The public demand had, however, practically died out and when in August of that year David Bogue became bankrupt there was a large stock of unsold sheets on hand which were transferred to Messrs Chatto and Windus (whose office was a kind of Home for Lost Poets) These gentlemen kept the Poems before the public for seven years in their catalogue, but without much result, as in 1892 220 or 230 copies in sheets were taken over from them by Messrs Elkin Mathews and John Lane, to be issued as The Author's Edition Altogether if in the first year about 400 copies of the Poems were sold it took more than eleven years to bring the torul of the sales of the book up to less than 900 copies, the profits on which to Wilde, who figures in the agreement with Bogue as The Proprietor, after he had paid for the expensive paper, printing and white parchment bindings and had allowed Bogue his 10 per cent commission and his 13 copies as 12, would be so small as to be negligible I remember asking David Bogue, in 1802. when he was working as business manager in the Daily Graphic, whether Wilde hadn t done pretty well out of his publishing venture, and he only shook his head and laughed Still, there are not very many poets in the world who could point to a sale of 400 copies at 10s 6d per in six months

At that time—1881—there was only one man living in London who was an assured customer for the publishers of

the first volumes of new and untried poets and that was André Raffalovitch, a young millionaire living in splendour in Park Lane who avenged himself later on Oscar Wilde for one or two gibes about his personal appearance and his social success by breaking up a new friendship to which Wilde attached great value

For his account of the reception of the book by the London reviewers Harris saves himself all trouble by simply copying my very words. I deemed it unnecessary to cite more than the opinions, or rather extracts from them, of the two literary journals which in 1881 carried some weight with the trade and the public, namely the now defunct Athenæum and the Saturday Review I quoted the latter's review first and that of the Athenæum afterwards. Harris, ever skilled in camouflage, quotes the latter first and the Saturday lower down

I wrote, introducing this review

The Athenaum gave the book the place of honour in its number for the 23rd of July

Frank Harris writes, introducing this review.

The Athenaum gave the book the place of honour in its number for the 23rd of July

He lifts my citation "Mr Wilde's volume of poems may be regarded as the evangel of a new creed From other gospels it differs in coming after, instead of before, the cult he seeks to establish We fail to see, however, that the apostle of the new worship has any distinct message"

Still with a view to camouflage, he paraphrases my "The charge of imitation is particularly insisted upon," into "nearly all the book is imitative," which he quotes as taken from the review—which it is not—and winds up by quoting the first lines of my coricluding citation "Work of this character has no element of endurance"

He carefully on its everything that the reviewer wrote in

favour of the book which, of course, I had been at pains to transcribe. As for instance. Turning to the execution of the poems, there is something to admire. Mr Wilde has a keen perception of some aspects of natural beauty. Single lines might be extracted which convey striking and accurate pictures.

Of course he omitted this He wasn t out to show Wilde, the poet His job was with a Wilde, a pervert

In his manipulation of my excerpt from the Saturday he follows me just as closely, but emphasizes the reviewer's parting fling

I wrote 'the Saturday Review contemptuously disposed of the book in a few sentences at the end of an article on Recent Poetry' It begins Mr Wildes verses belong to a class which is the special terror of the reviewers, the poetry which is neither good nor bid I give some typical Saturday Reviewing about the book and terminated my quotation with the words

Strong exception is next taken to the sensual tone of the poems and the review concludes with the following. This book is not without traces of eleverness but it is marred everywhere by imitation insin certify and bad taste.

Harris informs his readers that the Saturday Review dismissed the book at the end of an article on Recent Poetry, as neither good nor bad. The reviewer objected in the English fashion to the sensual tone of the poems but summed up fairly enough

This book is not without eleverness, but is everywhere marred by imitation, insincerity and bad taste.

I did not in the least object, at the time when I first noticed it, to the use he made here of my book I know something of the intolerable weight of the pen and of the fatigue of searching through files and of shifting documents from one place to another, as my friend Henri Céard once said to me as we were looking in at the reading room in the Musée Carna

valet, watching the Nethinim of letters at their wretched tasks He was heartily welcome to my materials for a decent construction, even without any acknowledgement. This is the attitude I took up in the letter I sent to M André Maurois, which he published in Le Mercure de France in part answer to Harris's savage attack on him as a plagiarist. It simply stated that Maurois was quite justified in taking from Harris what Harris had taken from me. I considered that Maurois had been badly treated by the Mercure. And I am glad Harris never answered Maurois's letter and mine, because he might have provoked me into saying what circumstances and Mr Bernard Shaw are forcing me to say now

I will add that probably enough my disinclination to "revive an unspeakable grief," as well as a certain dislike of hard work, would, even in spite of the provocation alluded to above, have prompted me to the lascia corre of Corsican philosophy had I not heard quite recently that Frank Harris's heirs are leaving no stone unturned to issue a British edition of the Life and Confessions in London. This has at last been made possible by the mansuetude and gallantry of Lord Alfred Douglas, who has withdrawn his interdict on this publication provided Harris's "New Preface" is included, as well as the corrections which Lord Alfred wished to make. If, as seems probable, this book is shortly published in England, it is essential that the public should know what credence can be attached to Harris's statements about Wilde

At the end of his quotations from my book about Wilde's poems, Harris adds a line or two of criticism of his own and tells us that "there was not a memorable word, or a new cadence or a sincere cry in the book". When I read this I could not help remembering a letter that reached me in Naples in 1881 from a gentleman who afterwards highly distinguished himself in a diplomatic career, which led him to a title and an ambassadorship, and who himself is a poet of exquisite parts

In this letter, writing to me a stranger, he wrote in high praise of Oscar Wilde's wonderful book of poems and urged me to acquire a copy at once. This was something that I was unable to do, as at that time I was ferrying passengers to the Capri and other steamers for embarkation and debarking, and that my tariff of due sold (Id) a head and un banceo (\(\frac{1}{2}d\)) for each parcel or market basket (\(\text{cheu}\) \(\text{cheu}\) \(\text{cheu}\) \(\text{cheu}\) and to leave any margin for the purchase of volumes of poems at 105 \(\text{6d}\) (or more than 250 \(\text{banceth}\)), representing the transportation by Nethnim methods of more than 250 heavily laden market-baskers!

The succeeding pages of Harris's book teem with inaccuracies, guesses, contradictions. Indeed except when Harris' is theorizing or playing the Chadband, or, worst of all, performing the ventriloquist with the corpse of a dead friend as his puppet, dragged out of a dishonoured grave to be made to enunciate confessions of infamy for the delight of the Sty-Children, there is hardly a page in the whole book which is free from these blemishes, of which many proceed from sloth and carelessness, but too many, also, alas from a deliberate intention of besmirching his dead friend

Just after his sage remarks on Wilde's poems he goes on to say that it was Willy Wilde who announced in the World (Nov 9th, 1881) that 'owing to the astonishing success' of his Poems his brother had been invited to lecture in America

For this misrepresentation with its implication of sheer dishonesty on the part of the Wilde brothers, Harris has no excuse whatever He had the text of the World paragraph before him in my Life (p 189) and he has wilfully altered it for the sake of his innuendo. He knew that nobody but Yates himself was allowed to use the editorial. I in the Entre Nous paragraphs of the World and he also knew that Willy Wilde was not on the staff of the journal but only a contributor and did not have the power to insert such a puff, did not write it,

in short He knew further that Yates neither wrote of the "astonishing success" of Oscar's poems, nor that he "had been invited to lecture in America," and invented both these lies to ridicule his friend Yates wrote "Mr Oscar Wilde has arranged to leave England next month for America where he will deliver lectures on Art subjects Mr Wilde's volume of poems, which has had a very large sale in America, will have prepared the way for him and no doubt insured him a brilliant reception in that country I hear "etc

To Wilde's tour in America—an important episode in his career—his "best" biographer devotes rather less than two pages of his two volumes, and of these two pages about one is taken up with his own comments on the lecturer and the lectures. As regards Wilde's appearance he admits that "the lecturer was a fine figure of a man," which contrasts with the description he farther on gives of Wilde when he first met him. The rest of his copy on the subject is transcribed from my book, including my quotations from articles which appeared about the Wilde lectures in the Nation of New York and the Boston Evening Transcript. He names the Nation but assigns the other citation to "the chief Boston paper," so as to mask the source whence he had taken his information

But it is lower down on this same page that he shows again ignorance of matters which vitally concerned Wilde's career, and his total indifference to the reader's prescriptive right to honest and accurate information. He here describes Whistler's first attack on Wilde as having been provoked by a lecture which Oscar delivered to some art students in Golden Square after his return to London in the spring of 1883, and states that this brought from Whistler the famous fleer "What has Oscar'in common with Art, except that he dines at our tables and picks from our platters the plums for the pudding he peddles in the provinces?"

Harris had evidently no document before him when he

wrote his misleading paragraph He only quoted the alliterative conclusion of Whistler's spiteful and unworthy onset, doubtless from memory I purposely refrained in my book from quoting Whistler, because I always had some liking for the man and a great admiration for the artist It was at some sacrifice of interest in my narrative, because it was undoubtedly Whistler's attacks on Wilde's lectures as plagiarisms that put a stop to his earnings as a public lecturer, at a time when he looked to these for the support of his family, and drove him to hackwork in La Belle Sauvage Yard for a living

The letter in which Whistler thus publicly denounces his former friend and devoted partisan was published in the World on November 17th, 1886. It was the copy supplied by Whistler himself to Yates of a letter which had been read a few days previously to a large meeting of the members of the National Art Exhibition.

Thus this attack was made about three and a half years later than the date assigned to it by Harris, who has no excuse for thus antedating the unhappy quarrel between the two friends, because if he had studied my books as carefully on this subject as he did when it was to his interest to do so, he would have seen that Wilde and Whistler were great friends in 1883 and 1884. I was constantly in their company in both years and here, for instance, is a passage from my Unhappy Friendihp (p. 89) which describes the nature of the relations between these two men at the time

Oscar Wilde returned to town at intervals and on more than one occasion pulled out of the pocket of his fur-coat a handful of notes and gold which he had earned so distastefully in the provinces and told me to take what I needed It is as much yours as mine he said You know I have no sense of property

On these occasions of his return to town we used to dine at the Cafe Royal and very often Whistler was a convine We used to drink Château des Mille Secousses a claret Whistler had discovered We were blind to the omen of its name And though Wilde seemed to be

113

the arbiter at these dinners, his deference towards Whistler was very marked. He seemed to take pleasure in paying him compliments I remember his once referring to something Whistler had done or was to do, with the expression "like the fine old-Virginian gentleman that you are" I witnessed also the exchange of much correspondence between them, repartee by letter or telegram, in which Whistler's sayings seemed to delight my friend. I was with him by the way, also, when the two quarrelled, but I never heard Wilde say one word, either then or later, in resentment

The words "on these occasions of his return to town" refer to the intervals between his lectures. I was then hiving in the same house as he was in Charles Street, Grosvenor Square, this will show the absurdity of Harris's remark that "at this time Oscar went on lecturing about the country undeterred by Whistler's attacks". There had been no attacks at that time, as I have shown—and consequently no perturbation

I have no doubt in my mind that Harris's motive in antedating Whistler's ferocious attack, with its consequent evil financial results to Oscar Wilde, was to prepare the way for his malicious statement that it was when driven by sheer necessity that Oscar Wilde married Constance Lloyd for the sake of her money

The words. "Whistler's like a wasp, and carries about with him a poisoned sting," which Harris puts into Oscar's mouth in his unveracious account of the quarrel, are invented by Harris. As I write above, I was with Oscar in February, 1885, when the newspaper duello between Wilde in the PMG and Whistler in the World was in progress and was actually in Wilde's company when the copy of the latter journal—dated February 25th, 1885—containing Whistler's venomous "Tenderness in Tite Street," came fresh from the press into his hands. He passed it on to me after glancing at the paragraph, but as I could guess it was rather spiteful I didn't read it but asked him to show how Whistler's signature could be taken for a butterfly, a question that has always

puzzled me and does so still Oscar, with great good humour and smiling all the time, tried to explain it by following the delicate tracery with the tip of his finger

Harris is so much at sea on this subject that he asserts that it was in this letter that Whistler described Oscar as the amuable, irresponsible, esurient Oscar—with no more sense of a picture than of the fit of a coat, with the courage of the opinions of others. The fact is that this gibe is the paragraph which follows the one about picking the plums from our platters, etc., in the letter which Harris described as Whistlers attack in 1883. It appeared neither in 1883 nor in 1885, but, as I have stated, in November, 1886.

Harris says that Whistler had the best of the argument in this controversy in 1885. It was, as a matter of fact, Oscar who had the unanswerable last word in his letter of November 24th, 1886, where he wrote. Atlas, this is very sad! With our James vulgarity begins at home, and should be allowed to stay there

Whistler nursed his grudge against Oscar for more than three years and then attacked him again most rancorously in Truth on January 2nd, 1890, in which he actually brought up the 1883 lecture to the Art Students in Golden Square and charged Wilde with not having acknowledged the help he had given him in preparing this lecture. He also accused him of having appropriated one of his (Whistler's) sayings in his essay on The Decay of Lying in the Nineteenth Century for January, 1889—twelve months previously! Wilde answered this letter in the following week's Truth, but very sensibly left a further letter from Whistler on January 16th unanswered For the true facts about the distressful Wilde Whistler quarrel, which certainly calls for a biographer's careful attention, I am entirely indebted to Stuart Mason's admirable Bibliography

Another most important period in Wilde's life was the time he spent in Paris in 1883 Harris knows so little about it that he post-dates it by one year, for it was in September, 1882, that he returned to England from the States, and it was with the remnant of his American gains that he undertook his memorable trip to Paris and stayed there at the Hôtel du Quai It was there that I met him and that we became inseparable friends, as is all set out in the little book which Clémenceau's daughter rather likes but which I regret to say has, according to Shaw, been wiped out by Harris seems rather a pity because that visit played a great part in Wilde's literary development and I really did try my best to describe it faithfully Harris dismisses the whole periodduring which The Sphynx was written, The Duchess of Padua finished, dispatched and rejected by Mary Anderson, and many seeds for a fair future harvest were sown-in just twenty-five lines, of which ten are sauce Harris to the rest The remaining fifteen lines contain one inaccuracy and one innuendo

The former is where he writes that Oscar "read enormously during his months at the Hôtel Voltaire to improve his schoolboyish French," and a suggestion of fibbing lies in the remark that, though Mary Anderson rejected The Duchess of Padua, Oscar always said that she had commissioned him to write it And so she had, and a legal agreement duly signed, sealed and delivered was in existence by which Miss Anderson undertook to pay Oscar Wilde £1,000 for a "first-class tragedy" which was to be delivered by March 31st, 1883 received 1,000 dollars down on signing the agreement but did not send it off till late in April, which was made the technical excuse on Miss Anderson's part for not carrying out her agreement She did not like the play and its history has not been one of success, though early in 1931 it was well received at the Paris Grand Opera, where it was used as the libretto of an opera by-the musical Prix de Rome of the year was no call whatever to cast any doubt on Wilde's statement and no doubt Harris knew all about the agreement and in 1914

it was reproduced in Mason's Bibliography, four years previous to the 1918 edition of Harris's book

Another inaccuracy on the same page further shows Harns s ignorance about Wilde's early life in London. He says that on his return from Paris in 1883, he took rooms again, this time in Charles Street, Mayfair, and adds some nonsense as to his reason for this change of address. He imagines that the man of fashion and first nighter had up to then been living in Grub Street. If he had ever troubled to document himself, he would have ascertained that already in 1881 Wilde was no longer living in Salisbury Street, for in that year he sent a copy of his Poems to Robert Browning with a letter dated from Keats House, Tite Street, Chelsea. This letter, quoted by Stuart Mason (who records that in 1913 it was bought by Bernard Quaritch for £76 at Sotheby's), could as easily have been referred to by Harris as by anyone else curious about Wilde's debut in London.

This fifth chapter next introduces an account of a call pard on Lady Wilde by Harris in 1884. The story is obviously as imaginary as it is male olent. At that time Harris was only a shabbily dressed reporter on the Evening News. Amongst other betraying blunders he states that it was then and there that he first heard of The Harlot's House—which was not written till a year later—in Paris. I am an authority as I was with Oscar when he was composing it, and had as it were the virginity of the poem, or shall we say the primeur. Also exthetic costumes were no longer worn in London by that time, 1884.

The further statement that Wilde at that time needed large sums of money to indulge his expensive tastes for first editions, old furniture, old silver, fine pictures, Eastern carpets and Renascence bronzes as averred by Harris is pure balderdash It is invented to lend credence to his disparaging version of the reason which prompted Wilde s marriage I was living

in the same house with him in Charles Street both before and after his engagement to Constance Lloyd The rooms on the top floor of the house were neither the "dining-room suite" nor the "parlour rooms" They were modestly furnished and reminded one, with the panelling on the walls of the front room (which commanded some mews) and their engravings of legal celebrities in black frames, of some Oxford rooms or the habitat of a struggling barrister in the Temple It was their cheapness which had attracted Wilde there and the fact that both Mr and Mrs Davies were devoted to him

They were excellent people to live with Davies was a model butler and valet and Mrs Davies was a splendid cook Oscar was as greatly liked and as faithfully served by this couple as at the end of his life he was loved and tended by M and Mme Dupoirier of the Hôtel d'Alsace He had a way with him I forget what the very low rental of the rooms amounted to but I do recall that we were only charged 6d a head for the early cup of tea and that for 3s 6d Mrs Davies sent us up a most excellent breakfast-lunch déjeuner, which included a bottle of respectable claret

Wilde was spending nothing in extravagance and on one occasion had had to allow the pawnticket for the Berkeley Gold Medal to run out, which obliged him to go before a magistrate to make a statutory declaration. His silver was never "old" in his pocket, his "bronzes" were Victorian

### CHAPTER IX

#### O HENRY? OH! HARRIS

It certainly mars the construction of Harris's narrative and spoils its credibility with most readers (or ought to have done so) that he shows us his subject contracting a mercenary marriage before he has given us a picture of the bridegroom. He tells us that Wilde, feverishly thirsting for gold, so as to be able to indulge his tastes for champagne and caviare, Eastern carpets and Renascence bronzes, and seeing nothing before him but the shoals of poverty and dreary days when even bread would be lacking—he justly points out that without bread, hunger is imminent, but forgets Marie Antoinette and Monsieur Foulon on this subject—suddenly cut the knot and solved all his financial anxieties by marrying a young lady without any particular qualities or beauty, who, however, had a few hundreds a year of her own

So many statements, so many untruths Constance was pretty, she was graceful Constance was beautiful Constance had intelligence, artistic appreciation and all the warm and fostering qualities of the wife and the mother, and she was as much in love with Oscar Wilde as he was with her when on the November morning in Dublin he asked her to marry him And she had not got a few hundreds a year of her own She had nothing Her father was dead and she was living with her grandfather who was devoted to her and had instituted her his heiress

I have related in my *Unhappy Friendship* how Oscar came one early morning to my bedroom to tell me of his engagement,

my comment upon the news, his rhapsodies at our dejeuner about her beauty and goodness and his love for her. I have also told of how on the day after their wedding, I was introduced to the young bride at the Hôtel Wagram, of his rapture and pride, of the flowers and the message he sent her during a short absence from her side. If ever a man was in love with his bride it was poor, radiant Oscar.

It was the radiance of the delighted bridegroom, not the exultation of the mercenary schemer who had brought off a coup and found himself "sitting good" for the rest of his life because adored by a wife with means. Harris knew the facts as well as I did and no doubt had heard Oscar's amusing story of how the old grandfather, lying on what threatened to be his deathbed, no sooner had joined the hands of the young couple and given them his blessing, than, for very joy of the occasion, he suddenly blossomed out into new health and vigour. A certain sum, certainly, was handed over as Constance's dowry—much of which was spent on the Tite Street house—but "the few hundreds a year" did not come to her till much later

To prepare us at last to meet this bridegroom face to face as seen by Harris on their first rencontre, Harris makes at the end of this chapter (on p 90) an abominably false and malicious statement about him at the time of his marriage. I will quote one sentence only from this fetid farrago "Even his marriage," he writes, "did not stifle the undertone of dislike and disgust Now indignantly, now with contempt, men spoke of him as an abandoned creature of unnatural viciousness". And he closes this putrid paragraph with one of the choicest things in the way of a revealing anticlimax that, I think, can be found in the whole range of illiterate pasquinade

This—according to Harris—being the London reputation of Constance's suitor, it is to such a man that her grandfather was willing to confide his cherished granddaughter's future happiness

It was to such a husband that he was willing to hand her dowry with the prospect of his entire future inheritance Harris had been careful to ruin the Dublin reputation of the man s parents in his first chapter, so we are not to suppose that the Lloyds approved of Constance s engagement on social grounds. We are therefore left to the supposition that Oscar Wilde, at the time he asked for the hand of Constance Lloyd on mercenary grounds, was of such great physical attraction that he had but to go a wooing, to win. To obliterate evil family reputation, to annihilate his own fearful ill repute, to arouse in the maiden whose wealth he coveted—being himself a pauper with wildly extravagant tastes—a passionate adoration and to win. Here is Harris's picture of him and his triumphant fascination.

He was introduced to Wilde at Lady St Helier's All this at the time when Harris was a reporter, poor and shabby Wilde shook hands with him in a limp way which he disliked. His hands were flabby, greasy, and his skin looked bilious and dirty. He was also over dressed and he was too stout. He had a habit of pulling his jowl when conversing. He inspired Harris with physical repulsion and Harris lays stress on this because he thinks most people felt it on first meeting. Oscar Wilde. He admired the one feature to which Rennell Rodd in a very beautiful poem once drew attention, addressing Wilde as You, with your wondrous eyes, and found—this is so base as to be hardly credible—ingnificance in Wilde's mouth and heavy, chiselled, purple-tringed lips. After this innuendo one hardly notices his allusion to a "black front tooth which shocked Harris, possibly because he had to imagine it, as poor Oscar's front teeth were sound enough at that time and it was only much later that for a short period the disfigurement existed which enabled Crosland to write of him as the Lord of Language with the bad teeth. In the last years of his life in Paris his mouth presented a perfectly

normal condition, as in spite of the boundless liberalities of Harris he died owing over £100 to his landlord, and amongst other things his gold-mounted denture was on sale at his inn, so that I had an opportunity of seeing it and can speak de visu

I think Harris shows very little skill in so decrying Wilde morally and physically at a time when he is asking his readers to believe that he tried to get and succeeded in getting a wife of good family who had the means to keep him in idleness and comfort

Harris soon got over his "physical repulsion" before the charm of Wilde's manner and conversation and then and there issued to him the first of the long series of invitations to meals which stud his books, without there being one single mention of any feast at which Wilde and not Petronius Harris was the arbiter This sequence of one-sided hospitalities would incline readers to consider Wilde as a pique-assiette, a parasite, if one did not know that the contrary was the truth, that Wilde may have dined and wined frequently with Harris but would certainly take good care that Harris should be entertained at his (Wilde's) expense just as often His dignity and self-respect in this matter were one of the traits in his character that I like to remember and I resent Harris's portrayal of him as a sycophant It was always one of the faults that Oscar used to chide Willy about his ready acceptance of treating, when he was unable to reciprocate

Also I doubt very much whether Oscar accepted that afternoon at Lady St Helier's the invitation to the Café Royal without first consulting Constance, with whom he was still much in love I doubt all the more the story of this lunch because in narrating it (p 94) Harris commits the blunder of putting into Wilde's mouth a witty story which he manufactures out of something Wilde was supposed to have said much later on It was that he had been asked by a firm of American publishers for a book of 100,000 words, and that

he had answered that he could not do so, as there were not 100,000 words in the English language. But he adds that Wilde told him that the publishers in question had offered to pay him \$5,000 down in advance on his accepting this commission. Harris forgets here that only a very few pages back in his book he has depicted for us the awful pecuniary straits which had driven Wilde to a mercenary marriage and here he makes Wilde relate how he had refused £1,000 (as it was then) for the sake of a quip. Some years later he was glad to accept \$500 from Lippincotts for The Picture of Dorian Gray. Many readers, but I shall not be of their number, will here wonder which of the two was lying

It is here that Harris first expatiates at some length on Wilde as a talker He reverts back and back to this subject throughout his book, but singularly fails in conveying even a faint impression of what was Wilde's chief charm He eulogizes his conversation in every conceivable term of laudation but somehow makes one think that if Wilde talked like that—hours on end—he was the sort of fellow one would have preferred to avoid I realize it is very difficult to do justice to the subject, as difficult as it would be, I think, to convey by description the charm of the nightingale's song Even Wilde's witty stories seem to fall flat in the recording The voice that told them, the eyes that lighted them up, the gesture that accompanied them are wanting, but somehow Harris gives no impression at all resembling the enthusiasm, the mental stimulus that Wilde generated in his listeners

I remember asking myself once when I had read Harris's umpteenth rhapsody on Wilde as a talker 'Now what does that passage recall to me? I know it's something somewhere, but what? And then suddenly I came upon the word mudhoney which was a word poor, great O Henry created and which Harris, more suo, commandeered and transferred to his vocabulary and at once I remembered

There is a certain paragraph in O. Henry's story, "The Atavism of John Tom Little Brar," which is obviously a caricature of Oscar's conversational papeers, and as I fainty that in his inner heart Harris always land a feeling of disparagement and contempt for Oscar Wilde I cannot help thinking that whenever he was listening to Oscar's hour-long dispursional he had this good-humoured that of O. Henry's in the background, and that this is what prompted his descriptions of Oscar as a talker. Here is O. Henry

When it got dirly me and John To a valled back to the Com Exchange Hotel and the foar of u hall appear there. I think the trouble started at that supper, for then war when Mr. I little Bear made an intellectual balloon areas ion. I half on to the tablezioth, and listened to him sorr. That reduces, if I could judge, had the gift of information. He took languages, and did with it of a Roman can do with inserton. His voc I remarks was all embroidered over with the most scholarly verb, and prefixe. And his sylvables was smooth, and fitted nicely to the joints of higher. I thought I'd heard him tall before, but I hadn't. And it worn't the re of his words, but the visy they come, and 'twisin't his subjects, for he spoke of common things like cathedrals and football and poems and cararrh and souls and freight rates and culpture. Mr. Convers under tood his accents, and the elegant sounds went back and forth between 'em . And now and then Jessers on D. Peters would intervene a few shops orn, sense less words to have the butter pased or another leg of the chicken

I really think that the best description of Wilde as a talker has been given by Lord Alfred Douglas in various of his writings on his unfortunate friend. There is his wonderful sonnet, for instance, "The Dead Poet"; there are passages in his Oscar Wilde and Myself which were certainly not written by Crosland (notably on p. 43), and there is a memorable passage in his Autobiography at the end of Chapter 12

And then there is, of course, Walter Pater, who in his Bookman review gives Wilde's faculty of talking the high praise of saying that it shows itself in his writings. In fact, he tells

us that there is always "something of an excellent talker about the writings of Mr Oscar Wilde," and that in his hands

the form of divlogue is justified by its being really alive? He adds. His genial, laughter loving sense of life and its enjoyable intercourse goes far to obviate any crudity that may be in the paridox with which, as with the bright and shining truth which often underlies it, Mr. Wilde startling his countrymen earnes on more perhaps than any other writer the brilliant critical work of Matthew Arnold. He also refers to his conversational ease, the fluidity of life evinced, and his felicitous expressions.

It is a fact that one can faintly recall Wilde, as one used to listen to him forty years ago, by reading his books

This first deseumer at the Cafe Royal-in 1884-never took place Wilde did not know Harris in those days Harris has made up this meeting to impress us with his own total lack of prejudice and his fine courage to show himself entertaining at a fashionable restaurant a repulsive looking man, who had an abominable reputation in London and had achieved nothing except-always according to Harris-some very inferior verses and two worthless plays, and who while in miserable financial circumstances was always clamouring for champigne and caviare For such is the Oscar Wilde as one pictures him from Harris s description, criticisms and comments Certainly Harris did have the pluck to entertain such a guest in such a place and he showed it, when he came to Oakley Street to take Wilde to lunch at the Cafe Royal in May, 1895, but that was eleven years later and in the meanwhile the unpleasantlooking poet had produced something rather superior in the world of letters Also Harris had advanced himself

Of course Harris's description of Wilde at that first meeting is a purely malicious one, invented for the purposes and schema of his book. I would like to be able to give here many of the scores of accounts I have collected from letters, conversa

tions and printed matter of how people of every class and rank, men and women, have recorded their very first impressions of the man in those days. I will quote only three. One is from André Gide's book and tells us that nobody who only met him in his last days in Paris could imagine the "prodigious being" he used to be. An Englishwoman of very high social standing and rank wrote to me. "I knew him first at a Huxley dinner just after he had left Oxford. I was old enough to be his mother, but I thought I had never met so wonderful and brilliant a creature."

The last is from a lady in the Midlands, a lady of refinement and culture, who was friends with Ellen Terry and entertained Irving at her house "I can remember him," she wrote me in 1904, "as though I had seen him yesterday. My mother was delighted with his appearance, she often afterwards spoke of his hands and his hair." Thus Oscar in 1884 when he was lecturing. She adds "It was a real distress to me to sit in that lecture-room looking at this wonderful youth and listening to his profound and beautiful words, while the rest of the audience were..."

So much for Harris's physical portrait of Wilde, his moral presentment of him is infinitely more cruel and misleading

The more I think of it, the more I become convinced of the fact that Harris harboured a grudge not only against Oscar but against his unhappy wife, Constance—It would seem that Harris was not a welcome guest at 16 Tite Street, for not a single mention is made in the whole of Harris's book of his ever having lunched or dined at the Wildes' house—Yet the two volumes have many accounts of meals with Wilde, but only with Harris as the host, at Park Lane, at the Café Royal, at the Savoy, que sais-je où encore? Certainly but for a very venomous feeling against Constance Wilde, can one imagine Harris stooping to invent the abominable description of Wilde's married experiences with her that he puts into her husband's

mouth in the course of a purely imaginary conversation with him (p 486) at Napoule? That it was a pure fabrication discloses itself from the fact that—as later evidence has demonstrated—Harris was not with Wilde at the time, nor within five hundred miles of him, and that he only joined Wilde at Napoule several weeks later. Certainly but for some such overwhelming rancour he would have refrained from his vile aspersion on the poor girls father and from heaping ordure on her lonely grave in the cemetery in Genoa (App 587). This is a particularly bad fabrication because he actually represents Robert Ross as having corrected him on details in this filthy story!

And in his letter to Harris after reading his book through at one sitting, George Bernard Shaw tells him that he, Harris, "could not have carried kindness —to Wilde, in this faithful chronicle — further without sentimental folly. He adds that Harris's book is no lying epitaph, but, though he had only an hour or two previously read Harris's comments under the heading 'Mrs Wilde's Epitaphi on page 587 of the Appendix, the word epitaph running out under his pendid not prompt him to add to his eulogy even a faint suggestion that, leaving all questions of good taste outside, it was unfortunate that Harris should have printed the text of poor Constance's epitaph in order to bring up a lying and long-forgotten slander about her father, of whom she was proud and whose memory is revered by his descendants

Leaving aside pages of disquisition suggested to him by the conversation at the *diffeuner* which never took place, I come to a passage (p 103) where Harris puts into Wilde's mouth words alleging that his, Wilde's, method of winning reputation and fame, of neglecting no opportunity of turning the limelight on his own doings was constantly to write letters to the papers, to seize every opportunity of advertising himself. This is the exact contrary of fact. Wilde had a great objection

In this respect he differed from Whistler, who could never get his cuttings quickly enough from the agent and indeed had christened him "the tardy Romeike" Wilde was never a subscriber, to my knowledge, to any press-cutting agency When I was staying with him in Charles Street and he saw me writing a letter and asked me whom I was writing to, I said there had been a "rotten" review of my novel in the Whitehall Review and I was writing to the editor to comment on his critic's remarks Wilde said that was the very last thing I ought to do He said "Do as I do, treat these things with silence"

I am therefore in a position to describe this passage as another of Harris's fabrications, Wilde would certainly not boast of methods which he had in abhorrence When Harris put these words into Wilde's mouth he forgot that every letter that Wilde ever wrote to the papers has been known, recorded and classified, and that the proof confounding his malicious statement is within the reach of every reader of his book let us take the two papers which in those days were the organs that gave the widest publicity in London, especially to literary men on literary matters the Pall Mall Gazette and the Daily Chronicle Between October 14th, 1884, and October 2nd, 1894, Mr Wilde contributed exactly 100 papers to the Pall Mall Gazette Of these, all but eleven were anonymous, one of the latter was signed "O W," and of the remaining ten, one or two were signed "Oxoniensis" He also had three or four signed articles amongst these The most important letter, on "Mr Swinburne and the Quarterly Review," was signed "Oxoniensis" It was only in 1894, when he was in no need of publicity of any sort, that he contributed two or three signed letters to the Pall Mall, including those two unfortunate letters in which he attacked Mr T P O'Connor His very last contribution to the Pall Mall Gazette was written shortly

afterwards (Oct 2nd, 1894), it referred to The Green Carnation and was in the same tone of arrogance, which clearly indicated approaching megalomania and ought to have revealed to everybody the devilish infection that was already ravaging his magnificent brain

In the Daily Chronicle, on the other hand, there appeared from first to last in Wilde's lifetime just three letters from his pen, and only the first of these, which appeared in 1890, concerned his own affairs. It was an answer to the very venomous eritique in the Daily Chronicle of Dorian Gray. Of the other two letters, one was signed by his name and the other as by the Author of The Ballad of Reading Gael. In neither of these two letters can Wilde be accused of secking to puff himself and his wares, the one is The Case of Warder Martin, and the other, published nearly a year later, is entitled

Prison Reform'

There were further, during the whole of the fifteen years of his life as a man of letters in London, one letter from his pen in the Daily Telegraph and two in The Times One of the latter was an answer to an attack made by An Indian Civilian, the other, to a criticism on Salome There were also the two or three letters replying to Whistler in the World and Truth four letters in the St James is Gazette in 1890, replying to a violent attack on The Picture of Dorian Gray, and in 1892 a Mr Oscar Wilde Explains letter to the same paper Altogether, in twenty years, twenty letters concerning himself in the London Press

And then, of course, there is one other letter to the Press which was written at a moment of the most acute mental anguish, humiliation and terror. This was the letter he wrote from the Holborn Hotel after his lunch there with Bosic and Ross on April 5th, 1895. In this letter he endeavoured to explain his reasons for abandoning the Queensberry prosecution. It was written a few hours before his arrest. It was the one

letter he ever wrote to the *Evening News*, and was published when the warrant for his arrest had already been delivered and at the very moment when the *sbirri* of Scotland Yard, set hot afoot, gives in pocket, were on their way to the Cadogan Hotel

I am again indebted to Mr Stuart Mason's "Bibliography" for these data. They prove incontestably that Wilde never practised the vulgar methods of publicity which Harris attributes to him, and that therefore the latter has again—for purposes of disparagement, of course—fabricated the words which he puts into Oscar Wilde's mouth on a practice which he abhorred

A faithful portrait, indeed, Mr Shaw!

#### CHAPTER X

# HARRISS 'MANY INVENTIONS

An eminent French critic wrote of Harris's book that while Vol II is one long denunciation of Oscar Wilde—Davray by his extracts from the French reviews of the book has shown how effectual this was—the first volume is an exposure of English society This first volume," writes M Maurice Beaubourg, is the finer of the two, it is extraordinarily vital and forcible, it is the indictment and conviction, without any possible appeal, of the English médiocratie

By this last word, which he coins himself, Beaubourg wishes to describe the British upper middle classes He distinguishes them from the aristocracy, because Harris has led him to believe the best path to the greatest success in London society is to have well, the reputation for abnormality which he describes Wilde's name to have been associated with Falsely. of course, as far as the years he lived in London until the early nineties are concerned Nobody better than Harris ought to know that Wilde had no such bad reputation in London, because nobody better than Harris knew that any suspicion of anything wrong in this respect about a contributor would bolt and bar against him the office of every newspaper or review office in London He knew that Wilde contributed regularly during the years in question to the Pall Mall Gazette, during most of which time it belonged to H Y Thompson Now Henry Yates Thompson-experto crede Roberto, for I was for years closely connected with the PMG-was a man of the strictest principles and if any breath of scandal touched anybody who was a contributor, his I won t have that man writing

for my paper" went forth, and John Morley, Stead and Cook, who were all high-principled men, would acquiesce without any question

Wilde's reputation in London was so little tarnished that we find him being solicited in 1885, the beginning of the period about which Harris is romancing, by the editor of no less a publication than the Nineteenth Gentury to contribute to the pages of this review, which has always been so highly scrupulous. We find him contributing to it again at the solicitation of the editor in 1889 and 1890, and it may be remembered how, sadly discussing his future prospects as a writer with Warder Martin in Reading Gaol just before his release, he specially stressed how his disgrace must surely have closed the doors of Kegan Paul and Trench against him "Formerly the editor of the Nineteenth Century used to come round to Tite Street to beg for an article. To-day, I don't suppose he would even look at any manuscript I might send him"

Harris was for about eight years editor of the Fortughtly Review and during that period he published in this magazine four contributions from Wilde (1889, 1891, 1891 and 1894) These included "A Preface to Dorian Gray" He knew very well that Chapman and Hall, or rather, Oswald Crawford would never have allowed him to print any article from a man whose morality was in doubt, especially when a much higher price than usual was to be paid for the article paper and magazine publishing is a matter of business and in those days anything calculated to spoil the standing of a publication in the eyes of the public and consequently to hazard the sales was tabu Then there was Yates of the World, actually soliciting an introduction to "Willy's brother, the Newdigate man, of whom I hear so much and so favourably " Harris knew that Wilde was a contributor to this "Society" weekly, and he knew that Yates, of all men, though certainly he did tolerate B---- for a while, was far too keen a business

man to have a disreputable person contributing to his journal He had his eye ever fixed on the till in York Street

And further, does Harris really want to make people believe that such a firm as the highly moral, almost Puritanical publishers of wholesome literature, the Messrs Cassell, Petter and Galpin of La Belle Sauvage, would have engaged Oscar Wilde as editor of one of their monthly publications and boldly have displayed his name on the cover from June, 1887, till October, 1889, if there had been the faintest rumour as to his private character? It was not that Messrs Cassell considered his a very great name likely to boom their Woman's World, and were ready to secure it coute que coûte, because they offered him only the mediocre salary of £6 6s a week They knew he was reckoned an authority on dress, furniture, house decoration and artistic matters and that he was very popular with the lady clientele for which they wished to cater, and so they went out and brought him back into the Yard How little his name stank in those days-as Harris would have us believeis very clearly shown by the distinguished band of female con tributors that as editor he was able-though the pecuniary inducements he could offer were by no means attractive—to secure for the magazine, including Ouida, Olive Schreiner, Blanche Roosevelt, Marie Corelli, Lady St Helier and other peeresses, a real live Queen, who used to sign Carmen Sylva, and what perhaps knocks Harris's falsehood completely down, a lady of the English Blood Royal, the Princess Christian

The whole of Harris's pages describing Wilde in London up to the Queensberry scandal crawls with inaccuracies, which one cannot but consider as deliberate and calculated There is the nonsense, for instance, which he writes about the scandal aroused by the publication in Blackwood's Magazine of Wilde's

The Portrait of Mr W H About this Harris writes

The Portrait of Mr W H did Oscar incalculable injury It set everyone talking and arguing It gave his enemies for the first time the very weapon they wanted and they used it unscrupulously and untiringly with the fierce delight of hatred "There is no truth whatever in this statement. The story was given the place of honour in Maga for July, 1889 (post-dated). It was reviewed at length in the Daily News on June 29th, in a leading article which had obviously been written by Andrew Lang. There is nothing in Lang's critique to suggest that there was any impropriety in Wilde's "ingenious hypothesis," which, the writer thinks, "may be agreeable to the House of Pembroke". He adds that people have only guessed all along at the identity of "Mr. W. H." and "that Mr. Oscar Wilde's guess is perhaps quite as plausible." There was also a burlesque review in the Saturday, the bonhomie of which is indicated by its heading "Who's Hughes' or The Mystery of Mr. H. O." Elephantine banter!

Had there been any scandal caused by this publication it is probable that something would have been heard of it in the office in George Street, Edinburgh, whence *Maga* is issued Such a clamour of objurgation would surely have resounded as far as there. Doubtless in deference to the raucous shouts of the incensed public, prompted if not by the Press (whose reception of the tale has been described), then by the untiring efforts of Wilde's innumerable enemies and their fierce hatred, the Messrs Blackwood might have seen fit to withdraw the magazine. But no! the Messrs Blackwood seem to have heard not a word of complaint or even expostulation about the publication of the "ingenious hypothesis". On this subject these gentlemen themselves may be considered authoritative. And here is what they wrote me on September 17th, 1929.

45 GEORGE STREET, EDINBURGH

DEAR SIR,

There was no scandal attached to the publication of Mr Oscar Wilde's story "Portrait of Mr W H"

Mr Frank Harris is in error in the statement which you quote, and which you say refers to the above story. We believe that possibly he intended to refer to the story entitled 'Dorian Gray,' which we think appeared in The Yellow Book, but we do not know.

Yours faithfully,

The letter is signed by the firm itself

So much for the description by Harris of the Mr W H scandul

In this description Harris's subconscious mental ego seems to me to have been under the influence of de Quincey's account of the horror and fury that obsessed London at the time of the Williams's wholesale slaughterings in 'Murder as a Fine Art. It is the passage where de Quincey quotes Shelley Possibly Harris dimly visualized an essay on Self Murder as a Fine Art, with Wilde as its hero

He is equally quite inexact in his account of the public reception of The Picture of Dorian Gray I am aware that it is, or rather has been, on the British Index Librorum Prohibitorum, ever since its author's disgrace and downfall, while Lady Chatterley's Lover and other books in which the authors seem to have inspected public lavatories for the enrichment of their vocabularies, are in high favour and in great demand, but at the time of its publication in Lippinett's Monthly Magazine, in June (for July), 1890, beyond fluttering one or two dovecotes in Fleet Street, its public ingestion was so reluctant a one that Wilde foolishly deemed it advisable (by then he had unfortunately jettisoned his dignified reticence in such matters) to stimulate the public appetite by writing answers to the papers

I have already pointed out, but will here repeat, that when Wilde received this commission from the editor of Lippincott's, the £100 fee offered him for an approved manuscript of a novelette was so welcome a prospect that he made every effort to produce something that would satisfy the editor and earn the reward He was with me in Paris when he had just received the commission and so I speak with authority To suggest that, being actually in need of the money offered, he would deliberately write and send to the editor "a poisonous book," a pamphlet in propaganda of unnatural vices-writing as he had been asked to do for a public of moral austerity, of professing sabbatarianism—is to represent a shrewd and clever man as an utter fool At that time the pallid spirochetes which finally killed him had not yet attacked his brain and he was still sane and level-headed And that he had little interest in the story as a work of art is demonstrated by the fact that he was quite ready to "write in" six chapters of melodramatic extension, when it was pointed out to him by the English publishers that the story was too short for the British market, where a minimum of thousands of words of reading-matter was expected and demanded by the public in return for its money

In those days he merely looked on *Dorian Gray* as a "potboiler" When the critics eventually denounced it as an immoral book, nobody was more indignant than its author. An austere editor had published it in a respectable magazine, a highly scrupulous and most businesslike firm of British publishers of sensational fiction, cookery-books and other domestic literature had issued it in book-form, where, *um Gottes Willen*, could anyone find anything objectionable in it? True, certain anonymous scribes had attacked it as immoral—possibly from envy and malice and quite possibly also to help its sale in a spirit of *camaraderie* On the other hand, Walter Pater had publicly described it as "also a vivid, though carefully considered exposure of the corruption of a soul, with a very plain moral, pushed home, to the effect that vice and crime make people coarse and ugly" As a work of art—Oscar had

builded far better than he knew—it later won from so keen, puissant and scrupulous a critic as Oetave Mirbeau, the man who proclaimed Maurice Maeterlinck to the world and sponsored Marie Claire—its letters patent of supremacy

sored Marie Claire—its letters patent of supremacy

Now Mirbeau personally detested Wilde—He had met him
in Paris when success had turned his head and his hidden
disease was attacking his brain, and he had scourged him
pittlessly in his Le Journal dune Femme de Chambre—Yet,
as Lemonnier reminds us after Wilde's downfall, Mirbeau
was one of the few Parisian writers who used his pen to protest
against his sentence—He had just read Dorian Gray and
wrote—I cannot think without a redoubling of indignition
and revolt, that the perfect artist who wrote this book has
been cut off from life and is undergoing hideous punishment
for acts which—concern one's disgust alone—Lemonnies
ddds—This article which appeared in Le Journal on July,
1895, made a great sensation in Paris and for some months
Le Journal showed itself well disposed towards Oscar Wilde

To me it has always seemed as intelligent to describe Dorian Gray as wilfully written to propigate moral leprosy, as it would be to accuse Æschines for allowing the public scribes in Athens to record for posterity his philippic kata Timar hou, or Sophocles for his Œdipus Rex Wilde was no fool He would know that a thousand books, however artistic, well written, insidious and powerful, would no more excite in normal men any feeling about Dorian Gray's suggested perversity except one of disgust and repulsion, than a thousand grooms having led a horse to a tainted trough could force it to drink of its waters. And he knew that the percentage of men to whom such a book might appeal because they were of an unhappy, accursed congenitality is even in London so infinitely small—in spite of Harris's dicta on the subject—that only an idiot could look to them for the popular and financial success that he was so eagerly hoping for from this book

Passing over several pages in which Harris gives a fair and accurate account of Wilde's relations with Beardsley, a just and honest description of his friendship with John Gray, and a striking anecdote of how Wilde's conversation at a luncheon party at Mr Ernest Beckett's house won over a crowd of hostile and recalcitrant fox-hunting Philistines to enthusiastic appreciation of his gifts, we come on page 137 to a very bad instance of his unscrupulous activity as a plagiarist time it is André Gide that he plunders It is a doubly significant instance because in his letter to the Mercure de France, he has the impudence to accuse M André Maurois of having stolen from his book the story of Narcissus and of having attempted to defend the theft by saying that he, Harris, had previously "lifted" the story from Gide's little book and to explain that Wilde had told him this story long before he met Gide and told it to him Now this Narcissus story, as well as two other stories which Harris quotes from Wilde's mouth as having been told him at various times in their intercourse, are given in exactly the precise words recorded by Gide and translated by Harris

The instance of page 137—a parable about Joseph of Arimathea—begins in Harris's Life.

When Joseph of Arimathea came down in the evening from Mount Calvary, where Jesus had died

This and every word following is an exact translation of Gide's text This is how Gide had recorded Wilde's words to him

Quand Joseph d'Arimathée, au soir, descendit When Joseph of Arimathea came down in the evening du mont de Calvaire où venait de mourir Jésus . from Mount Calvary, where Jesus had died

And here it becomes necessary to point out the curious rôle that Davray plays in masking his associate's dishonesty. If he had translated Harris right back into French without mani-

pulation, Harris's plagiarism from Gide, in the three instances referred to, would of course have been apparent to every French reader and critic. So here and in the two other instances where Harris quotes Wilde as telling him stones which he, Harris, has filched from Gide, Davray camouflages the theft by altering Harriss text, putting in a few clumsy words of his own concoction and, while spoiling Gide's story and his prose, making a direct accusation of plagiarism against Harris less easy to establish

Thus, in the above story about Joseph of Arimathea, in Davray's version as faked by the translator we read

Quand l'obscurité fut descendue sur la terre Joseph d'Arimathée ayant allumé une torche de bois de pin descendit de la colline dans la vallée Car il avait à faire dans sa maison

There isn't a word of this in Harris's text, which is cribbed from Andre Gide word for word

Thirty or forty pages farther on, in an account of an imaginary lunch which he says he gave at the Savoy to Wilde and to which, he says, seven or eight of the twelve other men whom he had invited to meet Mr Oscar Wilde and to hear a new story refused to come, he again lifts, word for word, the story, which he puts into Oscar's mouth, from the pages of Gide Thus Harris

When Narcissus died the Flowers of the Field were plunged in grief and asked the River for drops of water that they might mourn for him

# Thus Gide

Quand Narcisse fut mort les fleurs des champs se désolèrent et de mandèrent à la rivière des gouttes d'eau pour le pleurer

## And thus Dayray

Quand Narcisse mourut les Fleurs des champs furent navrées de chagrin et demandèrent à la Source des gouttes d'eau pour le pleurer In this passage Davray improves on Gide, because it was in the pool of a spring—was it not?—and not in a river that Narcissus used to mirror himself—But this does not help to mask Harris's plagiarism

Traduttori Traditori! and in Davray's case doubly so

The Narcissus story, by the way, told in the way Wilde would tell it, and allowing for one pause, takes exactly fifty-five seconds to deliver, so perhaps the "seven or eight" guests who refused to meet Wilde did not think the promised entertainment quite long and attractive enough. The story was suggested to Oscar by a favourite passage in Meredith's Egoist

Does Harris really expect one to believe that Wilde, telling this story to Gide several years later, would use exactly the same words as he employed in telling it to the guests at the Savoy lunch? Davray did not, of course He thought that "yarn a bit too thick" and altered Harris's version accordingly, and probably saved Harris by so doing from an unpleasant exposure in Paris

The Savoy lunch fabrication is a cruel one the guests who refuse to meet the author of A Woman of No Importance, Harris's alarm at his appearance and manner, Harris's friendly hints to Wilde when "he took him aside and tried to warn him, and told him that unpleasant stories were being put about against him" This was in 1895 when Wilde's position was more secure than ever Harris goes on to make Wilde say that such stories were all malice, and ask "What do I care? I go to Clumber this summer"

Wilde was not a liar He was not going to Clumber that summer He had fallen out with the Duke of Newcastle years before, and his visits to the Dukeries had ceased before 1890 I have this on the authority of the late Duke himself in one of the last letters he wrote before his fatal illness. It is dated May 2nd, 1928, from Forest Farm in Windsor Forest I had been much incensed by the references of Thomas Cros-

land, Frank Harris and Bernard Shaw to Wilde's snobbishness. During the seventeen years I knew Wilde and was often so much in his company I only once on a single occasion heard him make any statement which would even faintly justify one in suspecting him of that so very common English infirmity

On the contrary, he readily admitted that he had not the acquaintance of social celebrities when that was the case. I once asked him if he knew the Prince, later King Edward VII. He said 'No, not at all, but added that he had once been in his company at a grand supper party given by a Sir Charles Somebody. He had been sitting close enough to the Prince to hear him say to his host, Are you sure, Char-r-rles, that you can affor-r-rd all this? Now here is in incident from which Harris, his traducer, would it least hive drawn a Contemporary Portrait, if not in anecdotal history of the whole House of Hanover. Wilde never again referred to having met the Prince even that once

I have never even heard him boasting of friendship with men distinguished, not by high social rank, but by high literary achievement, such as Meredith, Pater and Swinburne, for whom he certainly expressed the greatest admiration, but nothing more I could not believe Harris s stories about his social jactitations. I had never heard him allude to Clumber as having been a guest there. In fact, the only occasion on which we ever spoke about this magnificent country house was once at a supper at the Garrick Club given to Lord Edward Cecil, at which the Duke was present, and when a forthcoming house party at Clumber had been alluded to I casually said to Osear as we were going home that I wondered who was going to Clumber for this house party. Oh, he said, the Duke will take a table of precedence and draw a line across it pretty high up, and those above the line will be invited, but not those below.

So when I read all this about his boasting of country houses and so on, on which the amiable trio referred to above based their wanton charge against him, I thought I would like a document—this book was already in preparation—from a first-class authority with which to confound them I had some slight acquaintance with Newcastle and accordingly I wrote to ask him if it were true that he had ever entertained Oscar Wilde at Worksop I had forgotten that they had quarrelled thirty-eight years previously I received at once a courteous reply from his Grace (Oh, yes, I am a bit of a snob myself!) from which I will quote this one sentence only. "It is, unfortunately, to ue that Oscar Wilde was an occasional visitor to Clumber in the late '80's"

This established quite definitely in my mind the fact that Oscar Wilde did not tell Harris at a lunch at the Savoy in 1893 that he was going to visit the Duke that summer, any more than that he told him a story on the same occasion in exactly the same words in which he had told it to André Gide; and that therefore I was quite justified in stating that this lunch at the Savoy never took place at all Still less so because pursuing his campaign of defamation Harris had previously told us that Oscar Wilde was a person to whose presence in their restaurant the Savoy management strongly objected, and consequently it is highly improbable that Harris would have fixed on that restaurant for the lunch in question

But in the whole of this Chapter XI Harris seems to have been depending on his imagination and the writings of other people for the concoction of his narrative. Three or four pages before we come to the phantom banquet at the Savoy, we have him making Oscar tell him in detail that story of his about the blackmailers, which was dramatic, it is true, but most horribly suggestive and ill advised, and which I never listened to from Oscar's mouth without a shiver of apprehension. Wilde certainly told it in a dramatic way, comparing

the blackmailers coming out of the dark of Tite Street into the bright lights of his hall to panthers gliding through his doorway Harris omits this touch

His whole account of Oscar's recital of it is copied word for word from some report of the Queensberry trial, where he gave it in evidence, it was probably the report in the Oscar Wilde Three Times Treed book because I imagine that I used that when I was writing my The Real Oscar Wilde, and Harris actually reproduces my words there But of course here he adds to what Wilde did say a good deal that he, Harris, wished the public to believe he had said. It was necessary for his purpose-that is, to bamboozle his public-that Wilde should be a self-confessed pervert, so he puts into his mouth on page 162 this Of course, Frank, -he is describing his conversation with Allen- as I spoke my body seemed empty with fear I felt that there was nothing else for it but bluff Then lower down I went to the door with him and he walked away I closed the door but did not shut it at once. for suddenly I heard a policeman's step coming softly towards my house, pad, pad A terrible moment Then he passed by I went into the room again all shaken, wondering whether I had done right, whether Allen would hawk the letter about A thousand vague apprehensions Suddenly a knock at the street door My heart was in my mouth, still I went and opened it A man named Clibborn was there

Of all the wicked, cruel and clumsy inventions

Truly a faithful chronicle?

Truly Harris could not have carried kindness further without sentimental folly. Mr George Bernard Shaw!

Harris explains that his great distress about Oscar was caused, not by that wretched story about the blackmailers, but by a mistrust of Oscar s fighting power—a mistrust, he says, which dated 'from the second paper war with Whistler This, we have seen, was closed by Whistler's second letter to

Truth on January 17th, 1890, which Oscar left unanswered, as there was really nothing to answer Therefore this "mistrust" and Harris's distress dated from more than three and a half years back, for the phantom lunch took place in 1893

I cannot leave the Savoy restaurant without noting the fact that on most occasions when Harris entertained Oscar Wilde there, if other guests by the half-dozen refused to be present in the company of Wilde, a certain Roman emperor was never This Roman emperor looked bloated, his face seemed to have lost its spiritual beauty and was oozing with material prosperity He was always oozing whenever Harris refers to It was to be concluded that too much good living and too great success had affected him both morally and physically, in fact he exuded unctuous prosperity and reminded me of a Roman Emperor of the Decadence, Vitellius rather than Heliogabalus, whom I had met at a dinner in Tite Street at Christmas, 1894, and so described in two of my books was Mr Oscar Wilde himself, as he had impressed me on that occasion, and Harris's frequent references to a Roman emperor of the Decadence are simply transferred from my books to his

#### CHAPTER XI

### A POSTSCRIPT TO THACKERAY

SHAW and Harris, then, accuse Wilde of being a snob Alfred Douglas did so, too, formerly, but he has since entirely withdrawn this and other charges against his friend. We can safely attribute this particular charge, as made in Oscar Wilde and Myself, to T W Crosland who, having often been compared to an inspired omnibus conductor, might without any misuse of words be spoken of himself as a snob—which used to be the generic name given to bus conductors in the early days of that mode of traffic

Nobody was less a snob than Oscar Wilde It was impossible for him to be one A Fouquet may choose as his motto Quo non ascendam Oscar Wilde, by his mental composition, his culture and, if you wish, his innate powers of earning fortunes and titles, had no higher heights to climb

In the sarcastic chapter on Literary Snobs, 'in his Book of Snobs, Thackeray sets down vulgarity, envy and assumption as the three constituent elements of snobbishness. Who could charge Wilde with vulgarity! Can a single vulgar word be found in any of his writings? Can a single person, of those who knew him in his life-time—Harold Nicolson and Vincent O Sullivan not excluded—say that they ever heard a coarse word or faintest evil suggestion from his smiling lips? Even Harris admits this and helps himself to the very words with which I formulated this tribute

So much for vulgarity Then as to envy, does it need, at this time of day, any demonstration on my part to show that envy was never for one moment allowed to sway his words and purposes?

Some people might see in some of the phrases about himself which Wilde uses in the letter he wrote to Douglas in prison, parts of which have been published as *De Profundis*, the "assumption" that Thackeray speaks of as the third constituent element. He speaks grandiloquently about his family and about himself. He describes himself as a "lord of language," as indeed he was. These people should be reminded that this was a private letter, originally intended for no other eyes than the person to whom it was addressed, with the one exception of the Governor of the prison who was to read it

And how pathetically easy it is to understand how the wretched man, in his squalid prison cell, the pariah, the outcast, may have wished to remind the Governor who he was and what he had been! Possibly also he may have hoped that the warder who carried the writing from his cell to the Governor's office might have the curiosity to peruse it on his laggard way, and so learn a greater respect for C33 For my part, I have always attributed this assumption to the disease which eventually killed him, the horrible disease in which megalomania is one of the symptoms that prove that the brain is attacked, and is the recognizable forerunner of the terrible and inevitable end that overhangs its victim In his padded cell ın Doctor Blanche's asylum, Guy de Maupassant, whose ruling passion had always been the acquisition of vast wealth, fancied himself a lord of milliards of francs, Wilde in his squalid cell in Reading Gaol, a lord of language, for the cult of letters had always been the ruling passion of his life

I have already given one striking proof that Wilde was not a snob that is, in the matter of his alleged boast about his visit to Clumber I could give many others I will, however, confine myself to saying that the only pretext that Harris could adduce to palliate the injustice of this charge is Oscar's

undeniable love of pronouncing agreeably sounding names Harris quotes a remark of his on this subject which is probably one of the very few real confessions in the book. For the rest, Harris had my Unhappy Friendihip before him to refresh his memory as to this confession,' for on page 75 I find the following

He spoke of his parents with high admiration but I noticed with some misease that with reference to his father he seemed to have the middle-class contempt for the title of knighthood. He would refer to it apologetically yet for more sonorous prefixes he had a certain admiration. He introduced me at various times to noblemen and each time I noticed with what pleasure he pronounced their names But I am afraid that most of us are like that, and that most of us would take more pleasure in referring to our acquaintance with Viscount Ickornshaw, for instance, than to, say, Viscount

Jones, irrespective of their qualities and achievements

We all have our little weaknesses in this respect-especially in England-and I feel quite sure that if on the eve of the battle of Agincourt it had been one of Viscount Jones's ancestors whom he had overheard wishing for more men from England, instead of my cousin Westmoreland,' King Henry V would never have risen to the lyric heights that have so endeared to us the tirade to which he then and there gave utterance For one thing, the second line would not have scanned It is true he could have met the rules of scansion half way in the matter by addressing Jones by his title thus

What's he that wishes so?

My cousin (Viscount) Iones?-No my fair cousin-

Yet Iones might have been, as a fighter, a whale and a wow, and Westmoreland a flop and a fizzle

But in the way of sonority Westmoreland delivered the goods

People might accuse Shaw of being a snob because of his frequent references to the magnitude of his earning powers;

as where he tells the world he could any day earn with his pen just one thousand times as much as John Milton for as much reading-matter as goes into *Paradise Lost*, as where he tells Harris he could pull down fifty thousand iron men at the mere raising of his finger if he consented to lend his name to a film on Oscar Jactitation about one's money and earnings

eh? But everybody knows that Shaw cares nothing for money, has neither joy of, nor pride in it beyond as a tangible proof of the world's appreciation of his works. One sometimes visualizes him as spending much of his time, armed with a besom—like Mrs. Partington on the shores of the Atlantic—trying to repel from the front door of his "elegant and commodious flat" in Whitehall Court the swirling, gold-spangled waters of an inrushing and constant Pactolus

With Harris it is, I am afraid, as the French say une autre paire de manches Here one might adduce a tu quoque argument, if quoque were an adverb at all applicable He is constantly boasting of his acquaintances and friendships with the great Douglas has over and over again exposed his fictions ın so doing It is a kind of snobbishness which, however, is eminently excusable and indeed, as betokening admiration of, and allegiance to, men who have done great and good things, praiseworthy, but he exposes himself to censure when he charges his friend unjustly with his own venial weakness let it be said that when, comparing them with Wilde, he writes towards the end of Volume II that of all the great men he has met and loved he would rather summon back Wilde, "to spend an evening with him"-Oh, Anti-climactic Harris!than Renan, or Carlyle, or Verlaine, or Dick Burton, or Davidson, anyone who knew Renan, or Verlaine, or John Davidson intimately, or had "heard on" Dick Burton very much in detail and could fancy Carlyle, is inclined to shrug his shoulders with contemptuous indignation, till a mental picture arising of the bland, reticent and discriminating Renan

gazing in wild surmise at the exuberant Frank Harris vociferating in his bookish fane in the École de France, or of the sanctum of the testy sage of Chelsea being invaded by the ex journalist from Chicago (who had seen him for half an hour in 1877), and of Carlyle, remembering Luther at the Wartburg, seizing upon his heavy ink bottle to repel the noisy and boisterous invader, one bursts into a laugh and passes on

With regard to Lord Alfred Douglas, it is again une autre paire de manches and a very different pair too. It is quite possible that he used to be rather fond of his courtesy title and I can readily understand it, this little satisfaction being about the only one of which a stepmotherly destiny had been unable to deprive him. But this was in the day when people on every side were trying to shout him down. To-day there is not in England a more modest man, and to-day he has reached a position in English literature of which any man might be proud, and which would excuse a very considerable amount of what Thackeray calls assumption. Publishers eagerly bid for his writings. Recently one firm was glad to purchase the right of republication of his poems, and to pay him a substantial sum on account of royalties on the sales of these poems.

I attended the trial at the Old Bailey where the King, on the prosecution of Robert Baldwin Ross, had arraigned Alfred Bruce Douglas for criminal libel against the former I was there under rather peculiar circumstances, which accounted for Bosie's look of amused surprise when he saw me entering the court behind the Judge, and taking my seat in great state in the pew designated 'City Lands I could not help thinking that where the City usually lands its chents for the Old Bailey is in the 'black dock's awful pen,' where the young aristocrat was then looking very much like Goetz von Berlichingen (he of the Iron Hand) may have looked when he was told to seat himself at his trial on the Armsunders Bank

Next to me was sitting another member of the Douglas family, Sholto, the artist, who painted the distinguished portrait of Bosie's mother, the late Marchioness of Queensberry, which hangs in the dining-room of his flat at Hove Sholto did not seem at all concerned as to the possible fate of his kinsman, and said something to the effect that Bosie was always getting into messes but was wonderfully clever at fighting his way out of them

How I came to be in the Old Bailey under very different conditions from those predicted for me by monitory wellwishers arose from the fact that one day, in scanning the collection of books in the library at Guilsborough Hall, I had noticed two little volumes with some such title as Omnium Gatherum I saw, written on the fly-leaf, "John Duke Coleridge, Eton College, 1834" It occurred to me that these must have been the property of the late Lord Chief Justice and that probably his son might like to possess them, and on the principle that it is always good to stand well with His Majesty's judges, with an eye on any possible mitigation of sentence, I sent the books to his Lordship and asked him kindly to accept them if he attached any sentimental value to them They were two little volumes published anonymously but, as Lord Coleridge told me in his letter when accepting the offering, they were a compilation of anecdotes and so forth by the unfortunate poet Southey, part of the hack-work which in the end reduced his fine brain to hopeless idiocy

When I saw that the Ross-Douglas trial was to come on, and that Lord Coleridge was to preside at the Old Bailey, I thought I would like a quo, not for my quid, but for the shilling or two at which the secondhand bookseller had marked these two little bound volumes. The Judge wrote me that if I would present myself on the morning of the trial at the Judge's entrance at the Old Bailey, his clerk would have great pleasure in seeing that I was properly seated

It was during this trial that the presiding judge applied to Lord Alfred, in connection with some disparaging allusion of the prisoner's to somebody in the retail branch of the distributing industry, the same designation as has with equal injustice been so frequently applied to Oscar Wilde I say 'with injustice because Lord Coleridge seemed to have forgotten that Alfred Douglas was born and had been brought up in a class where ineradicable prejudices did-and possibly still do-exist It is needless to detail them. They are born in the blood and bred in the bone and they last out a man's lifetime, however foolish and out-of date he comes to know them to be I remember during the war that an old lady of this class whom I met at a country house in Galway said to me, about someone in the neighbourhood, that she was very sorry about it and that doubtless it was very snobbish of her, but that she could never bring herself to consider anybody who was a Dissenter as well, you know how shall I say? You will understand

Nobody would, I suppose, ever call Wordsworth a snob for voicing a preference for swords over ledgers. Public schoolboys all over England are brought up to the idea that they possess a vast social superiority over the children born to residents in the town. Some Oxford men persist in fancying themselves socially much superior to their fellow collegians at Cambridge—a prejudice that no doubt dates back to the time of the Civil War when Oxford took the side of the gentlemanly Cavaliers against the plebeian Roundheads. And so on. But I think that Harris, in trying to ridicule Bosie on the subject of assuming social superiority (which he has never done) must have been listening to some lies from Robert Ross, who seems to have lied about the same matter to Oscar.

Davray publishes a letter from Wilde to Ross, dated July 20th, 1897, in which he tells Ross he has pointed out to Bosic that it is outrageously stupid on his part to pose as your social

superior because he is the third son of a Scotch marquess, and you the third son of a commoner "Wilde rather clumsily adds "Quand on est gentilhomme, on est gentilhomme" This is stupid because in French the word "gentilhomme" only applies to a nobleman, which Ross certainly was not He goes on to say that "there is no difference between gentlemen," and concludes by telling Ross to let Bosie know, when he next writes to him, "that you are not going to stand any of his boasting of social superiority to yourself and that, if he can't understand that gentlemen are gentlemen and nothing else, you do not desire ever to hear him spoken of again"

It is, of course, obvious that Douglas had never made any such boast, and equally obvious that Ross who was wildly jealous of Bosie's looks, rank and intellectual superiority to him, as well as Oscar's immense preference for Bosie, had deliberately lied to Oscar Possibly a glimpse of the letters that Oscar was at that time writing to his friend may have inflamed his jealousy to fever pitch. It is also quite possible, of course, that Oscar never wrote the letter at all. I do not think he would have written "Quand on est gentilhomme, on est gentilhomme," and if any original exists of the letter published by Davray I have not seen it

Wilde was, of course, here the correct interpreter of the social code, which establishes that all gentlemen are equals in rank. He seems to have expounded this at great length to the young cadet de famille, who certainly did not require any such instruction. It was as much inbred in him as his possible misesteem for retail traders from a social point of view. If Wilde had been under the impression that Bosie needed any such instruction he might have done better to send him a marked copy of Harriette Wilson's Memoirs, drawing his attention to the passage which records a conversation between Harriette and a gentleman still higher in the Scotch peerage

than the Marquess of Queensberry, namely the Duke of Argyll, head of the House of Campbell

Harriette tells the Duke, who has been trying to flirt with her, that she wants to talk of something else and so goes on to say Mr Colman accuses you of having cut him dead in the Park yesterday, when he bowed to you — What a vulgar fellow! cried Argyll Why vulgar? asks Harriette Then the Duke expounds to Harriette the clause in the social code which Wilde had tried to impress on Bosie This is how Argyll puts it

It is a vulgar idea and one which certainly never occurred to menot because I happen to be Duke of Argyll for a private gentleman s rank in society is the same as mine therefore what right have I to cut him? Or what right would any duke have to cut a private gentleman?

Tell Mr Colman he is an assimy pretty dear

A hurned bundling back of the volume with a large note of interrogation in red ink on the wrapper would probably have been Bosie's inposte to such an envoi. But the thing could never have happened, for nobody better than Wilde knew that nobody less than Lord Alfred Douglas needed any such reminder.

I will add that the more I think of it the more I am convinced, after reading a letter to Douglas which Wilde wrote on the date attributed to the letter to Ross on Douglas's assumption, that this letter published by Davray is a forgery and doubtless that Ross was the forger. For one thing, Wilde hardly if ever dated his letters and the letter in question fully dated. Again, is it likely that having at that time not more than half a dozen friends in the world Oscar would try to sow dissension between the two warmest of these?

It has been clumsily maintained that the best proof that Oscar Wilde was a snob was his evident delight in always being seen in the company of the third son of the holder of one of the finest Scottish titles, a youth who was a true representative of the class in whose veins the sang azur flows This aspersion only shows the ignorance of these malevolent commentators about elementary human psychology The snob of the particular class in which, by reason of his other qualities, Wilde perforce would have to be ranked, is the last person in the world who would be content to play in public a secondary rôle, with the general attention diverted from him to his companion, on whom all the limelight would be shed About whom was it said that he wanted no rival near his throne? The hot pollot being totally unaware of the axiom laid down above by the Duke of Argyll would pay all the deference to the "mylord" and little or none to the lord of language this case, of course, the lord of language being very fond of his companion would delight in the deference shown to him even to his own obliteration But given he were a man of the calibre of a Robert Baldwin Ross, gauge what envy, hatred and malice this would infuse into his heart and what steps he might eventually take to soothe his wounded vanity and take reprisal for their infliction. Ah! no doubt at all that Ross lied to Oscar and equally no doubt that the latter never wrote the letter in La Tragédie Finale

Perhaps the best proof I can give how untrue is the accusation that Oscar Wilde liked to boast about his friendship with Alfred Douglas is the fact that, during the two or three years which elapsed between the time when he made Douglas's acquaintance and the occasion on which I met the two together, although I had had many letters from Wilde during that period he had never once even mentioned Lord Alfred's name, so that I did not know that they were acquainted. He may have been fond of titles, but he certainly never boasted about them. I had to get him to take out the "Lord Anthony Sherard" from *The Picture of Dorian Gray* because there was an estimable Baron Sherard then in being. However, Oscar did not let me escape completely but reduced me to the rank

of a baronet, as which I now figure in the novel as having sold my soul for a great pearl or something of the kind

In the whole course of my friendship with Wilde and Douglas, I only once saw the two together. This was upstairs at the Cafe Royal one early afternoon after lunch They were sitting together, probably at the same table by the window at which Wilde sat on a memorable occasion with Lord Queensberry and his third son There was absolutely nothing in the aspect of the two convives to warrant any comment on the nature of their friendship, and nobody was more indignantly amazed than I when I read in the notorious letter (given in extense, of course, by Harris) the manner in which Lord Queensberry described his impression of their demeanour towards each other on the occasion referred to above because obviously when I joined them at their table they would not have been on guard against any misinterpretation, whilst when the surmising sire was their guest they would undoubtedly have zealously minded their Ps and Qs, especially their Qs To me they only looked like two gentlemen who had lunched pleasantly and were loitering over their coffee They looked like two men who thoroughly enjoyed each other's company and who were truly happy to be together Douglas struck me as a trim, athletic young fellow and I remember thinking what a splendid rider to hounds he would make I did not think the same of Oscar, though as a matter of fact he was just then going ventre à terre in that direction Even then I could understand the extreme attraction that the youth must exercise over him Since then, I have understood it even better. And in this appreciation Bosie's good looks entered for nothing. I hardly noticed them at the time What I did notice was his perfect manners, his entire absence of side, the ready wit with which he came back to each of Oscar s sallies, and the kind of perfect harmony that seemed to exist between the youth and the older man. They seemed

just made to be friends Since then I have come better to understand Oscar's extreme affection for Alfred Douglas have had the privilege of studying his character at close quarters and of observing those qualities which even if they were undeveloped in those early days when he first became friends with Oscar would be clearly discerned by the man who, allseeing philosopher that he was, had the shrewdest knowledge of men Douglas was perhaps the only living being whom Oscar Wilde, conscious of his own immense superiority, considered worthy to be raised to the position of a life-long friend He doubtless foresaw in Douglas the poet he has since become He would recognize in him a brother-enthusiast in the cult of He would admire his clan-spirit, his devotion to his mother and brothers, his inherited pugnacity which fights to a finish, his Douglas bravoure, his sense of humour and perhaps more than all else, hater as he was of all sentimentality, his real goodness of heart He would love his smile

These lines may make Douglas's enemies and calumniators sneer and shrug their shoulders, but they are written down as the exact truth as I see it A year or two ago I too might have sneered and shrugged Douglas is certainly a fighter to the finish, a descendant of the dhu glas, who founded the family But once he has got his foe down and at his mercy, this mercy is not refused. A good instance of this is how he allowed Ross to withdraw from the prosecution with a nolle prosequi which certainly saved the prosecutor from the Old Bailey dock To-day he says that his motive was only to save his pocket, but I fancy it was a nobler one than that, he did not wish to smash the "mirror of perfect friendship" into smithereens When he talks of the matter his chief concern—showing the lover of letters—is with the fine archaic language in which the lawyers couched the plea of justification which he filed as his defence against Ross's charge of criminal libel, quoting it with a roll and a relish

He is thoroughly good hearted, though he would be the last person to admit a quality which his peculiarly sweet smile betrays when it lights up a face which shows all that he has gone through in the sixty-six years of his life. He is very fond of children and these love him He may often be seen surrounded by them in the gardens of St Ann's Well, which are opposite his house in Hove One day recently I found him in sincere distress at home He had that morning reeeived from some town in Australia a letter from the housekeeper of a beautiful girl who for months past had been writing letters of passionate admiration to him, declaring that she had fallen hopelessly in love with his lovely poems and his still more lovely face He had written to tell her that he looked very different now from any portrait of him she might have seen, and did his best to discourage her ardent suit, but as she persisted in her letters of adoration he left the last three or four of them unanswered. The result was that she committed suicide, and the news of this had profoundly distressed him I think it was the same day that I saw another proof of his kindness of heart and sincere delight in the service of letters There were lying on his table three rather bulky numbers of a review which contained nothing but amateur poems The editor had sent him these, as a poet of great distinction, to solicit his judgement as to which could be considered the best of these poems and worthy of the prize which he had offered for competition And Douglas, whose sight has recently been imperilled by the destruction of his right eve. cheerfully and readily had accepted from a man he hardly knew and because it was in the service of the Muses a tedious eye-straining task which took him several hours, but for the fatigue and danger of which he described himself as largely rewarded by finding in these scores of sets of verses one real poem-one about the grave of Edgar Allan Poe-which had greatly pleased him and to which he had awarded the laurel

wreath or golden rose or whatever it was I thought that in this he had shown even better than Shaw reading the 150,000 words of Harris's flatulent prose in many fewer hours, with two serviceable eyes, for a cap of shame to be thrust on an illustrious though lifeless head

Douglas's sense of humour must have delighted Wilde as I know it has often delighted me Many things in Shakespeare appeal in particular to him and light up his face with merriment Falstaff and his interlocutors are an especial joy to him and I remember how he chuckled over Falstaff's answer to Justice Shallow's question about Jane Nightwork "Doth she hold her own well?" and Falstaff answers "Old, old, Master Shallow" On which the justice comments she must be old, she cannot choose but be old, it's certain she's " This "she cannot choose but be old" tickles Douglas immensely, and it is a pleasure to watch his enjoyment of words which lie too deep for tears Another writer who gives him great delight in his appeal to his sense of humour is George Bernard Shaw, and he often quotes a letter from Shaw, written on July 4th, 1931, in reply to one from Douglas complaining of the attack on him in Shaw's 20,000-word eulogy of Harris's vile book about Oscar Shaw wrote "Why has Heaven afflicted me with this infantile complex of yours which keeps you 'making a low-spirited noise,' like Mrs Mac-Stinger's baby, down the ages because somebody has been unkind to you?" In the same letter Shaw withdraws everything he had written against Douglas in the letter which Harris so skilfully used in merchandising his farrago of fake, but I have yet to see the passages which have done and are doing Bosie such incalculable harm all over the world removed from the appendix

In the meanwhile Shaw's "low-spirited noise like Mrs MacStinger's baby" gives Douglas great delight He quoted it to me when I asked him why so many of his poems were in

so poignantly sad a note, and he said that he could only write when he felt like that

Douglas is by no means the moody, irascible, revengeful person that many fancy him He has joy in what appeals to his humour and the least little sign that grudging Fortune may show of relenting towards him she has so scurvily entreated brings joy to his face, differing, however, from the real happi ness that some literary delight may give to his expression Douglas quoting Shaw or Shakespeare, and Douglas reading in an evening paper that he has brought off a double at Lingfield both present pictures of a happy man, but it is on the lineaments and in the smile of the former that the really happy man shows himself He has a real cult of letters and finds no labour too great in the observance of its rites I never knew a man more punctilious in verifying his references That of course she is old, ' for instance He spent over a quarter of an hour in my presence hunting up the scene in the Shakespeare in his study, because he wanted the ipnisima verba of the dialogue Was it in The Merry Wives of Windsor? No. surely it was somewhere in the 2nd part of Henry IV! Mr Justice Horridge was quite justified when he praised the plaintiff's sense of responsibility in matters literary, and impressed upon the jury that it was no Fleet Street flibbertigibbet but a serious and important man of letters and editor that asked them to vindicate his name and honour

Is it not demonstrable that between people who are innately sympathetic to each other there is telepathic communication? If so, this sympathy must exist between me and Alfred Douglas I can give a remarkable illustration of this by an occurrence that took place in July of this year, 1936 We were talking about birthdays, and having mentioned that his birthday came in the same month as that of Oscar Wilde, in October, he asked me what was the date of my birthday I said December, and I was just going to add the third when it suddenly came

spiracy in Rome was discovered, and Cicero was supplied with the material for one of his finest philippics. Having something of the prig in my disposition, I might have inflicted various synchronous details on my interlocutor, when he made a move for the door with a "I want to get an evening paper at once". So we both went out together. I was still musing on the evading and erupting insurgent with the silver eagle, when Douglas emerging from the newspaper-shop brandished a *Star* aloft, calling across the road, "It's all right, he's done it. I've got a double." It appeared that he had backed two horses, at Lingfield, I think, and the horse whose win had given him the double was one called CATILINA. We had both been thinking of this name at the same moment.

I now perfectly understand Oscar Wilde's admiration for Alfred Douglas, and even if I had known then that it was love that drew them together I should have derived from that knowledge no feeling of reprobation for their friendship, still less so that at school in my boyhood I had gone through more than one experience of the affection surpassing the love of women for school-mates of mine. There was a Rossetti from Roumania and a Dreyfus from Strasbourg for whom I would gladly have laid down my life, and whatever the unpleasant biological explanation of these feelings may have been, I know that I considered our friendships beautiful and romantic and altogether blameless

With regard to Oscar's "love letters" of which so much was made, I saw nothing in them to modify my opinion of the nature of his relations with Bosie Douglas. These letters are really not a shade more impassioned—if considerably better written and more poetical—than the letters which King James I wrote to "Steenie". Yet nobody has ever proved that there was anything wrong in this affection, though I believe that a Mrs. Hutchinson—no doubt to curry favour with the Puritans.

—did make charges which were never established and are indeed, on the contrary, disproved by King James's keen interest in and solicitude about George Villiers's marriage and, further, by the warm affection shown by the rigid Charles for Steenie's fatherless baby, the second Duke of Buckingham

In which connection it has often occurred to me to see in Alfred Bruce Douglas one of the salient features in the character of James s second son, afterwards Ling James II, and that is the bravery that never admits defeat. Of the man who was vanguished at the Battle of Boyne and whose nobler parts now lie interred in the chapel of the church at St Germain en Lave. Turenne wrote that he was the bravest man he had ever known, an opinion also held and made public by the Great Conde This quality in James proceeded doubtless from the same Scottish pugnacity which makes Douglas handful to tackle A review of his career and innumerable encounters makes me think of one of those upright punching balls which boxers use in training, when a partner is not available, and are imbedded on a metal rod of such great resiliency and springiness that the harder the blow delivered against the ball, the more violent is the rebound-it is this spirit that Kipling admired in If I felt the aptness of this comparison more strongly than ever when I had occasion recently to observe with what serene indifference and courage Oscar's friend had accepted and reacted against one of the most cruel blows which a pitiless and pursuing fate has delivered upon him the total loss of his right eye It is true that this appalling accident has disfigured him little if at all-I had not noticed it until he began to chat about it-but how many men, who had been farmed for personal beauty and not unnaturally proud of it, would speak of it without anguish and indignation against the unskilful practitioner who was held responsible for this real catastrophe by the courts But never Bosie In his fight with fate he gives and takes her blowseven her Jarnac thrusts—with the indifference with which the dhu glas took what was coming to him and was expected by him at the battle of Chevy Chase He even speaks of it with merriment, for though he was heavily out of pocket over his costs in the action for damages against the man who had partially blinded him and, by filing his petition after the verdict, avoided all financial loss for damages and costs to the plaintiff, it strongly appeals to his sense of humour that the miserable sum of £400 awarded him by the Sheriff's Court to which, the defendant having made default at the High Court, the case was remitted for assessment of damages, is the largest amount that has ever been granted by this court. The anticlimax of the dénouement of his real tragedy amuses him, although its victim, and lights up his face with a smile

All this, friend Zoilus, to show that there were all kinds of reasons why Oscar Wilde should delight in the company of Alfred Douglas, and be proud to be seen everywhere with him, and that this delight and this pride prove that he, Oscar, would never have been selected by Thackeray as a target

As Douglas points out in his preface he and I have been estranged for a very long time—for over thirty years indeed We have scattered over each other on many occasions in the Press what Charles Dickens, alluding to some vituperations of Mrs Gamp, called "flowers of eloquence" I can't say I ever bore him any grudge for this. I had never believed one-tenth of what Robert Ross had suggested about him, because instituct had warned me that Ross was not a man blindly to be trust ed. It was not, however, until much later that I had proof that his word was not to be trusted at all. One instance of such sproof was when after Oscar's death I inquired of him what have become of the pawn-ticket for the Berkeley Gold Medal, of which Oscar was so proud that he had preserved and renewed three pawnbroker's voucher for it through all the years of his forlebrin makeshifts in Paris. I had thought it ought to

be reseued and preserved for his family Ross admitted having found the tieket amongst the papers Oscar had left in his room at the Hotel d Alsace and added that he had destroyed How could I renew the tieket? asked he There was £80 worth of gold in the medal' Though it struck me at the time what a huge amount of matter (in the shape of gold ingots) the bishop who denied the existence of matter must have produced to secure a yearly meome of over 180 to establish his endowment, it was not till later that I learned from the goldsmiths in Sackville Street, Dublin, that the whole sum given by the bishop was under 2000, and that the cost of the particular medal of which Oscar Wilde had been so proud and his winning of which had so delighted Speranza, figured on their books at less than a fiver The truth was not in Ross, and sordid self interest seems to have been the leitmotif of his life When he was rummaging in the tumble of papers' that poor Oscar left behind him, the pawnbrokers voucher, doubtless for a loan not exceeding 50 francs, did not seem worth preserving Au feu, donel But all these letters from Alfred Douglas? Ahl here were papers worth keeping for future use and profit perhaps And so carefully kept they were and skilfully used, even the Charlie letter, a most harmless epistle which could, however, be most malignantly interpreted It was afterwards sold by Ross to Frink Harris to be used against Douglas, and neither Ross nor Harris, who were both supposed to be my friends, were restrained in this sordid transaction by the fact that my name being used in it might equally compromise and injure me

Crosland in the book he penned under the title Osear Wilde and Mytelf, which was issued by John Long as by Bosic who has since repudiated it almost m teto, refers in the index to a passage in my Life of Wilde, as showing Sherards views on Wilde's vices This passage was a quotation from Weininger's book, which ran as follows Physiologists have

noted that where a child is born to a couple in which the woman has a much stronger nature and a great mental superiority over the father, the chances are that the child will develop at certain physical periods in his career an extraordinary attraction towards persons of his own sex "

This was quoted rather as an explanation of strange, seemingly abnormal friendships and not at all as my views of anybody's vices, and I remember thinking at the time when I read Weininger how truly it indicated the real cause of the friendship of King James for George Villiers, and how the king's parentage (the brilliant Mary Queen of Scots and the obtuse Darnley) bore out this theory

Ross, who had at that time the "Charlie" letter, so easily construable to my discredit, waiting for fructuous disposal in his unlovely archives and who must have been well aware of my views on "Wilde's vices" from listening to my denunciations of the whole beastly business as we travelled down to Reading on more than one occasion, to visit the prisoner, and may well, as I now surmise, have longed therefore to knock me on the head and to bundle me out of the railway carriage, actually, repeatedly urged me to take action for libel against the publisher and author of Oscar Wilde and Myself, but, of course, I never had the faintest thought to do so I remember writing to Ross that even if Douglas and I were not friends, I could not forget his real devotion to Oscar, and that a still more cogent reason for doing nothing to try and injure him was that I had always entertained a high admiration and might I say affection for his brother, Percy (the 9th Marquess of Queensberry), who so befriended Wilde in his direst need and who, I knew, was devotedly attached to his brother Alfred, and that I had determined never to do anything, even since his lamented death, that would have wounded his noble heart Possibly I also remembered that my mother's mother's people, the Curwens of Workington, had had century-long relations

of peace and war with the Douglases on the yonside of the Border and recalled noting in the will of Sir Thomas Curwen, who was the school fellow and friend of Henry VIII, that special mention was made of a bequest to 'my brother John's wife, a ring with a red stone in it, that was the Lord Drumlangings [1st]

No, I never contemplated any action against the brother of Percy Douglas How could I ever forget how out of love for this brother he had risked the whole of his capital available at the time to find the largest part of the £2,500 which had to be put up in cash before Oscar, after his first abortive trial, could be released from Holloway The judge had fixed the bail at £5,000, of which £2,500 in Oscar's own recognizances and the other half to be found by two sureties, each in £1,250 Percy Douglas produced £1,800, which represented almost all he possessed at that time Of this sum £1,250 went for his own liability as bailsman, and the balance of £550 to make up the bail tendered by the Rev Stewart Headlam who did not possess a tosser of his own The balance of £700 was supplied to Headlam by a Jewish gentleman, Ernest Leverson, husband of Ada Leverson, the 'Sphinx of Punch who lived in Courtfield Gardens, SW, where they hospitably entertained Oscar Wilde as their guest, after his release, for a few days after his first night at his brother Willy's house in Oakley Street, whither he had returned some days before I came over from Paris to him Ada Leverson some years ago published, with numerous of Oscar's letters to her, an obviously fanciful account of Oscar's stay in her house, an interesting brochure which, however, soon found its way into the remaindered bookstalls where I procured my copy

Some of Ada Leverson's anecdotes about her illustrious guest, showing that his sufferings in prison and the degradation of the dock had left no trace of embitterment in that serene and lofty soul that was his, are striking, while Oscar's

letters to her are as beautiful as most letters he wrote to people who were dear to him Her book is indispensable to future biographers But she might have spared us the passage in which she relates that, having decided with Ernest to invite Oscar to stay at their house while he was out on bail, she next called the servants together and, informing them of the guest she was about to receive, gave anyone who might object to wait upon a man who came to them from Holloway Gaol full permission to resign at once without causing thereby any resentment on her part Naturally none of the servants exercised this privilege of demanding congé without causing All servants worshipped Oscar Wilde displeasure Is it not on record that after the of this have been given 1895 catastrophe his man committed suicide? Men who are respected and loved by servants in England are never of the genus whom Thackeray pilloried in his book, for no class better than the domestic staff folk know the true gentleman from the other kind, no matter how rich and publicly courted he may be "Jeames" is the true appraiser of social values He has the sharpest nose for the parvenu and pretender required the malice of a Harris and of a Crosland, and the hasty misconception of character of a man who had only met Wilde three or four times in the whole course of his life to brand this affable and courteous gentleman, this leutseliger Herr, this all-knowing scholar, this man of prodigious intellect, as a social climber and a snob because he loved the company of a young aristocrat and was proud to be seen with him in public. Oscar Wilde loved to be with his friend, not because he was Lord Alfred Douglas, but because he was Alfred Douglas

## CHAPTER XII

## THE MEANDERINGS OF MÜNCHAUSEN

HARRIS, throughout his book, is, of course, hideously unjust to Lord Alfred Douglas. By the time he started on this 'faithful chronicle" and during the years before it appeared in print, the Douglas of Wilde's day had shown the will and the power entirely to transform himself, and it was as unfair to write of him without the slightest reference to this entire transformation as it would have been—O, Shakespeanan Harris!—to hand down to posterity, as a description of the Henry of Agincourt, the roistering boon companion of Doll Tearsheet and Sir John Falstaff

And there were besides all sorts of other considerations which ought to have stayed his pen Douglas was married and had a son, his brother, who had been so good to Harris a dear friend, and to Harris himself to the extent of £2,000, was now the guardian of the name and honour of the great family which he, Harris, was so blithely besmirching and there was living, in serene dignity, the charming, cultivated woman, with exquisite taste in literature and art a woman of the most delicate, aspen like sensibilities and noble generosities, as he wrote himself, whom deliberately to wound but what s the use of supposing that any such scruples ever entered the mind of Frank Harris?

His charges against Douglas are that as a beautiful, effeminate lad he debauched Wilde, and that this evil intimacy was renewed after he rejoined him in Naples — It is further insisted upon by Harris that it was Douglas who by incessant entreaties and

the strongest pressure induced the reluctant Wilde to break all the good resolutions with which he came out of prison, abandon the plan of resuming a sober and decent life with Constance Wilde and his children, and come back to live with him in defiance of the world's opinion and the precepts of morality. This is as absolutely contrary to the facts and the truth as it is possible for anything to be

Douglas freely admits that his affection for his friend was so strong that nobody more than he desired to live once more in Wilde's company, but it was Wilde and not Douglas who solicited the reunion. No stronger appeals to the friend's affection, sympathy and pity could be found than the letters which Oscar was addressing daily, or even twice daily, to his absent friend. These letters have since been published in New York. In the last one, written towards the end of June, 1897, he addresses an irresistible appeal to Douglas as his source of inspiration, and tells him that he feels that away from his company he will never again be able to produce any writings of any artistic value whatever

Wilde carefully concealed his true longing for the presence of Bosie from everybody who went to Berneval, and I came away from my visit to him under the impression that Douglas was importuning him with requests which he was most reluctant to grant. Since I have read the letters in the wonderful facsimile copy that was produced in New York without Bosie's permission and against his wish, I have asked myself what man living could have resisted such moving appeals to affection and comradeship

As a matter of fact, as Douglas points out, it was during this period after the reunion of the two friends (Harris has absolutely no right to describe their relationship at Naples as anything but a strong friendship) that Oscar produced his Ballad of Reading Gaol, the finest as it was definitely the last of his works as a poet

With regard to the second charge, which he repeats in the 'introductory essay written for the New York Modern Library edition of *De Profundis*, in these terms

he lives at his lover a expense for years, wastes his hard earned thousands and when he comes into his fortune doles him out a few hundreds ( 'four hundred he says) with insults and gibes

Douglas quotes this attack on him to show his contempt for it. In his place, as regards the 'hard earned thousands, I would have referred Harris to his own book and the passages where he describes the fatal facility with which Oscar produced the plays that so enriched him and perhaps, after pointing out how he contradicts himself, have reminded him of the French military saying Ge qui vient par la filte s en va par le tambour, meaning that money so easily earned seemed to me not the sort of money that it was a sin to waste

I think Douglas must have smiled over the 'hard-earned thousands when he thought of the ease with which his brilliant friend produced work for which rigid, even parsimonious business men were so anxious to pay any price that they would have granted any request for money, even in advance, if he had so desired

Douglas has told me of a strange incident at the hotel in Worthing where Oscar was writing The Importance of Being Earnest, which shows, amongst other things, how Oscar also had only to lift his little finger' to bring down on his writing table a torrential shower of gold. He had come down from town, and in the room in Oscar's suite where he worked there was lying on the mantelpiece a letter, the postmark of which showed that it had been delivered several days previously

Why don't you open this letter? asked Douglas

'Leave that letter alone,' cried Oscar If you touch it I ll never speak to you again I wrote several days ago to

George Alexander telling him I needed £300 and asking him to advance it to me, and of course that letter is from him and contains a refusal I'm not going to open it "

Douglas tore it open in spite of his friend's beliest, and out there fell a cheque for £300

When Douglas told me this story I could not help thinking of similar conduct on the part of poor Ernest Dowson who, though urgently needing money, left a registered letter for several days lying on the floor of a room of my flat in the Boulevard Magenta without mustering up courage to open it In both cases acute neurasthenia was the cause of this hesitation, but who could have gauged neurasthenia of the blackest hue in the radiant Wilde of the Importance of Being Earnest days? In Dowson, it was tuberculosis that was destroying his nervous system, in Oscar, an even more fatal germ

But as to Harris's use of the word "lover" with its foul implication, I should have been inclined to rush over to Nice and shoot the caitiff knave on the Promenade des Anglais, with the comforting certitude that any Alpes-Maritimes jury would acquit me on the atrocious provocation given

I should not, I think, in Douglas's place have given the list of cheques he prints from his pass-book in the Appendix to his Autobiography, because any piece of writing can be twisted by the unjust to a malevolent purpose. Compare, for instance, Harris's "he doles him out a few hundreds." Harris adds, "with insults and gibes," against which we have the statement that his last cheque (according to Ross) was accompanied by a kind and tender letter which moved Oscar to tears. I think that in his place I should simply have said. "During 1900 my whole capital amounted to £8,000—reduced, by a deliberate fraud on Harris's part, to £6,000, and I can prove that, apart from constant presents in cash whenever I invited him to dinner, I sent my friend during the last ten months of his life £390, which represents considerably more than seven

per cent of my capital that year True, I had more to come, but I was engaged in a disastrous speculation

'Could more be asked of me than to give considerably more than my entire income for that year to my friend? This money was not of the lightly won, lightly lost quality. It did not come par la flûte and ought not to have been allowed to go par le tambour. I was 30 years old at the time, I had no profession to depend upon for a living and I knew that once I had dissipated my father's legacy, I should have to fall back on my relations.'

I really do not see that he could have acted more generously It seems to me that Ross's suggestion to Wilde to ask Bosie for a lump sum out of his capital of £6,000 (which after Harris's operation was all he had at the time) was a preposterous one Oscar was prompted to ask him, and did so ask him for a capital sum sufficient to provide an income of £150 a year, for which at least £3,000 would have been required, because in Oscar's state of health a life annuity, which would have cost less, was obviously out of the question. What living man would have entertained the proposal?

When I wrote in 1901 my Unhappy Friendship book, I had no knowledge of these facts. I was away from Paris during the whole of 1900, and the stories that reached me about Oscar s situation on all sides were appalling. Ross himself refers to his periods of extreme poverty, Gide spoke of him as shabby and actually soliciting alms. Duporiter had pittful stories to tell of his days and gave me a copy of the bill he owed him when he died in the worst inn s worst room, £90—the bill I reproduced in my Life, a pathetic document in very sooth, with, as one of the recommendations of this hotel printed upon it, the words. Somerie Hestrague.

I have referred above to Harris's lying largesses I wrote exactly what I think about his accounts of the sums of money he gave to Oscar Take for example that infamous Chapter XXII (p 450, vol II) to which Harris has given a heading (the only one in the two volumes so emphasized) "A Great Romantic Passion" Here he tells us that having in the fall of 1898 sold his interest in the Saturday Review and having previously promised Oscar to take him down to the Riviera for the winter he wired him to expect him in Paris, and says Harris "I sent him some money to pave the way" He does not specify the amount, but this omission is supplied by Davray, who plays here a curious rôle as translator and renders Harris's vague statement thus "Je hu envoyar deux cents hvres en attendant (I sent him £200 in the meanwhile) Thus £200

Possibly Davray here magnifies Harris's liberality in order to compensate him for having entirely suppressed the long paragraph with which this chapter opens, probably because it was a plagiarism from some French author which he found it difficult to manipulate and camouflage in the way he had masked Harris's three impudent plagiarisms from André Gide. As I am quoting from the seventh edition of the French version, it is clear that all this was done with the author's approval and consent and that the sum of £200 must stand

He joins Wilde a few days later in Paris at Durand's and gives him "a cheque for £100". Thus, total up till now of £300. At the close of the supper which followed on the dinner Wilde represents himself as penniless—a story Harris has borrowed from Lord Alfred—and, thinking he may need money for the carriage he has ordered for Oscar at 3 am, gives him a "hundred-franc note". Total £304

Two days later Oscar tells him he can't leave Paris at once as he has debts to pay Harris gives him £50 in French notes Total £354. The same night Oscar tells him that "more debts have come in" and that he can't be ready to accompany Harris on the train de luxe on the morrow evening to the Riviera. Harris tells him to be frank with him and to tell him exactly the amount of what he still owed. Wilde says

he thinks another £50 Harris gives him it Total £404 Two days later he was again embarrassed and makes a further demand on Harris s purse. Harris does not tell us the amount of the cheque which he then gave him and so all we know definitely about his largesse to his friend, whom he was taking to the Riviera to have a perfect six months at his expense, (which he could not have done if he were bothered with debts, ) is that in the six days between Monday and Sunday he actually handed him £404 in cash plus a large cheque drawn, no doubt, on some bank in 'ultimate dim Thule And all this so that his friend and guest should start from Paris free from debt'

And this is the same Harris who later on tells us of the long discussion he had with Wilde before giving him £50 for the scenario and the first act of Mr and Mrs Daventry (as it was afterwards called), the same Harris who, though the play evolved from Oscar's brain was then bringing him in hundreds a week, left Oscar to die penniless in Paris on November 20th, although on the 20th he had heard from Ross that his friend was in extremis, and has invented a lying story to explain his conduct That the whole chapter in which this largesse of \$404 plus the cheque on the Banks of Lethe is described, is a cruel and impudent fabrication from first word to last of its nineteen pages will be irrefutably demonstrated farther on It has been alluded to here to show that Harris is the last person in the world who ought to have accused Alfred Douglas of parsimony, for Douglas's cheques at any rate were on The Piccadilly branch of the National Provincial Bank and not on a phantom financial establishment in some Never-Never Land It was alluded to further to show with what clumsy, self glorifying stories clever men like George Bernard Shaw, Upton Sinclair, Henri de Regnier and Maitre Théry allow themselves to be bamboozled

Harris did not however impose on everybody in France, and

in one of the notices of Davray's translation which Davray did not advertise in the *Mercure de France*, a monthly literary review said to be owned by André Gide, I noticed with pleasure a reference to "the only chapter in which Harris is not giving money to Wilde"

I have said all I wish to say about Harris's treatment of Douglas and his description of the latter's friendship and disagreements with Wilde, to which because it is matière à scandale he consecrates about a hundred times as much space as the one or two pages he devoted to a "faithful chronicle" of Wilde's life at Oxford and his important sojourn in Paris in 1883

In the part of the book which is now under review, there is one delightful story of Wilde in the rôle of a father, there is a graphic portrait of Lord Queensberry, while what I may call the Newgate Calendar portion of the biography, where Harris refrains from using his imagination and sticks closely to the contemporary newspaper reports and the special information supplied to him by Ross and other friends, is quite satisfactory though very extended and indiscreet A very valuable contribution to the story is, however, the account of the interview that he and Shaw had at the Café Royal with Douglas and Wilde, before the Queensberry trial, where Harris advised him to abandon this absurd and hopeless prosecution and to go abroad with Constance This was the very same advice I had wired him from Paris when I first heard that he had had Queensberry arrested on warrant for criminal libel, knowing as well as the shrewd Harris that an author and journalist of little substance had no earthly chance of getting a verdict against a powerful and wealthy nobleman, posing as an outraged father

Harris however spoils his story by prefacing it with the obvious fabrication that he had come to this conclusion after making careful inquiries in London about Wilde's character

and antecedents, the result of which investigation lie tells us was appalling. He even asks his readers to believe that in pursuit of these inquiries he visited the Public Prosecutor's Office, where "to his horror, his guilt was said to be known and classified. Harns no doubt, in his own esteem, was a very important man in London. He was part owner of a high-class literary weekly with a very limited circulation and had once resided in Park Lane, but those days of splendour were over, for he himself has told us that it was because he was not a householder at the time-and consequently living in lodgings-that he was not allowed to stand bondsman for Wilde when his release on bail was being arranged for But had he been the wealthiest and most powerful landowner in London he would have had exactly the same reception at the office he refers to Treasury officials do not issue defamatory statements on private individuals to nosing journalists I fancy he concocted this from what I wrote in commenting on the case, namely that at Scotland Yard Police Headquarters in London, they have a list of 20,000 perverts whom they do not interfere with unless they attract public notice to themselves There is a similar list in all great cities—less because, as in Paris, the police do not trouble themselves much about that kind of abnormality, than because if a murder be committed by such a pervert, it can usually be classified at once as such, and so the scope of the inquiry as to the guilty party is enormously narrowed But under no circumstances would Scotland Yard afford any information about any private individual whose name might be on that list Such an inquirer would not even be allowed through the front door, otherwise the profession of blackmailers and fabricators of chroniques scandaleuses would be rendered too easy and too remunerative

When Harris states that he called at the Public Prosecutor's office on the Sunday afternoon preceding the opening day of the Queensberry trial, it is, no doubt, his elfin joy at fooling

his readers that makes him choose this particular date, which happens to be April 1st, All Fools' Day Nor are the conversations between him and Oscar on March 30th and April 1st anything but pure inventions

According to Harris, Wilde called on him on Friday, March 30th, to ask him, "in his capacity as editor of the Fortinghtly Review," to come and testify at the forthcoming Queensberry trial that The Picture of Dorian Gray was not an immoral book, that he promised to do so, and that Wilde was highly delighted and said that now he was sure to win. At that time Harris was no longer connected with the Fortnightly Review and had not been for more than a year Also, as stated in Harris's report of Wilde's trial at the Old Bailey (on the authority of Sir Edward Clarke) Wilde and Douglas had spent the whole of that Friday at Humphrey's office going over Queensberry's plea of justification, which gave a full list of the witnesses who were going to be called against Wilde, with the charges that it was proposed to establish against him asks us to believe that that very same Friday, or possibly next day, on March 31st, Wilde went to Harris to ask him if he would come and say that one of his books was a moral work, that his solicitors had advised him to see Harris for this purpose (as the editor of a review from which Harris had been dismissed, not without obloquy, about eighteen months previously) and that he did not breathe one word about the awful plea of justification which he had just been reading

Shaw, in his appreciation in the Appendix to Harris's book, gives an account of the meeting at the Café Royal on April 2nd, the day before the opening of the Queensberry trial. He describes how Wilde, accompanied by Douglas, casually finding Shaw and Harris at luncheon at the Café Royal, first broached the subject of Harris's testimony and that then Harris launched out into the tirade which he quotes, telling Wilde—a thing Wilde knew much better than Harris—what was the kind of

evidence the defence was going to bring against him, ending up by the absurd suggestion that he, Wilde, should that very same evening abscond to France leaving his solicitors, counsel and friends le bec dans I eau. Shaw here definitely proves that Harris was lying about his interviews at home with Wilde on March 30th or 31st and on All Fools Day. Let anybody compare pages 192, 193 to 198 of Harris's book with the account of the Cafe Royal interview given in the Appendix on pages 23 and 24 of Shaw's Memories of Oscar Wilde, and let them still, if they will, believe a single word of Harris's account of these conversations

And if any of the populus which vult derips wants to be assured that Harris's All Fools Day story about his visit to the office of the Director of Public Prosecutions, 1, Richmond Terrace, Whitehall, where he says he called on April 1st, 1895, and where (to his horror) Wilde's guilt was said to be known and classified, is anything but a vile slander and a most impudent imposition on public credulity, let me quote from a letter I received on March 10th, 1932, from the then Public Prosecutor himself. It is written in answer to a letter of mine dated March 3rd, in which I informed this gentleman I was publishing a book refuting scandalous libels on a very distinguished Englishman of letters and was respectfully writing to his office to inquire whether I was not justified in saying

- (1) That the Public Prosecutor's Office is not open on Sundays and
- (2) That no information concerning the character and conduct of a private individual who is under no charge whatever at the time would be given at your Office to an unauthorized member of the general public whose inquines could only be prompted by curiosity

In his answer the Public Prosecutor informs me that it is impossible to regard the incident which you quote, as founded on fact—that in reply to the two questions I ask, he begs to

177

state (1) That the office of the Director of Public Prosecutions "is not officially open on Sundays in the sense that Sunday is not regarded as a working-day in the office". He adds, however, that it is possible to conceive the attendance of the Director himself at his office on a Sunday on some very special occasion. Harris, of course, would say here that his desire to interview the Hon. Hamilton Cuffe on what was known about the morals of Mr. Oscar Wilde was a very special occasion, and that a mere summons on the telephone brought the future Earl of Desart post-haste from his week-end pleasaunce to wait on the great Mr. Harris at the office in Whitehall

Unfortunately it is impossible to get over this further statement in the letter before me the Director's assertion that my second question makes a statement which, as framed, is entirely correct and that I am justified in saying that it is perfectly well known that no information concerning a private individual would be given at the office to any unauthorized member of the general public

But I think that a letter I received from the same grim address dated September 25th, 1933, is even clearer proof that this story of Frank Harris's visit to the Public Prosecutor's office on Sunday, All Fools' Day, 1895, is an absolute fabrica-It comes to me from a highly distinguished gentleman who after forty years' service in the Public Prosecutions Department has not very long since retired to enjoy his leisured ease In 1895, being a very young man, he would naturally be most keenly interested in everything that was going on As a junior in the office it would probably devolve upon him to be in attendance on the special occasion of the office being open on a Sunday to look up such files and records as to the conduct of Mr Oscar Wilde as would be required by the future Earl of Desart to satisfy the great London ex-editor's inquiries any case it is perfectly certain that the junior would have heard all about this special occasion, and who is there who

cannot imagine how deeply on the tablets of his memory the circumstances would have engraved themselves when, two days after this visit of the great London editor, the trial of the Marquess at the prosecution of the great London playwright began, and rang through the world followed three days lately the collapse of the prosecution and the arrest and imprisonment of Oscar Wilde? And what do I find from the gentleman s letter? He has not the faintest recollection about the matter, one way or the other —

How utterly untrue is Harris's description of Oscar Wilde's reputation in London is demonstrated by the fact that his wife Constance was in total ignorance that anything could be charged against his moral behaviour. When the hideous scandal broke forth she revealed herself totally ignorant of the nature of the charges brought against her husband. She was dumbfounded with amazement and horror. Her innocent mind had no conception of such things. And she had lived with Wilde for more than ten years and had borne his children.

But perhaps better proof that Harris's statements of how Wilde stood in evil odour with the public in London for years before his downfall are malicious fabrications may be gathered from an extract from a letter which-in connection with Marjoribanks's odious romancings about Wilde-I received from a well known sporting baronet, the son of a former Postmaster-General, on whom a baronetcy was conferred for signal services to the Empire My father, who was, he writes me, a most determined hater of perversion (and never allowed a man suspected of homosexual tendencies to become a member of the Bath Club of which he was a founder) personally liked Oscar Wilde very much, and he came several times to lunch at 31 Eaton Square on Sundays and was often at my father's dinners at the House, and as my father was very exigeant about personalities he associated with, I flout the idea that Oscar Wilde could ever have been repulsive to a decent man, as the Prig would have him". This from a man allied by marriage to one of the oldest ducal families in the English aristocracy who, like his father, the Minister, has an instinctive horror and detestation of abnormality and perversion

What I call the "Newgate Calendar" part of Harris's book is where he deals, oh how exhaustively, with the incidents leading up to Queensberry's arrest, followed by the arrest of Oscar Wilde, his life in prison in Holloway, his first trial at the Old Bailey, his release on bail, Harris's fake about the yacht at Erith and his abortive attempt to induce Oscar to give legbail, the second trial and Harris's accounts of his visits to him Here he has the newspaper reports to go on, but his guiding thread all through this mighty maze has been a vivid imagination assisted by copious pulls on my Unhappy Friendship It may be interesting to compare the passage from the latter book, in which I describe the effect produced in certain outcast circles in London by the arrest of the insane poet, with a corresponding passage in Frank Harris (p 250), especially as it shows how, while indulging his malice against English society, he manages to mask his literary thefts Thus, in my story, "Calais witnessed a strange invasion" In Harris's, Dover is the port of embarkation for a strange exodus from London And I most certainly did not refer to Lord Leighton, or to Lord Battersea, or to poor General Macdonald, whom Harris does not name but indicates only too clearly

I wrote

It was indeed a horrible time A wave of terror swept over the Channel, and the city of Calais witnessed a strange invasion From the arcana of London a thousand guilty consciences, startled into action by the threat of imminent requitals, came fleeing South Every outgoing steamer numbered amongst its passengers such nightmare faces as in quiet times one cannot fancy to exist outside the regions of disordered dreams

And this is the way Harris cooks up my dish and pours liberally over it the sauce piquante of his malice and rancour

The mere news that Oscar Wilde had been arrested and taken to Holloway startled London and gave the signal for a strange exodus Every train to Dover was crowded every steamer to Calais thronged with members of the aristocratic and lessured classes Never was Paris so crowded with members of the English governing classes here was to be seen a famous ex Minister there was the face of the president of a Royal society at one table in the Cafe de la Paix a millionaire recently ennobled and celebrated for his exquisite taste in art, opposite him a famous general etc

There is not a word of truth in the last sentence and the only authority Harris had for his aspersions on the four distinguished men alluded to was a foolish and untrue story, current in London at the time, that one of them, on the outbreak of the scandal, took refuge on his steam yacht and remained there, full steam on, until Wilde's conviction and sentence, a story which probably also suggested to Harris The Flying Dutchman yacht at Erith and his fake about the arrangements for Wilde's escape And really, O Great-Heart Harris! might not Macdonald's miserable end have moved thy pity and stilled thy viper tongue?

It is one of the saddest recollections of my life in Pans, how one day, walking from the Place Jeanne d Arc under the colonnade of the rue de Rivoli, I noticed coming towards me a tall, fine, soldierly looking man with utter despair written on his manly countenance. His throat and lips were working as though to suppress cries of anguish and dismay. I said to myself, That man, like Dante, has suddenly been brought face to face with hell? An hour or two later I learned that an Englishman had shot himself in the Hotel Regina, and hurried there at once. I knew the manager, and my police card gained me access to the tiny back bedroom on the top floor in which the tragedy had taken place. There on a camp

bed lay the man whom I had met, and whose distress had so moved me A military cloak was thrown over his body and his self-inflicted wound was masked by a maculated bandage. There was a travelling-bag in the corner and on the dressing-table were scattered a few indispensable, but not luxurious, articles of toilette

This was Hector Macdonald, on his way to Marseilles and Ceylon, who had that morning received from the War Office a command to return instantly to face at headquarters some grave accusations which had been made against him in the island where he was stationed, and where he was living at the time that Harris described him as in Paris, in flight from London. His untimely death under such miserable trappings, closing a career of such fine achievement and service, which might have finished on the Marne or at Gallipoli, should have muted the tongue even of a Frank Harris.

On the same page Harris again dips into my Pierian spring and we read here, as the consequences of Wilde's arrest

The gravest result of the magistrate's refusal to accept bail was purely personal Oscar's income dried up at the source. His books were withdrawn from sale, no one went to see his plays, every shop-keeper to whom he owed a penny, took immediate action against him Judgements were obtained and an execution put into his house in Tite Street. Within a month, at the very moment when he most needed money to fee counsel and procure evidence, he was beggared and sold up ...

## My passage was

On his arrest, almost immediate ruin followed. His sources of income dried up in one hour, his books were withdrawn from sale, the managers suspended the performance of his plays. His creditors clamoured for payment, judgements were obtained against him, and an execution was put into his house in Tite Street. From affluence he passed suddenly to dire poverty at a time when money was needed for his defence, when the utter lack of resources seemed to hold out the menace that he would be left to face the terrible charges which

were being accumulated against him without the means to fee counsel or to prepare evidence

Of course, O Imitative Harris! as thou sayest truly, 'Nobody went to see his plays because, like the Spanish Fleet on a famous occasion, they were not there. The managers had withdrawn them in the high tide of their success as base an act of cabotin cowardice towards the author who had enrichem, and who was still to be deemed an innocent man, as the sordid chronicles of the hustings and the mummers in parade upon them record

Plagiarism having blithely carried Harris along, he now pulls another string of the bow with which he is aiming at the golden bull s eye of public credulity Ah, indeed, he has more than one trick in his bag and the time seems to have come for a little rehearsing of the art of the fakir, who, later on, is to take the centre of the stage in full yachting costume

Wilde has been committed for trial Harris wishes to visit him in prison but of course under more classy conditions than a mere Lord Alfred Douglas, who like everybody else visiting prisoners under remand, had had to see the prisoner under humiliating and tragic conditions. So he goes to the higher authorities and gets permission to see Oscar in a private room. Sir Matthew White Ridley, the Home Secretary, had no doubt telephoned to the Governor of Holloway Gaol to inform him of the exact day and hour on which the distinguished Harris was to honour the prison with his visit. The Governor has been so impressed that Harris finds him in the prison-entrance, doubtless killing time, which, of course, is without value to a governor of such an establishment, by humming softly to himself.

There was I Waiting at the Gate! Waiting at the Gate! Waiting at the Gate!

The melodious and solitary member of the Holloway Gaol

Frank Harris Reception Committee meets Harris at "the entrance of the prison" and to Harris's "surprise was more than courteous, charmingly kind and sympathetic". So sympathetic indeed that after having expressed great pity for the prisoner and—all this in front of the warders under him—the opinion that he hoped Wilde would soon be free as Holloway was no place for him, he actually escorts Harris—possibly preceded by the prison band—to a "bare room" and then hurries off to fetch Oscar from his cell. He is ever so quick about it, this zealous and nimble Governor, for in a "moment or two" Oscar, accompanied by a warder, arrives on the scene

Harris does not give the date of this memorable visit but indicates that it took place very shortly after Oscar's confinement there, so that one may safely place it somewhere between the 5th and the 16th of April, 1895. The former date is that of Oscar's arrest, the second is the date of a letter which I received from Oscar in Paris. This is the letter. It will be noticed that Wilde makes no reference to Harris and indeed speaks of Alfred Douglas as his sole visitor.

 $\frac{L P}{C 4}$   $\frac{B 2-4}{3 56}$ 

From Wilde

H M PRISON,

HOLLOWAY

16-4-1895

MY DEAR ROBERT,

You good, daring, reckless friend! I was delighted to get your letter, with all its wonderful news. For myself, I am ill—apathetic. Slowly life creeps out of me. Nothing but Alfred Douglas's daily visits quicken me into life, and even him I only see under humiliating and tragic conditions.

Don't fight more than 6 duels a week! I suppose Sarah is hopeless, but your chivalrous friendship—your fine chivalrous friendship—is worth more than all the money in the world

Yours,

Not a word, it will be noted, about Frank Harris, who was well known to me and who had been introduced to me by Oscar himself years previously Having nothing whatever to write about and knowing I should have been delighted to hear Harris had been to see him, because he knew the opinion I had at the time of this friend, Wilde would have made a point of telling me about Harris So the visit certainly did not take place before the 16th I am rather doubtful that it ever took place at all-certainly Oscar never said a word about it when I met him three weeks later-and I think if Harris did go to see Wilde at Holloway, it was in the ordinary reception room where his conversation would have to be carried on from behind bars, across a space of one yard with Wilde on the other side in a kind of horrible cage and in almost complete darkness, with twenty other people talking at the same time, as Douglas described his own visits to Holloway Under these conditions the seven-page conversation he describes on pages 261 to 267 would have been impossible and even Harris would not have had the impudence to tell us it took He would thus have been spared showing himself in a very despicable light in printing this imaginary conversation

I am not referring here to his copying from my book an incident which occurred while I was visiting Wilde later on at Wandsworth Gaol, where a warder forbade me to address my friend in French, with a gruff Stow that now! No foreign languages here! because in that Harris only shows that he is ignorant that the same rules do not govern interviews with convicted prisoners and those only on remand No,

what I refer to is the passage where he advises Oscar how to affront the Court at the forthcoming trial, how to defend himself, the passage where he was "speaking with rage in his heart" and with his eyes "albeit unused to the melting mood" dropping "tears as fast as the Arabian trees their medicinal gum" The only satisfaction that poor Oscar and his many friends derived from all the horrors of that first abortive trial was the veritable triumph that the prisoner won by his splendid definition of the love surpassing the love of women that one man may feel for another man, "the great affection of an older for a younger man as there was between David and Jonathan; such as Plato made the very base of his philosophy, and such as you find in the sonnets of Michelangelo and Shakespeare—a deep spiritual affection that is as pure as it is perfect "given in answer to a question in cross-examination, an answer in which he indeed showed himself a "lord of language," an answer which provoked loud applause in Court and of which afterwards Robert Buchanan, the Scotch poet, said that it was the finest thing in forensic literature since St Paul's defence before Agrippa

Well, for this poor triumph for Oscar, Harris tries to take the credit to himself. In the passage which in a "talkie" he could only have delivered after a liberal use of the glycerine-bottle he quotes himself as saying to Oscar, amongst much else "Defend yourself as David would have defended his love for Jonathan. Make them all listen to you. I would take care to get free with half your talent even if I were guilty."

But what I think definitely proves that if the visit took place at all the conversation reported is purely imaginary, is that there is not a word spoken by Oscar on a subject of the greatest importance and of keenest anxiety to him during those three weeks of detention, and that was his entire absence of means for his defence. Until Sir Edward Clarke and Charles Matthews generously undertook to defend him at the Old Bailey

for nothing, he was faced with the prospect of having to ask the judge to assign him a defender in Court—an Old Bailey "soup as they are called—the gentlemen of the Bar who for a guinea fee will accept a dock brief over the railings of the awful pen

I know something about Oscar's position at the time, for it was I who was delegated to go to Sarah Bernhardt on his behalf and to try and induce her to purchase all rights in Salome for from 1,500 to 2,000 dollars. I told the story of this futule quest in detail thirty years ago and don't wish to repeat it here, but in brief Sarah after promising help and keeping me on the run for a week refused to part with a single red cent and so threw away the certitude of a large fortune—certainly more than half a million dollars. This is what Wilde refers to in the letter I have quoted. Wilde's entire hopes for enough money to pay for his defence rested on the outcome of my negotiations with Sarah, and is anyone going to believe that not a word about this or about his desperate finanenal position would have been said during his interview with Harris, or that liad Harris asked him. Is there anything I can do for you, nothing that you want? Wilde would meekly answer. No, Frank, it was kind of you to come to see me, I wish I could tell you how kind.

It seems to me Harris's imagination failed him here, for with my book before him, he might have made Wilde say Yes, Frank, I wish you would see what Sherird is doing about my business with Sarah. He wired me some days ago she had agreed to buy Salome and I was so reliceed, as I have no other resources in the world. This would have given him an opportunity to pose as the generous friend and Wilde might have been made to refuse his offers pending Sarah's final decision. It would all have sounded infinitely more probable than the stuff he desembes himself as talking on David and Jonathan, on watches, on the Apologia of Plato, on cigar-

ettes, the "deathless smiling courage of Socrates," on the prison grub, on Jesus Christ and Athens and shortsightedness and Galileo and Giordano Bruno (O great O Henry!), while Oscar bursting with the desire to yell out "It's me for the Mazuma" babbles of June days in London and "sunshine dappling the grass, quotes Wordsworth on "the wind in the trees," touches on the last hours of Socrates, nods his head to show how Frank has encouraged him, asks him if he "really thinks he can win," and lets the golden galleon sail out into the corridor without a single word to indicate that he is in desperate straits for money, he whom Harris has described as quite unscrupulous about asking people, even Harris, for loans

No! He lets Harris go forth into the corridor, where, look you now!, Harris finds the Governor waiting for him "almost at the door," (not that he suggests he might have had his ear to the keyhole to catch Harris's winged words) who escorts him to the exit where they shake hands and where in the Harris-talkie (if Shaw had only consented) the glycerine bottle would have come into use again for both of them, for, says Harris "We shook hands" I think there were tears in both our eyes"

His account of the first trial at the Old Bailey, which he professes to have listened to from first to last, is as good as the newspaper reports at the time made it and his comments on the gross unfairness of trying the two prisoners together and on the obvious prejudice of the judge, and so on, are shrewd and effective. I was in Paris at the time and so cannot say whether he was there or not. He certainly was not in court on the last day of the second criminal trial, when Wilde was convicted and sentenced, for I was there during the whole of that terrible afternoon. But more of this later

#### CHAPTER XIII

### THE FLYING DITCHMAN AT ERITH

HARRIS, no doubt, purposely concealed his knowledge of the facts as to how the amount of Oscar s bail was gotten together and who were the bondsmen If he had stated the truth, that it was Ernest Leverson, of Courtfield Gardens, who found £700 for Stewart Headlam to enable the latter-with Percy Douglas s £550-to give surety for £1,250, he would greatly have diminished the credibility of the impudent, self glorifying fabrication which follows immediately on the paragraph about his anxiety to stand as one of Oscar's sureties himself (p 278)

This fabrication concerns the effort he made to induce Wilde to flee the country and his alleged arrangements to effect the escape This is one of the stories in his storybook which has won him the most kudos, the lyrical approbation of George Bernard Shaw and the noble eccur -sl and quel brave homme st of his French dunes

There is not one word of truth in the whole story from his

As soon as the bail was accepted I began to make my preparations for Oscar s escape

on page 278, down to the atrocious he on page 300 about Willy Wilde, which forms a fitting peroration to the whole mendacious patter Here it is

He got out and went into the house the gloomy sorded house where the brother lived who would sell his blood for a price

Immediately after announcing his decision to do "something 189

to save Oscar from the wolves," he gives as one reason for his desperate determination that

For the first time in my life I understood the full significance of Montaigne's confession that if he were accused of stealing the towers of Notre Dame Cathedral he would fly the kingdom rather than risk a trial, and Montaigne was a lawyer

Stimulated by Montaigne, Harris "set to work at once to complete his preparations"

The simple truth is that it was I, and I alone, who proposed to Oscar to escort him out of the country and it was I who quoted Montaigne to him, as may be seen in the following extract from my *Unhappy Friendship* (p. 158).

I visited him (Wilde) every day, and stayed with him almost all the time. When the subject of flight was discussed, I declared that in my opinion it was the best thing that he could do, not only in his own interests, but in those of the public also, and I offered to take the whole care and responsibility of the evasion on my shoulders, with all the odium that would afterwards attach to me "'If I were accused,'" said Montaigne, I quoted, "'of stealing the towers of Notre Dame Cathedral, the very first thing I should do would be to cross the frontier' You have stood one trial, and the fact that you have been released on bail shows that they want you to go"

I certainly did not project to help him to "fly the kingdom" like Harris, but to flee England with an ordinary return-ticket from Charing Cross Aeroplanes had not in 1895 yet been invented and balloons were hazardous and uncertain so that instead of landing Oscar in the fair land of France we might ignominiously, after a circular trip round London, have descended in the very yard off Whitehall which takes its name from Scotland, and money was so very, very short in Oakley Street that in order to get rid of me and send me back to France, so that all this scheming about flight might be put a stop to, Willy one night in bed announced to his wife (who told it me next morning at breakfast with shouts of laughter) that he had

definitely decided to 'sell his library in order to supply me with the funds for my transportation

I suppose it was my book that suggested to Harris to concoct these ridiculous stories. Ridiculous, because only a few piges previously he had informed us how it would have utterly ruined him if he had even ventured to defend Wilde in his Saturday Revnew. According to his account the Messis. W. H. Smith & Son had informed him that they would not put on sale any journal containing anything in Wilde's favour, and he indicates that he was not in a position to face the utter ruin of his journal, yet in this story he wants us to believe that he was anxious and willing to engineer Wilde's flight, which would have been a thousand times more detrimental to his business standing in London and the very existence of the Saturday Revnew than the mere publication in that review of a plea that until he was actually convicted Wilde should not be considered guilty

But Harris had a melodramatic incident to fabricate and one that would redound to the credit of the 'pirate from the Spanish main, which had always been his favourite posture So from plagiarizing my books for his faithful chronicle, he lightheartedly proceeds to substitute himself, on the Ote to de là, que je my mette' principle, for me in proprià personà in this matter of reckless sacrifice for a friend. Not that I had to fear the same orbicular ruin which would have attended him and his journal, or the public disgrace that would have banned his friend, the Jewish gentleman, 'a man of the widest culture, who lent him a yacht (The Flying Dutchman) at moorings in the Thames at Erith, from society for ever, when the scandal of Wilde's escape should have shaken London and the circumstances of the adventure with the names of those who had taken part in it should have become of public notoriety, all of which was invented to shed a brighter halo on his head and make his sacrifice so infinitely greater than mine would have been

My scheme was neither dramatic nor pictorial, it was just plain common sense I was to escort Wilde, who would have been furnished with a return-ticket, (unlike the victims of Fenayrou and Landru for whom only single tickets were purchased because, like Wilde, they were not coming back), by night-train and steamer to Paris via Southampton—Havre on a week's visit to a small cottage I had in the country at Auverssur-Oise There a diplomatic malady would have fallen him, a medical certificat de complaisance could have been obtained with the greatest ease, testifying to the impossibility of his using his return half for the round trip back to the Old Bailey, and Time would have done the rest

I was well up in the law and I knew that he had nothing to fear from police interference or, with only one exception, anything to imperil the perfect success of this healthful hegira Perhaps the following confirmation of this statement, which also indicates the one possible snag in our way, may be read It comes from the late Lord Russell who was with interest -though not at the time he wrote this letter-a member of Ramsay MacDonald's Socialist Cabinet and who it may be remembered was an able practising solicitor. When I was preparing for this book and wanted a document to show that Harris's story was on the face of it absurd and that my commonsense plan was one with which the police could in no way have interfered, I had a letter of inquiry on the law written to Lord Russell and on May 31st, 1928, there was written from Dyke House, Methwold, Brandon, Suffolk (his lordship's residence) the following reply

"A man who is out on bail is entirely free until the time comes when he has to surrender to his bail. The only persons who can arrest him are his sureties. If they think he is going to skip his bail they or either of them can give him into custody for their own security. OSCAR COULD HAVE SKIPPED

HIS BAIL AND OUGHT TO HAVE DONE [The capitals are mine]

Yours Truly, RUSSELL

Here is indicated the snag I referred to While Perey Douglas Great-Heart was quite willing to lose his £1,800—all he had in the world at the time, he had told me, when I asked him if he would allow Wilde to go Let him go in God's name if he is in any danger, said this kindest of grands seigneurs

With Ernest Leverson, however, it was different involved to the extent of the £700 which he had supplied to Stewart Headlam and had no wish at all to see this sum estreated with the rest of the \$2,500 In fact he was determined that it should not be, if he could prevent it. He gave me very clearly to understand that if he saw or heard of any preparations for flight on Oscar's part he would at once oblige Stewart Headlam to withdraw his bond I had rushed over specially from Paris, as soon as Wilde was released on bail, to bring him back to France with me and I was not a persona grata at Courtfield Gardens in consequence So little so that I was purposely not asked to attend the dinner there at which Oscar s future movements were to be finally discussed, because Leverson knew what I considered Oscar's sole hope of safety Harris was invited and mentions the dinner at the Leversons' but does not refer in any way to the discussion that then and there went on It was finally decided that Oscar should remain in London and face the music,' and he then and there gave Leverson his promise to do so If Leverson had suspected that Harris was not only trying to induce Oscar to skip his bail but had carried out elaborate arrangements to ensure his flight, Harris would not have been asked to attend the conference from which I was excluded But Harris had never breathed a word on the subject, or indicated his views in the

193

faintest I fancy that when he was concocting his clumsy fiction, Harris "visualized" Leverson in the rôle of the generous and kindhearted Israelite, who, though "he had no sympathy with Wilde's vice," was "only too glad" to place his steamyacht, then lying at Erith, at Harris's entire disposal "for such work as that without money," because "it was horrible that such a man as Wilde should be treated as a common criminal"

I imagine that when Harris decided to substitute himself for me as the would-be rescuer of Wilde, it was the story about the "famous ex-Minister" that suggested the steam-yacht, and that the wealthy Ernest Leverson suggested the benevolent Jew and so the clumsy fable was compounded I expect that it was to make the story sound more plausible that he pretended to be ignorant that Leverson was bailsman to the extent of £700, just as he conceals the fact that all along Leverson had expressed it as his clear opinion that Oscar must stay in England and face his trial, though I cannot say that Leverson had actually told him, as he told me, that if Oscar tried to bolt he would have him arrested At that dinner-party Harris would hear Leverson very emphatically on the subject and he would also hear Wilde give his undertaking to remain all the while, he would have us believe, his plans had been fully completed, the two-horse brougham was waiting at the Park Gates, the yacht was under steam for an unknown destination, a recherché supper had been ordered on board, and all that Oscar had to do was to allow himself to be driven at a "gallop ventre à terre to Erith" when he broached the subject to Wilde as they were walking away from the Leversons'

He really asks us to believe—and Shaw and others do actually believe—that he proposed to Wilde to come away in his dinner-jacket, without a scrap of personal luggage, to undertake the journey from Courtfield Gardens, SW, to Erith on the Thames, a drive which would have taken him hours and hours

and hardly have landed them in Erith-which is not a little landing place on the Thames but a busy river port of over 35,000 inhabitants, in Kent, which could only be reached after traversing the whole of London and miles of country beyond —in broad daylight Wilde was to come away after breaking his ten minute-old promise to Leverson, without one word of farewell to the old mother, slowly sinking into her grave, whom he loved so dearly and who had conjured him by all he held sacred in his love for her and his pride in the family honour not to brand himself as guilty by fleeing from his trial, and had indeed said that should he run away she would never as long as she lingered on, speak to him again. And with the certitude that long before the two-pair brougham reached the borders of Kent, the anxious Willy would have inquired of the Leversons why Oscar had not reached home and that Leverson would have acted promptly, that Scotland Yard would have been nut on the alert and all agog, that the mysterious yacht which had been for days lying at moorings there had already been the subject of curious conjecture at Police Headquarters that ports-now that Leverson had demanded the arrest of Oscar Wilde-would have been all on the qui vive, that it takes even a steam yacht under one hundred lbs steampressure to the square inch -all 'greyhound on the leash and all 'ready to start at a moment's notice, ' as she might be described by Harris-quite a long time to settle up her dues and get her papers and elear her moonings, and that by that time, the presence on board of a tall and bulky stranger, obviously a fugitive from justice, dressed in evening clothes, and accompanied by a shortish man resembling a pirate from the Spanish Main would have been known all over Erith, and communicated from the harbour police station to the authorities in London It is a ridiculous and puerile invention, yet it has duped the shrewd and lucid Shaw and thousands of readers of Harris's roman pour la portière

The absurdity of Harris's story is further proved by the fact —a fact which Harris seems to have overlooked when he pitched on Erith as the mooring of The Flying Dutchman (as I have baptized his phantom yacht)—that just at that time in 1895 the Royal Corinthian Yacht Club was stationed there I have made very careful inquiries on the spot and at Burnhamon-Crouch, Essex, the headquarters of the RCYC, and so I am speaking on authority Imagine the curiosity that would have been aroused amongst these yachtsmen and their crews if a large, mysterious steam-yacht, with full steam on, capable of 15 knots an hour, with a steam piessure of 100 lbs to the square inch, had been kept there for days together! How long would it have been before the news got about the place and how long before it was known at Erith Yacht Club, Riverside, that a secret flight was in preparation? Harris should have taken a little more trouble in arranging this stupid story, he would have done better to fix the clandestine embarkation at Deal, as suggested by Bernard Shaw to be a much more likely place for it

Alfred Douglas seems to have been able to convince him that the story of The Flying Dutchman was true in every respect, and this accounts possibly for references by Douglas in his Autobiography to his belief at that time in this nautical romance. He adds, doubtless on Harris's authority, that the "wealthy Jew" who lent Harris The Flying Dutchman was a Member of Parliament. The person that Harris had then in mind was Harry Marks. Unfortunately at that time Marks was not a Member of Parliament—he only became one after the Wilde scandal that caused the collapse of the then Government and brought about the General Election of 1895. Marks had no sympathy whatever with Wilde, and is it likely that so shrewd a man, who was then preparing his candidature for a seat which he afterwards won, would be willing to mix himself up in such

an appalling scandal as would have been caused by Wilde's escape in a yacht he lent for the purpose?

I have had very, very careful inquiries made at Erith and have ascertained that none of the Jewish gentlemen who were in Parliament before the election of 1895 even possessed a yacht. The secretary of the Club down there was kind enough to make most exhaustive inquiries and search all the books in the Harbour-Master's archives, and he wrote to me very definitely that I was quite justified in describing the whole of Harris's story about the steam yacht lying there during the month of May as a pure fake

One s indignation against Harris must always, however, be modified by observing the elfin joy with which, with his tongue in his cheek, he delights in making fools of his readers. He tells us that when the idea of rescuing Wilde first occurred him and the project of The Flying Dutchman first germed in his mind, he was just on the point of going down to Cowes to hire a yacht—the very place, of course, where he would be likely to find a yacht on hire. It occurred to me that this was just as sensible a suggestion as if, finding his domestic coal scuttle empty, he had proposed to go down to Newcastle to get it filled.

Consider also the glee with which he must have indited the passage describing his drive home to Oakley Street and, having occasion to speak to the coachman of the brougham, he puts his head out of the window and addresses him as Robert It no doubt occurred to him that I, the originator of the escape plan, ought really in common justice to have some small role to play in the piece, and therefore bestows my Christian name on the driver Quite an honourable position, Harris would think, reflecting that it was no less a person than Count Fersen who, disguised as the coachman, drove the barouche from the Tuileries to Varennes

Harris, who must always be bragging about money, says he

tried to overcome Wilde's scruples about leaving his sureties in the lurch by gallantly offering to recoup their loss out of his own pocket, to find the £2,500 required It does not occur to him that if such action was within his power, it would have been ever so much simpler to have divulged his plan at the meeting that night, to have produced the £700 for Leverson then and there and to have asked Percy Douglas over the telephone to accompany the exodus down to Erith, which the great-hearted fellow would certainly have done Leverson had no objection to the "Evanescence of Oscar," but he did most strongly object to seeing his seven hundred "yellow boys" evanescing synchronously Money, however, talks and if Harris had produced the £700 in banknotes, why, I believe Ernest Leverson would have ordered up a Jeroboam of the finest bubbly to provide the hardy mariners with a fitting stirrup-cup

Oscar, however, refuses, as he certainly would have refused if the proposal had ever really been made to him I am as certain that it was never made, and that Harris's story is all a stupid lie, as that I am alive and am breathing Apart from the inherent absurdities and contradictions of the story, I have, to convince me, the fact that not a word of this project was ever breathed to me by Oscar or anybody else at Oakley Street and that even Lady Wilde obviously never heard about Harris's I know-because I was waiting up for Oscar, proposal terribly anxious to hear the result of the consultation—that he came home that night alone in a hansom cab from Courtfield Gardens, because I answered his ring and saw the cab driving away empty He never spoke of this suggested flight, indeed he never spoke to me of Harris at all As a matter of fact Harris only saw Wilde and was in his company twice, the first time for the few minutes when he came to take him out to the Café Royal and the second time when they were together that night at the Leversons'. Further, during the three or

four days I spent with him after his release from prison in 1897, at Berneval, near Dieppe, we sometimes discussed my proposal of flight and he always said he was not sorry that he had not run away, but he never referred to any other plan of escape, as he certainly would have done Further still, on the night of the 22nd of May after the trial and conviction of Taylor it was, alast too tardily, decided that Oscar's only chance of safety was to get away out of the country at once Even Willy agreed on this, and his wife came and very earnestly begged me to do what I had undertaken to do when I first came to London a fortnight previously Of course, then it was far too late, for his temporary freedom only extended till the next morning at 9 a m and nothing could then be done But it seems to me that if Harris's story had had a word of truth in it, he would surely then have appeared at the house of mourning in the spanking two-horse brougham to make a final desperate effort to force Oscar to compliance

For had he not, as he says, told Oscar that the yacht would be waiting for him till the very day of the trial, and the brougham, too?

The story is an impudent fabrication concocted for his own aggrandisement, and as such I should not even have referred to it here because it is indifferent to me that Harris should glorify his own person and character. Neither do I object in the least to his substituting himself for me in a romantic rolu. I am only concerned, in dealing with his book, in a refutation of his vilification of Wilde, and in the exposure as fabricated of the confessions with which his book crawls. But I could not let pass the atrocious calumny on Willy Wilde which Harris in this conversation attributes to Oscar and which figures in the last sentence of this story, quoted above. Harris actually makes Oscar Wilde say of his brother that 'if I were not in Oakley Street to-night Willy would tell the police, and that in answer to a further argument of Harris he added that Willy

had made his (Oscar's) solicitors buy letters of his (Oscar's), "He has blackmailed me" Why on earth Harris should have invented such a story and have made Oscar thus traduce his brother with an absolutely false statement, I cannot conceive, unless he had some malicious grudge against the dead Willy Wilde Willy stood by his brother most loyally all through his time of trouble and there is not a fragment of truth in this invention about him

Although I was quite convinced of this fact I did write to Messrs Humphreys to ask them if there was any trace of such a transaction in their books, and on April 28th, 1928, I received from Mr Robert Humphreys, who is the present head of this honourable firm, a letter in which he states that all the papers in the Wilde case having been destroyed many years ago, he was unable to help me without looking up entries made thirtythree years previously, which would involve an exhaustive He adds "Personally speaking, although I acted for search the defence of Mr Oscar Wilde and saw Willy Wilde frequently, I have no recollection of the incident you mention" This makes me say that I am quite sure that such an incident did not take place, apart from my knowledge of Willy Wilde, because I am sure that the record of such an incident would have bitten into the tablets of the memory of such a man as Mr Robert Humphreys with the vitriol of disgust and indignation, even though as a London solicitor with a wide practice many incidents of appalling though perhaps not equal turpitude must have come under his notice And as to Oscar so defaming his brother . mais glissons, glissons, pour l'amour de Dieu

It is difficult to understand Harris in his rôle as a "faithful chronicler". From a reckless desire to create a sensation at any cost, from an urge to represent himself in a noble light, or just from an elfin desire to see how far the public is gullible he prints in the text of his book a "confession" from Wilde's lips and then in the appendix publishes a passage from the De

Profunds letter, which is in flat contradiction of Wilde's statement (or rather of the statement falsely attributed to Wilde by Harris)

I am referring to the confession on page 286, where Wilde admits the charges for which he was to stand his trial Harris has just adjudged himself a brevet for legal knowledge and acumen by predicting that the fatal evidence of the young book-clerk, Shelley, would be ruled out at the next trial, which is exactly what took place but it is so easy to be wise after the event He then makes Oscar say Oh, Frank, you talk with passion and consiction, as if I were innocent you are innocent, I cried in amaze, aren't you? Oscar is represented as saying that he thought Harris had known all along (or at least since his visit to the Public Prosecutor's Office) that he was guilty of the charges made against him at the first trial which were to be repeated in the second indict-He also suggests, timidly putting out his hand, that ment this will make a great difference in Harris's feelings towards Harris, who has been staring at him stupidly, now sees the fine role there is for him to play here, the scène à faire, as Sareey would have called it, and remembering that he is a pirate from the Spanish Main (or a rat from the main sewer) jettisons all moral scruples and tells Oscar that it has 'made no difference at all and certainly will not stop for one moment his Juniter like descent on the Danæ of Oscar, nor the shower of fairy gold

I have already demonstrated that this conversation never took place at all, that Oscar did not go out with Harris on that day and so was not with him when this alleged confession was made Oscar himself always most emphatically denied to everybody the charges to which, according to Harris, he pleaded guilty on that imaginary occasion When the whole of De Profundis, which Douglas has authorized Methuen to publish in the new edition of Wildes complete works, edited by Mr

A J A Symons, comes out, his emphatic plea of innocence addressed to the friend to whom he is writing will be found there for all to read. It is not likely he would have written this to Douglas, who knew all about him, if a few months previously he had confessed his guilt to Harris, who would at once have communicated it to Douglas.

Harris's first volume, "the indictment against English society," closes with a fairly accurate description (taken from newspaper reports) of Wilde's last trial, but he is not telling the truth when he describes his own presence in Court on May 25th, 1895, the last day, on which Wilde was convicted and sentenced. I cannot say whether he was present on the preceding days, but I can most definitely deny his presence there on May 25th, during the last sad hours. I had purposely kept away till then, but on that last afternoon, "as that fatal Saturday dragged on, the impulse grew stronger and stronger within me to go to him, so as to be with him at the end." So having met Ernest Dowson, who felt the same as I did, I went with him to the Old Bailey and was in Court, sitting just behind the Attorney-General, Sir Frank Lockwood, from just after the retirement of the jury till the verdict and sentence had been pronounced

The jury was out a long while and I had every opportunity to ascertain de visu what persons were in "at the kill" Most carefully did I scrutinize every face in the gallery which overhung the dock, in the "City Lands" pews, on the barristers' benches, in the well of the court—It helped to kill time, time heavy as lead—I made a note at the moment of the names of all whom I recognized, but can only now remember the presence of Percy Douglas Great-Heart, whose eyes filled with tears while Wills, spitting and snarling, passed sentence—He was standing on the left of the dock—I had specially looked to see if Harris were there, because apart from Lord Douglas of Hawick none of Wilde's former friends were present Harris was not

After I had got out into the Old Bailey, outside the Court, I witnessed the scene described in my Unhappy Friendship as follows

As I staggered down the steps to leave the courthouse I dimly heard the cries of exultation which those crowding down with me were uttering. But this fiendish joy in the ruin of a life was to be impressed upon me still more vividly. For when the verdict and sentence on the aristocrat reached the rabble in the Old Bailey men and women joined hands and danced an unguily farandole where ragged petti coats and yawning boots flung up the London mud in feu de joie and the lideous faces watched this dance of death for a few innutes and whilst I was standing there. I saw the Evidence still laughing

and whilst I was standing there I saw the Evidence still laughing and smoking cigarettes being driven off in cabs And I said to Dowson etc.

Harns having shown that he was not in Court by recording that after Wills had passed sentence there were cries of 'Shamel and hisses in Court, also that Wilde ROSE and asked the judge if he might not say something, adds artistic versimilitude to his narrative by transferring the above description of the scene in the Old Bailey, duly paraphrased, from my book to his and tells his readers that

We had not left the court when the cheering broke out in the streets and when we came outside there were troops of the lowest women of the town dancing together and kicking up their legs in hideous abandonment

As I turned away I caught a glimpse of Wood and the Parkers getting into a cab laughing and learning

A very faithful chronicle, Mr Bernard Shaw, but not Frank Harris sl

## CHAPTER XIV

# WHERE "FAKIR" BOLTS

THE insincerity of Harris's professions of keen interest in and deep affection for Oscar Wilde is, I think, clearly shown by the fact that though he lived in London and, according to his own account, could do just anything he liked with the highest Government officials, he never made the least effort to see Wilde in prison until the summer of 1896, "a year or so" after Wilde's incarceration, at a time when it was being bruited abroad that Wilde had been allowed the use of writing materials and was supposed to be engaged on literary composition, in the intervals of picking oakum and turning the crank This news would suggest to Harris, editor and business-man, that there might be eventual profit for him in renewing the acquaintance of the disgraced prisoner From the day of Wilde's conviction till the middle of September, when I induced Constance Wilde to come to England and visit her husband—when she was reconciled to him-not a living soul, except myself, went near the unhappy man He "became due" for a visit from two friends on August 25th, and I at once applied to the Governor to be allowed to come and see him on that day I duly received my pass It was available for two persons, but mine was the only name inscribed upon it Where was Harris that day and why had he not applied? Why did he give no sign of life even after my visit had been described far and wide in the Press, chiefly with malevolent comment? We were friends at the time and he could have come to me or have sent the two-horse brougham to take me to him in his

exalted sphere to hear from me an account of my visit to Wandsworth Gaol, more circumstantial and less prudently reserved than I had given to the interviewer who intercepted me at the prison gate, as I was leaving But nol Not a word, not a sign the dead might bury their dead

In his book (we are now in Vol II) he describes his mental anguish, his gnawing anxiety about his friend's welfare during these months of his living interment, but does not record having made the slightest effort—even to the extent of writing me a postcard—to get at the facts about him. Possibly he felt that Oscar might not be particularly pleased to see him, boisterous, truculent, boasting, and perhaps in this surmise he would have been right because, as Constance wrote me after she had seen her husband—it is the same letter in which she expressed the conviction that Oscar had been mad for the past three years—he was averse from contact with former acquaintances. As she wrote

I thank you for your kindness to a fallen friend you are kind and gentle to him and you are I think the only person he can bear to see

When fifteen or twenty years later Harris began on his faithful chronicle and had decided that he must posture as Wilde's devoted friend, as his tolerant, broadminded, all-comprehending, all absolving father confessor, the only excuse he can adduce for his total neglect of Wilde during this whole 'year or so, is that (p 326) For months and months the situation in South Africa took all my heart and soul'

He tells us that in January, 1896, he sailed for Capetown The Jameson Raid had taken place and naturally his presence there was indispensable when one remembers the absorbing work by day and night that he had to do for the Saturday Review He got back to England in the summer of 1896, but even then he had no time to trouble about his friend, for his 'task of defending the Boer farmers grew more and more

arduous," and he therefore had to console himself about Oscar with the news that "he was going on as well as could be expected."

Truly, Mr George Bernard Shaw, it would have been difficult for him to carry his kindness further!

Before continuing the examination of his narrative, I would like to say-reverting to Lord Macaulay's comparison of the merits of the Johnson biographies to a horse-race won by "Eclipse," with the rest nowhere—that from before Wilde's arrest, the good horse "Fakır" (H Wiper up) has obviously taken the bit between his teeth and gallops on to victory and the laurel-wreath of G B Shaw's high approbation, with the dope dripping from his muzzle and the foam flying back Harris in all the remainder of his book, the whole of the second volume being included, seems to have said to himself "Well, if the public has followed my story so far, it's evident that fake is the stuff to give 'em Populus vult decipi, and I'm therefore the man to deliver the goods" There is hardly a page in the whole of the second volume which does not reck of falsehood—absurd inventions to demonstrate the author's utter contempt for his gullible readers throughout

It was, it appears, Oscar's "friends" who coming to Harris with dreadful tales about Oscar's condition in June, 1896, tore him away from his Boer farmers and prompted him at long last to try and do something for his friend. Accordingly he solicits an interview from Sir Evelyn Ruggles-Brise, who after consultation with Sir Matthew White Ridley, the Home Secretary, appoints him, Frank Harris, as a special Commissioner, to go down to Reading Gaol and examine into Wilde's condition and to listen to his complaints and deliver a report on which Sir Ruggles-Brise would undertake to make the Home Secretary act on Wilde's behalf! Leaving aside the fact that there was nobody in the London newspaper world less likely to be selected for such a confidential post than Frank

Harris, who for his defence of the Boers and his unpatriotic attacks in this matter on the British Government was a persona ingratisima in Whitehall at the time, it should be remembered by those who are inclined to believe this absurd story that already a month or two previously the Home Office had had Wilde's case fully examined into by two Whitehall medical experts who went down to Reading, who had had him 'under observation at the prison and had returned to Whitehall to report that he was perfectly sane and that he seemed quite happy. In consequence of this report—

When eventually a petition was prepared by some eminent persons in high positions in the Church and the learned professions whose calling and character placed them beyond the suspicion of having any prejudice in favour of the prisoner or of any laxity of view with regard to offences of the kind for which Wilde had been convicted in which special stress was laid upon the danger to the man's mentality an intimation was received from the Home Secretary that it would be useless to present it as the case of this prisoner has been the subject of careful inquiry and consideration and that as a result the Home Secretary has come to the conclusion that no grounds medical or other, exist which would justify him in advising any mitigation of the sentence

And after this Harris wants people to believe that all he had to do to brush aside this decision was to introduce himself to the Chairman of the Prison Commission so is to be asked by him to go down to Reading and report on Wilde's condition, a few weeks after the experts had done this so fully He wants us to believe that the Home Secretary but why continue?

When I had read this extraordinary invention, I asked a lady in London, who was as indignant as I am, about Harris s lying book, to ask Sir Ruggles-Brise if he had any recollection of suggesting this commissionership and of getting Sir Matthew White Ridley so to appoint Frank Harris His answer, dated April 1st, 1928, was as follows

MADAM,

I have no information on the points to which your letter refers You should apply to the Prison Commissioners, Home Office, S W

# Yrs faithfully,

E RUGGLES-BRISE

In other words he knew nothing about the matter The letter is a holograph

This dispenses me from analysing in detail the absurdities of the imaginary conversations between himself and Sir Evelyn before and after his visit to Reading, if such a visit-of which I never heard a word then or afterwards—ever took place at all Wilde was "due" for a visit on February 25th, May 25th and August 25th On none of these occasions did Harris accompany me or Ross to Reading It must have taken place, if at all, some time in June, 1896, because Major Isaacson who left Reading Gaol on July 1st was Governor at the time of Harris's alleged inspection Possibly, through an application to Sir Evelyn, Harris got a permit to go and see Wilde, but I have my doubts because such permits were not issued unless the applicant could show "good and sufficient cause" for asking for an exception to be made in his favour best proof I can give is that when I applied to the Home Secretary through the Right Hon William Lowther, who was a family friend, for a special permit to see Oscar about reconciling him with his wife I received a letter from the Home Office which ran as follows

HOME OFFICE,

WHITEHALL, S W 10th Sept 1895

DEAR SIR,—I am desired by Sir Matthew Ridley, with reference to your letter to Mr Lowther requesting permission

to visit Mr Oscar Wilde, to inform you that if Mr Wilde is due for a visit and desires to see you an order would be sent If, however, you wish for an exceptional visit, it would be advisable for you to write here, stating the nature of the 'Matters of most urgent importance which you say you wish to communicate to him

I do not think Harris had any "matters of most urgent importance to discuss with Oscar, at least to judge from his report of the conversation he had with him, and so I cannot imagine on what grounds his order was issued. For a wonder this conversation is mainly rather long answers from Oscar to questions from Harris, Oscar quotes Dante and Henri de Regnier and it represents Oscar in an absolutely false hight He says that Oscar begged him not to repeat anything he said (I presume not even to Ruggles-Brise or to the Home Secretary), that Oscar was beside himself with dread. Harris remarks, I ought to have visited him sooner, that 'I felt guilty. It was the kind of interview that the merest cut preporter would have had no difficulty whatever in concocting, but it does not show us Harris in the light of a special plenipotentiary from the Home Office.

He winds up his account with another substitution of himself for me. He relates that Oscar had told him that the particular warder in attendance had been very good to him and so, says Harris, while Oscar was being escorted back to his cell, he took 'out a banknote and put it under the blotting paper that had been provided for the special commissioner A 'banknote in those days meant a five pound note. The warder returns and as they are going downstairs together, the warder tells Harris what a fine fellow Wilde is, but curiously enough describes his nature just as Harris describes it, and just as Harris and Shaw want posterity to consider it weak, soft and woman like. Harris is delighted with the warder's faulty

209

psycho-analysis of the character of which Sir Frank Benson has given us a different account and tells the warder that he has left something, as a small token of his regard and esteem, under the blotting-paper for him The warder is in great alarm and in "a hurried, fear-struck voice" tells him he can accept nothing and that Harris must return and resume immediate possession of his "fiver" This Harris does, but all the same he does not let that "good, honest man, full of the milk of human kindness," go unrewarded, for he hands him down to posterity arm-in-arm with Sir Ruggles-Brise, and in Shakespearian language as the "salt of the English world-better are not to be found on earth " However, I am afraid that he borrowed this incident from one that occurred to me as I was leaving Wandsworth after my special visit to Wilde The incident is described on page 192 of my The Real Oscar Wilde My offer was, however, only a modest half-crown I felt I could not do less after the warder had described my friend to me as "the finest gentleman we have ever had inside these walls" He also refused it in "a fear-struck voice," but explained as we got round the corner of the building "You never know here who may be looking at yer" I glanced back round the corner and noticed watching our departure, a bespectacled, whiskery little man glaring down from a point-of-vantage window As soon as we had gotten out of his range of observation, the financial transaction was completed to our mutual satisfaction I think Bosie must have laughed at Harris's story of the warder and the five-pound note, for he wrote me once that it had been his experience at Holloway that a sovereign would make matters all right with any warder And here we have a warder refusing a whole fiver coyly nestling in a bed of pink blotting paper 1

As stated, I consider it useless to deal here with the absurd conversations which Harris describes as having passed between him and Sir Evelyn on his return as special commissioner from Reading Gaol and again a week later They are just as probable as if he had described Sir Ruggles-Brise receiving him at the Home Office, standing on his head and gently waying a pair of flowered carpet slippers to and fro The Chairman has meanwhile made his own inquiries and is sorry to have to report that Oscar's is rather a bad case in the way of conduct, for instance, he was often late in the morning 'III Late, ye gods! When? Where? How? Sir Ruggles-Brise had probably drafted the Home Secretary's warning to the persons of high standing in the Church and learned professions' that it would be quite useless to submit any petition on Wilde's behalf, but jumps at' Harris's brilliant suggestion that he should get some distinguished literary men such as Meredith and Hardy to sign a fresh petition and tells Harris that a 'dozen literary men would do the trick, or if Harris could rope in Meredith's signature to such a petition, even less than a dozen would surely bring home the bacon

Harris forgets that already twice had petitions from literary men on Oscar's behalf failed utterly. There was the one got up in Paris in 1895 by the American poet Stuart Merrill, which received little or no support, and there was the petition engineered by Ross at a time when Harris was hob-nobling with Arriger in Jo burg, when a big effort was made to get Wilde released at the end of a year's imprisonment. Certainly Sir Evelyn, who had had to deal with these petitions, would never have said what Harris imputes to him. But Harris seems to have had some wish to slate. Meredith and represents him as callous to Wilde's sufferings and deaf to Harris's pleadings. This is untrue and unjust. If Harris really ever tried to get up a useless petition, months after the Home Secretary's warning, and did really approach Meredith on the subject, Meredith's refusal to sign—I don't beheve Harris ever asked

him—would be prompted by self-depreciation. He once described himself to me in a letter as "an unsuccessful novelist living in a poor cottage". But that he deplored Wilde's appalling downfall in my presence is shown in the following passage from my *The Real Oscar Wilde* (p. 262), which no doubt is what suggested Harris's attack.

I met him (Meredith) for the first time, just after Wilde's conviction, and in company with Henry James had a long drive with him He was monologuing most of the time and mainly about Wilde He seemed sorry for his fate, but expressed as far as I remember no particular sympathy with him He had found the words "carnal insanity" to explain Wilde's aberration, and seemed to like the expression, for he frequently repeated it

He was a disappointed old man and quite mistaken when he thought his name would carry no weight. He may, for all I know, have been one of the distinguished persons whom the Home Secretary had snubbed in advance, and very probably was

Harris, however, reaches his top-note of impudence in connection with his visit to Reading Gaol as Home Office Plenipotentiary, where he states on page 606 of the Appendix that it was his report that "got Lt-Col Isaacson relieved" from the post of Governor of Reading Gaol At the time of his alleged visit to Wilde in June, 1896, Lt-Col H B Isaacson, marked for promotion, had already been nominated to the governorship of Lewes Prison, of which he took possession on July 1st, 1896 The salary at Reading only ranged from £310 to £350 (by yearly increment), while at Lewes the salary rose from £360 to £450 per annum Isaacson had gone as far in the prison-governor-career as it was possible to do at Reading and so, being considered a good public servant, was automatically moved to a post with better pay From Lewes six years later, by which time (with a yearly increment of £15

a year) he could go no farther at Lewes, he was promoted again to Brixton Prison where the salary starts at £500 and rises to £600, when he was again promoted and received the red ribbon of the Prison Service by being appointed Governor of Strangeways Gaol in Manchester, where in 1905 he was drawing as such £700. He died at the very top of this forbidding tree in 1915. I did not like the look of him when I once saw him nosing round the gallows-shed in the courtyard of Reading Gaol and indignantly refused Ross's suggestion that I should go up to him and ask about Oscar, but he was considered by the authorities a competent and trustworthy official and this was shown, in spite of Harris's falsehood, by the eminent success of his grim and repellent career.

There is no record of Harris's visiting Wilde again until April 10th of the following year, but of course he may have done so, though I never heard about it. The visit on April 10th is, however, of special interest—if it ever took place at all—because the whole of the conversation reported is probably invention and because he makes a deliberate statement that on that occasion Oscar told him about De Profundis and indeed offered to sell him the manuscript

With regard to De Profundis, though I say it who should not, I do really think that my description of the work as a whole which I gave in my book The Real Ottaer Wilde (p 161) is the most apt. Here I wrote I don't believe that if the whole manuscript, as it stood, had been published, it would have gained a tithe of the universal appreciation which it now enjoys. Its beauties would have been swamped in the unloveliness of the peevish recriminations it contains, which are of no interest to anybody. It occurred to me that the original De Profundis might be said to resemble a work written in collaboration by Socrates and his good lady. Ross very wisely has given us the Socratean parts alone,

leaving the Xantippe contributions for a remote posterity to deal with

Lord Alfred Douglas possesses a keen sense of humour, but he could hardly be expected, after the first shock of disillusionment and indignation at Oscar's reproaches in this letter, to see in it anything amusing or in the least degree palliating this seeming act of treachery He has told me with his own lips how overwhelmed he was at this totally unexpected blow from the friend whom he had always cherished If he had been less wounded by the blow, if he had been able to look at the matter from a calmer standpoint, he might have seen the pathetic comicality of poor Wilde's recriminations He might perhaps have remembered how Charles Dickens, whose vast and charitable sympathy with our poor humanity could detect a condoning risibility in almost every clash between men, had more than a half-century previously burlesqued poor Oscar's outcries against Bosie's fancied ingratitude and his enumeration of his disbursements on his behalf

In the novel *Nicholas Nickleby*, one of the characters, a Mr Kenwigs, has just received the news that a Mr Lilly-vick has just done something which destroys the hopes Kenwigs had based on Lillyvick's obligations to him He is most indignant

"The attention," said Mr Kenwigs, looking around with a plaintive air—"the attention that I've shown to that man! The hysters he has ate, and the pints of ale he has drunk in this house——"

If for Kenwigs one puts Oscar Wilde, for oysters, ortolans and for pints of ale, bottles of champagne, one has almost the selfsame text as the complaint in *De Profundis* against Alfred Douglas *vice* Lillyvick

And better still One of Oscar's grievances was specifically connected with a pair of sleeve-links, which, it appears, cost £30 Kenwigs had a similar grievance to formulate

'The presents that have been made to him,' said Mr Kenwigs, reverting to his calamity, the pipes, the snuff boxes a pair of goloshes that cost six and-six——'

Substitute here eigarettes for pipes and the sleevelinks ' for the goloshes' and there you have the whole thing carrentured and made laughable, with any sting taken out of it, fifty or sixty years previously But a little reflection on the part of Bosie admitting that his affection for, and consequently sympathetic comprehension of his fallen friend, which we have neither reason nor right to doubt, were genuine and sincere, might have afforded him the ready and obvious explanation why Aantippean, not to say Kenwigsian, invective and inculpation disfigure the first part of this lofty Epistola When, after the arrival of Major Nelson as Governor of Reading Gaol, and when C 2 2 was accorded somewhat humaner treatment-the credit for which Frank Harris has the singular effronters to claim for himself-poor Wilde was in a mental condition which gave those who saw him at the time (I can declare this, as I was one of those referred to) every reason for fearing that long before the six months which his sentence still had to run had elapsed, he would be hopelessly insane. The authorities did not care to face such an eventuality, all the less because even in high places it was felt that Wilde's sentence had been far too severe hanging judge, Sir Henry Hawkins himself, had criticized Justice Wills's seventy and had stated that twelve months imprisonment would have been a fully adequate retribution-and to avoid the disgrace of having tortured to death or madness a genius, who was later to be described as one of the most brilliantly gifted men that England ever produced' -and various modifications of the prison régimebranded as iniquitous in the House of Commons by the Home Secretary, Sir Matthew White Ridley himself-were authorized for the benefit of C33 But when Oscar Wilde, at

215

last furnished with writing materials, sat down to the trestletable which had been rigged up for him in the 3rd cell of the 3rd gallery of the C section of Reading Gaol, he was still smarting from the Isaacson rods The very writing of the first few pages of the famous manuscript shows this, and in its way is as eloquent as is the well-known signature of Guido Fawkes after another species of torturers had had their will of him in 1605 He would be in a condition not far removed from that "chattering monkey rage" which has been attributed to him He would be filled with bitterness, bubbling with grievance and really irresponsible for what he was writing And all the more would he be prompted to inveigh against the person he was addressing, because he knew that no recriminations against the prison régime from which he had so suffered would be allowed to pass by the Governor to whom every day his manuscript was carried for inspection by a warder Under severe mental or physical torture we poor mortals revert to pure animality and turn with tooth and claw, talon and hoof, on anyone who comes near us, even when we know that he is trying to be good, to assuage Surely Bosie, moving in the exalted social circles where gout is endemic also he must at times have endeavoured to help and relieve some wounded and suffering pet-dog, a cat, even a horse, suave and benign amongst created things! Tout comprendre c'est tout pardonner

Little by little, slowly but surely, under the influence of the more humane treatment which he now received, the poor prisoner recovered his senses and began to take a kindlier view of occurrences the remembrance of which till then in his morbid condition had so exasperated him. He began to come back to his real nature, to his native kindliness, comprehension and tolerance. His very manuscript shows the gradually increasing serenity of his mind, the appearement of his tortured nerves. Day by day this serenity, this appearement produced

their beneficent effect. The man awoke from despair to hope. A glimmer of dawn began to show itself on the horizon and in the blackest of nights came the promise of day. The joy of life progressively flickered within him into a flame which, hour by hour, grew brighter and more comforting. The mere pleasure of writing, of artistic creation, helped greatly towards this transformation. As he wrote to Robert Ross on April 1st, 1897, his greatest reason for gratitude was that Major Nelson had given him permission to write as much and as often as he pleased. He said that this permission to express himself had given him new life, for that it is by expression alone that the artist lives, and he definitely states here that thanks to being allowed to write he his largely purged his soul of much of the bitterness which had accumulated in his heart.

The two delightful letters to Ross of April 1st and April 6th show even better than the latter parts (the Socratean contubution) of De Profundis how entirely he had recovered his real, his fine personality, that particular charm and grace for which I can find in no language a corrector and more precise definition than in the German word, Leutseligkeit, which German writers usually reserve for describing the kindly bearing of high persons towards their admitted inferiors is often used in speaking of a prince or monarch or high overlord-ein leutseliger Herr-in his bearings towards and conversation with people who are not his equals. The word conveys not the faintest suggestion of condescension. For this reason it is not translatable into French by mansultude, nor into English by urbanity The carriage of the urbane Louis XIV was often full of mansuetude, but from it condescension was never wanting Oscar Wilde was 'ein leutseliger Herr, ' sans plus

This letter to Ross dated from Reading Gaol, April 1st, is of special interest because it definitely and finally establishes

the right of Robert Baldwin Ross, which after Wilde's death was fiercely contested, to act as Oscar Wilde's literary executor "I want you," he writes, "to be my literary executor in case of my death, and to have complete control of my plays, books and papers" With regard to the manuscript itself of the letter which was afterwards called *De Profundis*, he is equally definite "Well," he adds, "if you are my literary executor, you must be in possession of the only document that gives any explanation of my extraordinary behaviour" Ross was therefore most clearly entitled to the possession and disposal of the "Epistola, in Carcere et Vinculis," but I am not at all sure in my mind that Douglas, as he claims, is not entitled to the actual manuscript of the letter The paper on which it was written, as it is a letter that was addressed to him, is by law his property As this manuscript must be worth a huge sum—I have heard it valued at over 100,000 dollars—one can understand that he should wish to have it Of course at the time it was written neither Wilde nor Ross had any idea whatever of the ulterior value of the letter as an autograph manuscript, but that makes it only the more obvious that it was the original manuscript that was to have been sent to Douglas I know that were I sitting as a juryman in Douglas v The British Museum in a claim by Bosie for the actual manuscript of De Profundis, I should have no hesitation whatever in casting my vote for the plaintiff On the other hand, as Bosie has frequently declared that had this manuscript come into his hands after it had been copied, he, not having any inkling of its ultimate value, would most probably have pitched it into the fire it seems just as well that Ross did not carry out Wilde's original intention The manuscript will therefore remain in the archives of the British Museum till 1960, and since the whole of it has now been published and there will then be no curiosity as to the parts hitherto suppressed, probably undisturbed except by graphologists What

### WHERE "FAKIR" BOLTS

its eventual determination may be, who shall say? Haply to furnish some understudy to Macaulay's New Zealander with papillotes for his smiling gin Well may she smile with one hundred grand curl papers!

#### CHAPTER XV

## FRANK HARRIS AND DE PROFUNDIS

The two letters from Oscar Wilde to Ross which are referred to in the preceding chapter, the letters dated April 1st and 6th, 1897, respectively afford the clearest documentary evidence that where Harris in the Life and Confessions (Vol II, pp 356 to 362) reports the interview he had with Oscar Wilde in April, 1897, in which Wilde told him he was writing the book that was afterwards called De Profundis, and begged him to publish it in the Saturday Review, he is lying with even more than his usual impudence

In this conversation, Frank Harris tells us, Wilde told him that he was going to write the history of his past, that it is the book of pity and of love—"a terrible book"—that he is writing now

In a note on this page 360 Harris gives the title of this book *De Profundis* 

He goes on to say that Oscar said he would like him to publish it in the Saturday, that he would like it to appear there

Harris says that he will be delighted to publish it and to pay Wilde much more than what he was paying Bernard Shaw

Wilde then says he knows that it will be all right about the "copy-money" (as Dr Johnson used to call it) and promises to send Harris the book as soon as he has finished it

Every word of this conversation is a lie

The letter to Ross dated April 1st begins by telling him the De Profundis manuscript is being sent him from the gaol under another cover Consequently it had been completed before

April 1st As a matter of fact, the manuscript had not been forwarded but was lying packed up for the post in Major Nelson's office. Wilde had sent off one letter and was not entitled to send another. The April 6th letter to Ross was doubtless smuggled out of the prison by the friendly Martin. The precious manuscript was handed back to C 3 3 on the day he left Reading Gaol five weeks later and then came into Ross's hands.

In this letter of April 6th, Wilde tells Ross that he is expecting Frank Harris's visit on the following Saturday, that is to say on April 10th, 1897

Accordingly at the time of Harris's visit the De Prefundis manuscript had been completely finished and revised

There is no possible doubt as to the date on which this visit took place Harris tells us it was months after Major Nelson's appointment as Governor and that he found Wilde in wonderful health and spirits, better than I had ever seen him

The whole conversation which he reports as having taken place on April 10th is as much a fabrication as the lies about De Profundis The part where he says that Wilde, in praising his dead mother, brought up the wretched story about the Dublin scandal in 1864, is another eruel lie Wilde would sooner have had his tongue torn from its roots than have referred to this story Harris invents this passage to justify himself for his abominable eruelty towards his dead friend in the hideous and treacherous first chapter of his book Under no conceivable circumstances would Wilde have discussed his mother and father during an interview under the degrading eircumstances and in the degrading surroundings of a prison interview in the presence of a warder. I am better qualified to consider Harris's report of Wilde's remarks about Lady Wilde and his little sister, Isola, as concoctions because he borrows from my books the phrases he puts into Wilde's mouth He does worse He makes Wilde quote as his mother's dying words the remark she made when she had been informed on May 25th, 1895, that Oscar had been convicted and sent to prison "May prison help him". These words were told me at the time and had years later been reported by me. They had never reached Wilde's ears, because I had never repeated them to him. Harris not only "lifted" them from page 77 of my Unhappy Friendship but in a note commenting on the remark he attributes to Oscar, gives the gist of my comparison between the harshness practised in England towards prisoners and the greater humaneness that obtains in France, where even a condemned murderer would be allowed to visit his mother on her death-bed

Harris's impudence in his fabrications is further exhibited in this concocted conversation by the fact that he gives, as spoken to him on that occasion, the passage in Wilde's letter to Ross of April 6th in which he gives a list of books which he would like his friends to get for him against his release "I have a horror myself," he writes, "of going out into the world without a single book of my own" He then gives Ross a list of "titles" beginning with Flaubert and ending with Goethe This Harris copies word for word in the exact sequence of the names, but with his usual contempt for his dupes, his readers, makes Oscar add to this list the Song of Solomon, Job and "of course" the Gospels It never occurred to him that Wilde would certainly not ask for a Bible, the one book that is found in every prisoner's cell in England

Wilde had asked Ross to see that these books should be waiting for him on his release. Harris makes out Wilde asked him for them to be sent to him to the prison where he had only five weeks longer to remain. Harris of course showed himself the generous benefactor and friend and promises to get him the library in question. Here was an opportunity not to be missed for Harris once more to posture as Wilde's benefactor. As a matter of fact such books as reached Oscar in Reading.

Gaol, which he was allowed to receive on condition they were left behind on his release, were provided by Robert Ross. The very books in my cell, 'writes Oscar to Bosie, 'are paid for by Robbie out of his pocket-money'

In the Appendix to the 1918 edition of his book (p 577), under the heading My Coldness Towards Wilde in 1897, Harris reverts to this imaginary conversation in Reading Gaol of April 10th, 1897, repeating and expanding his fabrications Here he tells us that on that occasion Wilde told him he was writing the book afterwards known as De Profundis and wanted to know if he, Harris, would care to publish it in the Saturday Review, that he answered that he would be delighted to do so and would pay him for it 'not only at the rate I paid Bernard Shaw, but also give him a share of the profits from the extra sales of his weekly which might result from this publication This is a different offer from the one he reports in the book itself, but let that pass. He adds the bare-faced lie. He promised to send me the book De Profundis as soon as it was written He goes on to say that just before Wilde's release, he was called on by Mr More Adey who came to offer him the manuscript of De Profundis, and is described as bargaining for a sum down for its sale. This appendix note is so obviously a misrepresentation of what occurred that it is not worth while to analyse it It seems to have been written by Harris first to establish the monstrous suggestion that Wilde asked him to buy his letter to Bosie for publication in a London weekly, and secondly to enable him to introduce a filthy innuendo against Wilde in the form of a play on the word arrière in the Gallicism une arrière pensée When this note was written and published Ross was dead and so could not expose the lies about De Profundis, while More Adey, having been for some time past under restraint in a mental home, was equally incapable of making any protest against Harris's version of their interview For I think that there was a meeting between

Harris and More Adey and that the object of Adey's business call was to offer to sell Harris some of Wilde's prison writings but not the letter to Bosie, of which nobody knew anything except Major Nelson, Robert Ross and Mrs Marshall's typewriting employee—not even Lord Alfred Douglas himself till eight years later For directly after Wilde had finished his Epistola towards the end of March, 1897, he at once set to work on other compositions What these were nobody knew except Major Nelson-essays possibly, or perhaps fairy-tales, or maybe a prose version of what was afterwards The Ballad of Reading Gaol That Wilde was continuously employed in literary labour during the last six weeks of his imprisonment that is to say after De Profundis had been finished and was packed up for the post but not allowed to be forwarded-was told me by Mr Thomas Martin, the warder who during these six weeks was in charge of C 3 3 May I quote the following extract from my book Twenty Years in Paris which was published in 1905

Some of my correspondents have expressed the doubt that *De Profundis* was written in prison at all. I presume this doubt arises from the supposition that Oscar Wilde would have had no time nor opportunity to write. To an ordinary prisoner, indeed, such an opportunity would have been wanting. But after his illness, as we know, he was allowed to occupy himself in his cell with study and writing. A warder told me that Mr. Wilde was always writing towards the end of his time.

"Whilst in prison he wrote and wrote, and what he wrote about I never knew, for I always neglected to ask him I know that he always had a big book in his cell—for all the world like a grocer's ledger—and in it he kept writing and writing The Chief Warder took it to the Governor's office every morning, and after the Governor had glanced over it, the prisoner's book was taken back to the cell again"

It must have been in this book that *De Profundis* was originally written. The gods have no other ironies than these, and never another book might serve the artist for the composition of his supremest work of art than a grocer's ledger

If the conversation of April 10th ever took place at all in Reading Gaol and Wilde did ask Harris if he would publish what he was writing, he must obviously have referred to what was being written in that grocer's ledger, being under the erroneous impression that he would be allowed to take this manuscript with him when he left the prison, and equally obvious is it that it was the compositions in this grocery ledger that More Adey was detailed to go and offer to Harris at the Saturday Review office At that time and until the moment of his leaving gaol, Wilde would imagine he would be left in possession of his work But if he was allowed by prison regulations to take away with him the manuscript of De Profundis because that was a letter addressed to a third party, these same regulations debarred him from the ownership and disposal of any other fruits of his labour These remained as much the property of the Crown as the handfuls of oakum which C 3 2 on one side of him and C 3 4 on the other side had been tearing with bleeding nails from tarry chunks of rope His time, his labour, the materials he had used were the property of the Crown and might not be diverted

So poor More Adey had really nothing to sell, nor Harns anything to buy on the occasion when the latter made his kindly reference to Wilde s arrière pensie

In his Autobiography, in the poignant account of his life in gaol, Lord Alfred Douglas relates how the same strict rule was applied to him at Wormwood Scrubs when on his discharge he wanted to take away with him a penny copybook, in which with the assistance of St Anthony of Padua and St Thomas Aquinas in their composition, he had written a sequence of seventeen sonnets which were afterwards published in the London Mercury and later by Martin Secker, under the title of In Excellus The penny copybook, the prison pencil, and the convicts time being the property of the Crown, Douglas was not allowed to take the copybook surcharged

225

with Government graphite away with him and though he twice petitioned the Home Office to return him this manuscript was never able to regain possession of it. Fortunately for the delight of the Sky-children he had become aware of this regulation a week before he left the Scrubs and, as he says, memorized the sonnets. To-day, July 3rd, 1936, the MS of these sonnets is still at the Home Office, and the last time I saw Douglas he was thinking of making a fresh application for it.

If the preceding pages have not fully satisfied the reader that Harris's account of his conversation with Wilde in Reading Gaol on Saturday, April 10th, is pure invention he may be reminded that not a single soul, except Robert Ross, and not excepting Lord Alfred, knew anything about the book that Wilde had been writing in prison, which was in the form of a letter to "Bosie," until 1905, when Dr Max Meyerfeld published in Germany the selected extracts to which the name of De Profundis was given, that Harris never mentioned to his friend, Alfred Douglas, that Wilde had told him he had been able to write in prison a "book of pity and of love" and that accordingly Douglas was unaware that Wilde "had left behind him an unpublished manuscript of any sort' until he had been round to Ryder Street to question Robert Ross, that the statement that Wilde asked him, Harris, to publish a document which would instantaneously have ruined any periodical in the way of legal costs and damages in a civil suit and most probably would have landed author and editor in the dock for criminal libel, really shows on the part of Harris a too impudent contempt for the credulity of the public, that it is equally impossible to believe that in the subsequent conversation with More Adey, nothing of the character of the work offered transpired so that he, Harris, wishes us to believe that he never asked a single question as to its nature but restricted himself alone to chaffering about the mode of payment, all this ought to dispel any doubt as to the inauthenticity of the interview

Then comes the fact that after Wilde's release a few weeks later Harris, who till then had been on the friendliest terms with him, developed that 'coldness towards Oscar in 1897,' which he explains and tries to justify in his note on pages 577 and 578 of the Appendix in the second volume of his Life and Confessions, and spoke about his whilom friend in such a way that in a letter dated from Naples, October 19th, 1897, Oscar writes to Leonard Smithers à propos of The Ballad of Reading Gaol 'Frank Harris has been so disagrecable towards me and about me, that I don t think that any negotiations with him are possible That he was in no peevish humour, indeed in one of his genial moods, when he wrote this is shown by what he goes on to say, namely that he would recommend that the ballad should be offered to Reynolds's Newspaper-"which is bought by the criminal classes to which I now belong -so that I should be read by my equals a novel experience for me" Sheer badinage!

I think this shows that not only was there an interview between Wilde and Harris on April 10th, afterwards so falsely reported by Harris, but that something occurred then and there which annoyed Harris and aroused his malice. He may have wanted Wilde to write something for the Saturday Review, possibly his prison experiences, or to grant him some such 'interview on the same, for which the American reporter on his release offered Wilde £1,000, to be rebuffed with the remark that he, Wilde, could not understand how anybody could make such an offer to a gentleman," and that Wilde may have refused, possibly in somewhat similar reprobation

In this same note to the appendix Harris adds to the imaginary conversation which he reports in the text of the book the statement that on the same occasion he proposed to Wilde to take him on a driving tour through France after his release and says that Wilde jumped at the idea, said nothing would please him better

This is obviously untrue because within a month

of his leaving gaol—the exact date of the letter is June 13th, 1897—Wilde wrote "I am told also that you are hurt because I did not go on the driving-tour with you You should understand that in telling you it was impossible for me to do so, I was thinking as much of you as of myself" He goes on to give Harris his reasons for refusing the offer, his total lack of a reserve of nervous energy, one effect of which was that he is "utterly exhausted" after a meeting with a friend, or after writing a letter of more than a few lines, or even after reading any book with a direct appeal to his intellect. He says that had he gone on the driving-tour with Harris "where we would have been of necessity in immediate contact with each other from dawn to sunset," he would have been forced to break off the tour within a day or two by a nervous collapse

This is probably only in other words the objection that Wilde had raised when Harris mooted his proposal during the interview in Reading Gaol and it is probably because Wilde did not "jump at the idea" nor say that "nothing would please him better" that Harris left the prison in a temper and started abusing him to all and sundry, greatly distressing Wilde thereby

This delightful letter of June 13th—one of the best things in Harris's book—was the second that Wilde wrote after his release to Frank Harris and seems to have been prompted by the facts that Harris had not acknowledged the receipt of the first and that meanwhile Wilde had heard that Harris was speaking badly of him. Harris appends a note to Wilde's complaint that he had not even acknowledged the receipt of the first letter, saying that it was merely an acknowledgement of a cheque and some clothes which Harris asserts he sent him. He adds that he saw nothing in this note to answer and that Wilde had made no reference to the proposed driving-tour. Five pages farther on in the appendix, i.e. on page 583, he gives the text of a note from Wilde which he describes as the one

that Wilde wrote him from Dieppe directly after his release, which he had not thought worth answering especially as there was nothing in it about the driving tour. My opinion is that this note is a forgery and a fabrication and existed only in Harriss mind and one wonders at the man's cynical impudence in printing it. When he concocted it as a proof of his generosity towards Wilde he had forgotten the note he had appended to the letter from Wilde of June 13, for the third paragraph of this first communication represents Wilde as writing 'About our tour—later on let us think about it'

The letter is obviously fabricated He represents Wilde as thanking him for the lovely clothes and the generous chaque Can anyone who had the most casual acquaintance with Wilde imagine him writing those words? And who, after reading Harris's book, will believe that he ever sent him any clothes and will not be more than sceptical about the generous cheque? That Harris sent Wilde money on his release is less easy to disproye than many of the imaginary largesses which throughout the two volumes he describes himself as showering on him, but why should Harris send a generous cheque to Wilde when, as he was well aware, his friend left prison with £800 to his credit at the bank, and who, knowing Wilde, would believe that he would accept a money gift under such circumstances or write to acknowledge it in the words attributed to his pen? The allegation as to the lovely clothes' is disproved not only by the absurdity of the fabrication, but also by Harris's contra dictions in the book and its appendix on the subject

How obviously, can be ascertained from a book which appeared after my manuscript had left my hands I refer to Mr Hugh Kingsmill s most interesting Frank Harris, published by Jonathan Cape in the late spring of 1932, a finely written study of the man with whom Mr Kingsmill had for years been acquainted and for whom he also, though painfully aware of Harriss many shortcomings, has always entertained that

mysterious feeling of sympathy with which Frank Harris, the spell-binder, inspired all those who came into contact with him. On page 102 of this book Mr Kingsmill reveals what actually did occur between Frank Harris and Oscar Wilde at their last interview in Reading Gaol in April, 1897. It shows us that the "generous cheque" was as unsubstantial as the "lovely clothes," and that the note from Wilde which Harris had the impudence to print in the Appendix of his book is a forgery. What happened, according to Mr Kingsmill, who backs his statement with an extract from a letter from Wilde to More Adey, was this:

During the interview Harris told Wilde that he had made £20,000 by his operations in South Africa and that it was his intention to present a sum of £500 to him Mr Kingsmill adds that on hearing this offer Wilde broke down Let Mr. Kingsmill relate the sordid sequel

"'I was very deeply moved at his generous present,' he says in the account which he sent to his friend, More Adey, 'and made no attempt to conceal by feelings, which were indeed beyond my control' A day or two later, Wilde continues, Harris sent him a message expressing his regret at being unable to give him the promised cheque 'Frank Harris,' Wilde comments, 'has no feelings It is the secret of his success Just as the fact that he thinks other people have none either is the secret of the failure that lies in wait for him somewhere on the way of life'

"'I loathe the promise makers,' continues Wilde. 'I could be humble and grateful to a beggar who gave me half of the crust out of his wallet, but the rich, the ostentatious, the false who ask one to a rich banquet and then when one is hungry and in want shut the door of house and heart against one and tell one to go elsewhere—I have nothing but contempt for them The Frank Harrises of life are a dreadful type I hope to see no more of them'"

So much for the generous cheque and Wilde's alleged letter of thanks for the same

At the beginning of Chapter XIX (Vol II, p 363) Harris states that not long before Wilde's release, one of his intimate friends told him, Harris, that Wilde was destitute and begged him to provide him with some clothes. The only intimate friends who knew anything of Wilde's circumstances at the time were Robert Ross, More Adey and myself, and it was certainly none of us three who made such an appeal, mendacious and unwarranted as it was. Wilde, we knew, had an ample sum to look forward to on his discharge apart from which his wife was in a position to save her husband from such electmosynary humiliation.

By the time Harris came to write the note My Coldness Towards Oscar in 1897" (Appendix, Vol II, p 577), to which reference has been made, he had forgotten what he had written in Chapter XIX and informs his readers that a week or two after his interview with the prisoner in Reading Gaol, Wilde asked him to procure him some clothes It is quite possible that during the interview of April 10th the question of how Wilde would be provided as regards wardrobe on leaving prison may have been mooted by Harris, who was not without generous impulses, but it is quite certain that in this case Wilde would have informed him that his clothes for his re-entry into the world had already been arranged for by Robert Ross Only a week or two before this interview took place he had told "Bosie' in the letter which was afterwards called De Profundis that Ross was to be the provider 'The very books in my cell,' he writes (p 108 of the abridged edition), are paid for by --- out of his pocket money, from the same source are to come clothes for me when released t

This is the passage as edited by Ross, who put a dash in the place of "Robbie" At the time Harris concocted his Life and Confessions, he only had the abridged De Profundis, and saw

here an opportunity to substitute himself for Ross One of the slogans of Harris's battle with life was always. "Ôte-toi de là que je m'y mette" (You, get out of there, so that I may have your place) and here he saw an opportunity for self-glorification by substituting himself for Ross, in the matter of the clothes, just as he substituted himself for me in his account of how he tried to get Wilde out of the country before his last trial

After Ross's death, when the Appendix was added to the 1918 edition of his book, he prints what he calls "The Unpublished Portion of De Profundis," in which he includes the whole passage, from the published De Profundis which speaks of Wilde's gratitude towards the few friends who had been kind to him in prison and mentions that Robbie had provided him with books and was going to provide him with clothes To the word "Robbie" Harris puts an asterisk which indicates a note at the bottom of this page (p 573), in which he explains that it was he and not Robbie, who supplied the clothes, as proved he says by the letter of thanks from Wilde (the "lovely clothes and generous cheque" letter) which he prints on page 583

His statement, by the way, that a week or two after his visit on April 10th, he received a letter from Wilde begging for the clothes is obviously untrue. Wilde was only allowed to send out one-letter every three months and though it is clear that more than one additional letter was smuggled out of the prison on his behalf—for instance the letter to Ross of April 6th following on the authorized quarterly letter of April 1st—it is also clear that Wilde would not have had recourse to this extremely risky method of communication to ask Harris for something which he did not require, as it had been provided for by another

It is equally perfectly certain that had Harris provided the clothes, Ross, who had already suppressed his own name in

Wildes reference to them in *De Profundis*, would not have allowed edition after edition of this book to appear without a note on page 108 to give the credit of the largesse to Harris, the real donor

Ross at that time was as modest and self effacing as Harris was the reverse Throughout De Profundis all reference to himself is so edited as to make it impossible to identify the person of whom Wilde is writing I have been often congratulated by correspondents on having written Wilde every twelve weeks during his imprisonment that little budget of literary news which he speaks of with such appreciation and more than once it is I who am supposed to be the friend who attended his appearance at the Bankruptcy Court for the sole purpose of raising my hat to him as, handcuffed and an object of public contumely, he passed through the Jeering crowd in the 'long, dreary corridor, about whom he writes so eloquently in praise on pages 25 and 26 of his Epistola It has been useless for me to point out that the Bankruptcy Court is the very last place in London that I should be likely to attend of my own free will

Harris s story about having sent clothes to Wilde to wear on his discharge can only be believed by those who believe a story quia absurdum. This story reminds me always of one written by a much abler romancer than himself, the Story of the Foolish King and the Rogue Tailors, by a certain Hans Andersen, and I like to think of myself as the shrewd, little girl who detected and proclaimed the imposture. I used to think as a proof that Bernard Shaw never read the book which he praised so highly that surely when he came to Chapter XIX and read how Harris by a cash payment had induced a fashionable West End tailor to make these clothes, these lovely clothes?" for Wilde, he must surely have exclaimed. This will never do, but when I had read Frank Harris s. Life of Shaw and Shaw sown additions to that book, and had thus learned of George's

long unfamiliarity with the sartorial gent, it seemed to me that he might possibly have been another of the dupes whose eyes were opened by the shrewd, little girl Surely even a man who knew nothing about tailors or tailoring would not have swallowed the fable that a fashionable West-End tailor had agreed to make and actually did make and deliver one or more suits of "lovely clothes" without having had any opportunity of taking fresh measurements, for a client who having been kept on the shortest rations and under physique-wracking conditions in an English gaol for two years was known by Harris's de visu, and by public report, to have lost nearly three stone in weight, who entering prison bulky and obese was about to issue forth from it the very different kind of physical man that Nature had always intended him to be No wonder the tailor refused to make clothes under these conditions not a tailor in Petticoat Lane who would have attempted the job, and a fashionable West-End man such as Wilde had been accustomed to employ .! Harris, again on self-glorification bent, suggests that the tailor objected to working for Wilde because Wilde was a disgraced person but that "the tradesman soul" readily yielded to the offer of a cash payment!

Can anyone imagine Wilde agreeing to wear "lovely clothes" made in this way? Can anyone imagine what he would have looked like arrayed in garments made for a man weighing from thirty to forty pounds more than himself? Would anyone believe that a writer of Harris's standing could have the effrontery to tell such a story and to dupe not only the phantom crowd whom the Rogue Tailors bemused, but a very shrewd, big man like George Bernard Shaw?

The unblushing mendacity of Harris in his note on his coldness to Wilde in 1897 is further exhibited by his comment on Wilde's beautiful letter to him from Dieppe, dated June 13th, 1897, where he says that on receipt of it he replied at once in the friendliest way and said he would be delighted if he

could do anything further for him This was in June In October of that same year—it will be remembered—Wilde wrote to Smithers to complain of Harris's conduct towards and about him

In the concluding paragraph of this note (p 583) he says that in the next letter of Wilde's which he kept, Wilde shame-lessly begs from him He represents him as asking for 'even £5'

### CHAPTER XVI

# HARRIS'S FALSETTO TOP-NOTE

OSCAR WILDE was certainly not dressed in any "lovely clothes" when I first saw him after his release from gaol, at Dieppe in June, 1897 Indeed he looked untidy The only reference he made to Frank Harris during the three days I spent with him in Berneval was to say that the proposed drivingtour might have been pleasant, but that he felt physically unfit to accept Harris's invitation Now, although I am convinced that Harris's secret purpose was to get at first-hand and in enormous details materials for some future publication on Wilde's prison experiences and his comments thereupon, for the merest abridgement of which the famous "American reporter" had been prepared to pay Wilde £1,000 at the prison-gates, I say it is a thousand pities that Wilde did not accept this offer have saved him from the disorder and recklessness of his life at Berneval, the dissipation almost to the last penny of his resources and, best of all, from being wooed back into that horrible brotherhood on which he had hoped and striven to turn his back for ever An incident occurred there, which at the time impressed me not at all, but which under the blinding light that has since been shed on the character and morale of the other actor in this episode, leaves me no room for doubt that it was at Berneval that a deliberate attempt was made to drag Wilde back into Malebolge This remembrance and a fact that has recently transpired, have entirely modified my former views on Wilde's anxious flight to Naples in October of that year, while the publication of his letters from Berneval to

Bosic prove that the love that drew him there was most emphatically not the love that dare not speak its name but pure and deep affection

The fact that has recently transpired issues from a letter written to Wilde's latest biographer, M. Léon Lemonnier, by the Berneval village schoolmaster, M. Detoisien, who at M. Lemonnier's solicitation, made very careful inquiries and informs his correspondent, amongst other things, that the reason of Wilde's hurried departure from Berneval was because he had heard that he was about to receive notification of an order of expulsion. This is backed up by the fact that the Sub-Prefect at Dieppe had, to my knowledge, warned the protert that any irregularity of conduct on his part would lead to his immediate expulsion from French territory par ordre administratif. M. Détoisien proceeds. Warned in time he went to a farmer, M. Marcel Bary, and got him to drive him to Dieppe railway station, where he took the train for I don't know where

Wilde's irregularities of conduct' would of course be nothing more than friendly and doubtless innocent advances when his utter loneliness urged him to seek human contacts, but these would be malevolently commented upon in the village and as malevolently reported to the Sub-Prefecture No doubt, however, the re-initiation may have tinged and tainted Wilde's perfectly innocent friendliness in such a way as to prompt malevolence, and it is therefore the 'friend' to whom I have referred above as the other actor in the incident in question who was responsible for the collapse of Wilde's good resolutions and the utter failure of his attempt to begin a new life full of resignation and high endeavour at Berneval It also explains why, when he was obliged to get out of France as quickly as possible, he took refuge abroad It is pretty obvious that the warning was issued for no other purpose than to get rid of an undesirable person whose funds were rapidly running out, as

quickly as possible, and that very probably an ordre administratif would never have been issued, for in January of the next year Wilde was back in Paris

Harris, disappointed in getting neither prison manuscripts nor oral prison reminiscences from Oscar Wilde, developed that "coldness" towards him which he describes in his appendix (and if ever an operation for appendicitis were expedient and necessary it is here) and relies for informing his readers about Wilde's four-months' life there and the awful fight between the powers of evil and the out-manned, out-manœuvred and out-generalled angels of the light that then and there took place over an immortal soul, on the little book Oscar Wilde: In Memoriam reprinted from two articles by André Gide, whence Harris plagiarized the Wilde anecdotes which Henry D Davray so clumsily camouflaged in the French version

Harris tells us that Gide had called on Wilde at Berneval almost directly after his arrival there This would therefore be at the end of May, or say the first few days in June, 1897, as Gide tells us he found Wilde living in the two best rooms in the Hôtel de la Plage When I visited Wilde early in July, he had already left the hotel and was installed in the Villa Bourgeat (now known as "Les Ormeaux") But Gide reports Wilde as telling him of how he had entertained the village school children to a grande fête, un grand dîner in celebration of Queen Victoria's Jubilee Now here Gide is evidently confused, for the Jubilee festivities in Dieppe took place on the day of the Jubilee, June 22nd, 1897, and Wilde's two school-treats were given, the first on June 27th and the second a week or so later M Détoisien gives the menu "strawberries and cream, biscuits, jam, tea, and says that Wilde himself waited on the children He is careful specially to set on record that only boys were invited on either occasion and that it was to boys alone that before his departure presents of musical instruments were made by him. It is significant that that

should be remembered after thirty-five years! It is clear therefore that Gide's visit took place not 'almost as soon as Wilde had arrived in Berneval but at least six weeks later. However, his account furnished Harris with plenty of good "copy", anecdoes to be cited as having been told in exactly the same words, years previously, by Wilde to him, Harris, and notably Wilde s famous remark to Gide that he had put only his talent into his books but his genius into his life. This last aphorism Harris duly quotes as having been made to him.

Harns takes the opportunity here of throwing into my garden,' as the French say, one of several stones, with which he recompenses me for having furnished him with so much gratuitous material for his compilation. He is discussing on page 411 what was Oscar's condition, mental and physical, after he was released from Reading Gaol and goes on to say that 'all who knew him really'—himself, Turner, Adey, Douglas and Ross—all concurred in pronouncing him in splendid health. My own name is carefully omitted. Yet when he was concocting his faithful chronicle in his secluded laboratory in Onteora Park in the Catskills in 1915, he has my Unhappy Friendiship amongst his ingredients and on pages 232, 233 and 234 there could have found a careful study of Wilde's condition both mental and physical after his release.

Neither Douglas nor he, Harris, saw anything of him at the time and neither Ross, nor More Adey, nor Turner gave any record of his condition

After our separation at Berneval, my contacts with Wilde were rare and with long intervening periods. I had thought him unwise in going to live in Naples with Bosie and had said so. If I had known of the way he was scared away from France by the threat of an ordre administratif, but especially if I had known how, though he never once revealed it, he had kept his friendship for Lord Alfred Douglas warm in his bosom, and more particularly still, if I had known of the beautiful

and pathetic letter of the 7th of September (1897), in which Wilde writes to Douglas that he feels that his only chance of ever again creating beautiful works of art is to be back with him, that it was not so formerly but that at present it is different and that it is in Bosie's power to re-create in him the energy and that feeling of joyous power on which art depends, if, I say, I had known all this, I should not have even commented in the mild way I did about the flight to Naples and so should have saved myself the cruel letter of reproach which Oscar wrote me from Posilippo and his subsequent partial estrangement from me My accounts of his life after Berneval would then have been written here also not from report and documentation, but like those covering the preceding fourteen years of our friendship from direct and close observation literary alchemist, then, at Onteora Park would not have been thrown on the sole resources of his malicious imagination for the concluding hundred and thirty pages of his "faithful chronicle" Not that he would have been without documentary evidence if it had suited his purpose to avail himselfof it, had his desire been really to tell the truth For instance, the reports of the Ransome ats Douglas trial would have enlightened him on the real cause of the separation of the two friends at Naples, nor could he honestly have helped to pillory Douglas for having abandoned Wilde penniless there, which is the exact opposite of the truth. When Douglas was forced to leave him by his parents, he furnished Wilde with £200 besides paying the rent of the villa in advance

Harris's malicious invention displays itself at once. He is describing a luncheon-party where Wilde is the guest of Mrs John Strange Winter and he makes Oscar remark to his hostess—as an exemplification of his genial and kindly humour—that she had been sadly overcharged and cheated when she told the guests with housewifely pride and glee that the red wine they had been drinking only cost her twelve cents the litre. Farther

on he is relating how Oscar on being introduced to Madame de Bovet, who was very far from being good looking, answered that lady's question whether he did not consider her the plainest woman in France, by a deep bow and a courteous smile with the remark that she was the ughest woman in the world! I wonder if these are two of the specimens of Wilde's talk of which Robert Ross wrote how admirably Harris in his biography had presented the spirit and manner of Oscar's conversation

Ross, I may say here, his singularly descended in my esteem, the high esteem in which I held him when I dedicated my book to him in 1902, and in my biography committed those 'gallant exaggerations about him to which he refers in the preface to De Profundit, or when I signed and contributed to the Ross Testimonial Long before his death I had struck him off my visiting list, not so much for what transpired at his foolish and abortive prosecution of Alfred Douglas, but because something he said to me in his rooms off Piccadilly on the very last occasion when I saw him had given me furiously to think." His culogy of Harris's malicious fabrication, which has only recently come beneath my eyes, tends to confirm me in what I then opined, namely that while Wilde hyed he was madly jealous of his love for Douglas and that after he was dead he was prompted in his really fine devotion by feelings perhaps not exclusively altruistic. It was perhaps for this reason that he praised Harris's faithful chronicle so highly It gave him so fine a role I wonder what he would have said about The New Preface ?

Of course he knew as well as I do the many and perfidious lies that Harris was telling. For instance, Harris, in order to represent Wilde as a hopeless spendthinft, whom it was most difficult to help, frequently repeats that he, Ross, was making Oscar a regular allowance of £150 a year in addition to the £150 allowed him from his wife. Ross knew that this was

quite false, that until his mother's death, which occurred some time after Wilde was no more, he had no means beyond an allowance of £200 from his parent and small earnings as an occasional contributor to the Press Yet he allows the lie to pass unchallenged, cruel as it is to Wilde's memory. He would certainly have given that and more, had he had it—he gave Wilde all he had and more, but he hadn't got it and so why allow the falsehood to stand and diminish the tragedy of his friend's last years? One can't help thinking that he accepted this misstatement as a bribe from Harris to allow many other misstatements of much graver import to go also unchallenged

Harris's story, for instance, about the meeting between Jean Lorrain and Oscar Wilde at Mallarmé's house, which Harris represents as having taken place at the time of Wilde's supremacy He says that being anxious to introduce him, Harris, to Mallarmé, whom I had introduced to Wilde at a déjeuner I gave at the Café Riche years before, Oscar took him to his rooms one afternoon He adds that there was a large crowd present, that Oscar saw Jean Lorrain standing by the door and walked up to him convoying Harris and stretching out both his hands, that Jean Lorrain folded his arms and told Wilde that much to his regret he could no longer recognize him as a friend, that everybody was agog to hear what Wilde would say to such a public insult, and that to the huge delight of the large crowd Wilde said that it was very sad that at their ages men like Lorrain and himself must realize that they had no longer any amis (friends) but only amants (lovers)

Ross would know quite well that Wilde would never have made such a self-damnatory statement before such an audience, and should have pointed this out to Harris, when he had read the proofs of Harris's biography. He might have added that everybody knew that Mallarmé never received at his tiny flat in the rue de Rome till after dinner, that to write of there being a large crowd present in a small salon which at the very

242

most could not accommodate more than ten or twelve people was an absurdity, that Jean Lorrain in a notable article headed "Poussieres de Paris' and signed 'Raitif de la Bretonne,' which appeared in Le Journal, six days after Wildes death, telling his reminiscences of the dead artist and describing a lunch at his house in honour of Oscar Wilde, when Anatole France, Henry Bauer and Marcel Schwob were also present, related how Wilde, speaking divinely as usual,' told them a story about Lazarus and Christ of which he, Lorrain, had ever afterwards preserved a living and penetrating memory' (this was in 1892 or 1893—when Wilde and Harris were never in Paris together), and that at the end of this article Lorrain said And I never saw Oscar Wilde again! That is to say, after 1893

If Ross passes over an anecdote like the above in Harris's narrative—an anecdote which he must have known to be completely false—and many similar stories which he equally well knew to be falsehoods, it is because he wished to do nothing to diminish the value of a chronicle in which, behind a camouflage of admiration and friendship, Wilde is represented not only as a homosexualist but as 1 depraved votary of this aberration in its worst form. Unhappily a homosexualist himself, as transpired years after I first made his acquaintance, Ross was probably most anxious that Wilde should be definitely portrayed as one of this lamentable brotherhood. It was a sort of palliation of his own abnormality that a man of such high intellect and of such supremely lovable qualities as Wilde should be shown, by the same implacable fatality, to be tarred with the same brush, branded on the forehead with the same iron

May not the unhappy exiles on Molokai Island have found some comfort on the day when, on the breast of Father Damien, above his noble heart, appeared that pale white patch that told them that he was one of them, an outcast like them, doomed like them to an inevitable abhorrence? ested in anything else, but he was most terribly interested in being thought innocent because he knew that to be convicted of the authorship of the bordereau, although he had been acquitted by court-martial on this charge, would inevitably lead to his arrest on other charges of treason and as inevitably bring him to face the firing-squad at Vincennes haunted day and night by this terrible dread of certain requital This is the man whom Harris describes as deliberately announcing in a public café, where all the other guests would be all ears for the conversation between the party in which two men so notorious as Esterhazy and Wilde were being trumpeted at by Frank Harris, that he, Esterhazy, was really and truly the author of the bordereau-a public confession which would have led to his arrest then and there, or at least before the déjeuner was over It must be remembered that Esterhazy was under constant surveillance by the agents of Matthieu Dreyfus and his friends

Voyons, Monsieur Harris! Voyons, voyons, Monsieur Ross! Voyons, voyons, voyons, Monsieur Georges Bernard Shaw!

But—with his usual contemptuous indifference towards his reader-dupes—the reckless Harris, least faithful of chroniclers, concludes this absurd fabrication with the remark that at the time Esterhazy made this public confession, for the mere purpose of getting a smart come-back on Wilde, not a living soul suspected him even of having had any connection whatever with the bordereau!

Let us examine this statement

The lunch at Durand's is supposed to have taken place in 1898 Wilde returned to Paris from Naples, after a sojourn at Genoa and Santa Margherita at the end of December, 1897 Already in 1897 Senator Scheurer-Kestner (Vice-President of the Senate) had publicly accused Esterhazy of being the author of the bordereau He had been to see Méline, the Prime

Minister, and had denounced the Major as the real traitor The Prime Minister had evoked the chose jugee and had declared that the government had no power to reopen the debate Meanwhile Dreyfus's relations had learned what was the conclusion to which Scheurer-Kestner had come, had examined Esterhazy's letters and taken expert opinion on the writing of the letters and of the famous bordereau. The result was that on November 15th, 1897, Matthieu Dreyfus addressed a letter to the Minister of War formally accusing Esterhazy of the crime for which his brother was suffering. This letter was communicated by the Agence Havas to all the pipers and copies of it were published all over the world The Court-Martial of Esterhazy followed early in 1898 Esterhazy was triumphantly, if unjustly, acquitted and yet is represented by Frank Harris as having, at a lunch with him and Wilde at Durand a month or two later, publicly announced his guilt at a time,' says Harris, when no one even suspected him of any connection with the bordereau 11

And not one of the critics of Davray's French version seems to have detected the imposture. It is true that Davray must have known that his friend and whilom employer Harris was lying in his throat, for I note that in his version he carefully suppresses the sentence that so completely exposes the fraud, the sentence where Harris states that nobody suspected Esterhazy at the time he made this public confession. Davray, who plays a curious role in Harris's imposture, probably remembered something of the facts, and not having Harris's contempt for his public realized that to print this sentence would expose the whole fake to his French readers.

Immediately after the Esterhazy fabrication Harris reprints, probably to prove that Wilde in his conversation was almost invariably kind and generous, the well known story about Wilde's cutting remark to Sir Lewis Morris, when the latter complained to him that his books were being boycotted, that

there existed against him a "conspiracy of silence" and asked Wilde what to do about it Harris represents Wilde as having replied "A conspiracy of silence? Join it at once, my dear fellow"

Now Harris, just as well as Shaw and Ross, knew when he wrote that that Wilde never said this to the unhappy poet He was much too kindhearted to do so I never knew Wilde wilfully to hurt anybody's feelings Harris had no excuse, because in 1915 he had my The Real Oscar Wilde on his table, and on page 301 of that book he could have gotten the correct version, which was originally given by Augustine Birrell to Mr Herbert Vivian, who was interviewing him for one of his "Studies in Personality" for the Pall Mall Magazine Vivian had said to Birrell "I should not think that you often found yourself at a loss for an answer," and Birrell had replied

That reminds me of a certain poet who came to me once upon a time and complained that his works were neglected. He said there was a conspiracy of silence. Of course I felt very sorry for him, but I was really puzzled what to say. I mentioned this to a well-known wit, who exclaimed quite angrily "You did not know what to say! Do you mean to tell me that you did not know what to say?"—"No, upon my word I did not "—"Of course, you should have said 'A conspiracy of silence! My dear fellow, join it at once""

The true story—for, of course, the "well-known wit" was Oscar Wilde, whose name the chaste lips of the Secretary for Ireland could not bring themselves to pronounce—shows that Oscar was quick in repartee Harris's rendering of it shows Wilde ready to wound the feelings of an eminently well-meaning if tedious personage insufficiently popular to excite anybody's hostility. This is another instance of how Harris tries to show how merciless Oscar was in repartee. Compare the anecdote about Oscar and Mrs. John Strange Winter or the one about Madame Bovet, where Harris describes Oscar as "bowing low" when he fires the barbed shaft. Poor Oscar,

in his Roman Emperor days! as though he could bow low. In this last story Harris shows himself the plebeian that he always remained under his Park-Lane veneer. The Bovet story would have given Wilde great pain, could he have read it

The continuation of this amazing chapter contains an account of an alleged occasion on which Madame Emilienne d Alençon supped with Harris and Wilde at some unnamed boulevard café in the company of a street urchin, which is even more ridiculous and more wildly impossible than the Esterhazy fake. This story, by the way, is one of the passages to which Robert Ross refers when he writes in his eulogy of The Life and Confessions that Some of the incidents Harris describes (the gamin' scene in Paris, for example) are so vividly characteristic that I could swear they happened exactly as narrated, even though I wasn t present at the precise episodes given

This is, in brief, the story in six pages of pure Münchausen Harris in the summer of 1808 has arrived in Paris Wilde has been living in abject poverty at the Hotel de Nice in the rue des Beaux Arts on an allowance of £10 a month from his wife and occasional cheques of a very small denomination from Smithers on account of royalties from the Ballad of Reading Gaol He gets his meals chiefly at Bosie's flat in the Avenue kleber, where, according to Lord Alfred, the servants had orders to feed him even in Bosie's absence and frequently obliged him with trifling loans when he was on the rocks Harris takes Oscar to the Théâtre Français to see a new play Lavish as ever, he has paid 48 for the two tickets to the stalls The play bores Oscar, so when after the first act they have gone out into the street to smoke a cigarette, Oscar says the play is so stupid-the author evidently knowing nothing about love-that he would prefer to walk up and down outside the theatre and talk with Harris, and suggests that Harris, who was keen on getting the value of his forty iron men, can easily find somebody who would accept the tickets as a gift At

that very moment they are accosted under the colonnade by a "guttersnipe" of Paris, a lad of fifteen or sixteen, who hails Wilde by name and whom Wilde greets by his Christian name of "Jules" At Wilde's behest Harris gives the delighted guttersnipe the counterfoils of the two hundred-franc tickets, tells him what they cost and advises him to sell them The boy's "sharp face" lights up and he darts away "in a flash" from the only spot in Paris where he would be likely to find a customer for his wares Oscar is vastly pleased to have been recognized by Jules, and Harris connotes his gratification of a puerile vanity While they are walking away up the Avenue de l'Opéra Wilde tells Harris that he calls this "guttersnipe" Jules d'Alençon, because he so much resembles the famous beauty on the Paris vaudeville stage, Emilienne d'Alencon, that he has told her that Jules must be her brother. adds that he has had them both dining with him once-at the very time when he was panhandling at Douglas's "tiny flat" in the Avenue Klober and had fio a month for all other necessities of life Harris appends a note to the name of Madame d'Alençon of which all I can say is that it is fortunate that Davray was prudent enough to suppress it in his French version, as otherwise there might have been considerable doings on the part of that "fine-looking man," the lady's present husband, down on the Promenade des Anglais, Nice, and at the office of the Mercure de France when Davray was in town, doings in which a horsewhip—the man was formerly a horseman-would certainly have played a striking part Oscar goes on to suggest that they should go to Olympia, where Emilienne was appearing at the time, and "take her" out to They go, they take a box, they send Emilienne a note, she joins them after the show, they go to supper on the boulevards, and while they are discussing a soupe à l'oignon or a choucroûte garme (which is all the supper one could get in those days at any café in Paris after 9 pm ) Oscar sees Jules

passing on the boulevard, and raps at the window Jules comes in and joins the supper party Oscar points out the resemblance between the two Harris does not see it He finds Emilienne pretty, but dull and commonplace Oscar rhapsodizes over the perfect beauty of the boy, Harris finds him dirty and unwashen, Emilienne begs Harris to get her a job in London and to give her some good advance press agency matter in the Saturday Review When Emilienne sees that the two men are really only interested in the Antinous like and unwashen guttersnipe, she jumps up and says good by The boy vanishes also, and Frank and Oscar perambulate the boulevards discussing Greek love

And this is the rubbish that Ross finds "vivid and characteristic, and that no doubt Mr Bernard Shaw approved of as much as of the rest of the faithful chronicle Does Mr Shaw, who certainly knows something about the world and (as evinced by his many plays) has observed the ways of ladies who are not too difficult of access, does Mr Shaw, I say, really believe that Emilienne d Alençon-at that time at the very summit of the Parisian world of amusement -would meckly respond to a supper invitation sent in to her at the music hall where she is appearing, from a man of whom she knew little except that he had been in prison for loathsome offences in England, that he was in dire poverty and was sheltering at that moment in a tenth-rate hotel in the Latin quarter? And that she would, leaving behind her the scores of elegant dandies who were thronging the Olympia greenroom in hopes of her company, and even if her particular friend were not in attendance, trot out obediently to join Harris and Wilde at a café where a ragged and dirty lad was afterwards fetched in from the street to share in the entertainment?

And did not Mr Shaw, the novelist, note Harris s abuse of the long arm of coincidence how Antinous, parted from at the Théâtre Français, appears outside the boulevard café so that Wilde sees him and can summon him inside, so that the comparison may be made between him and the lady? Is it not too ridiculous for words? Especially if one remembers who and what Emilienne d'Alençon was, and how unlikely it was that she would exhibit herself with two such men as Wilde and Harris and a boulevard voyou in a public café?

The whole story is not worth discussing one moment further That is to say as concerns its credibility It affords, however, a good example of Harris's feebleness of invention Boileau tells us, it is true, that there is great art in not telling one's readers all, but Harris's lapses cannot be condoned by this axiom We have seen how the phantom incubus of Portora vanishes from Harris's pages without recall, we have seen how Walter Pater is left on his knees with his lips pressed to Wilde's hand in a public park in Oxford and how no further reference whatever is made to this weird attachment in the book this story about Emilienne's "brother" (so much admired by Robert Ross) Harris forgets that Wilde being what he was, a kindly man, ein leutseliger Herr, the very first question he would have put to Jules would have been to ask him how he had got on with Harris's 200-franc counterfoils Every reader would expect at least a word or two about this Even the lordly lucre-despising Harris might have shown some interest in this matter But not a word, so indifferent is he to fact (or the semblance thereof), so eager is he to get to his homosexual filth

But infinitely more probatory that the story of Esterhazy's confession to him and Wilde at Durand's is clumsy fake, is the fact that in his contempt for his readers, he leaves them all in the dark as to what, after the commandant had left them, were the reactions of Wilde and himself to this appalling revelation. He has told his readers that at that time not a soul suspected that Esterhazy had had anything to do with the writing of the bordereau for which Dreyfus had been convicted

Esterhazy s confession had been made quite voluntarily, without any pressure from Wilde or Harris Dreyfus at that moment was suffering agonies on Devil's Island Wilde, who, we all know, was a man of kind heart, and Harris who, Shaw tells us, was always on the side of the oppressed, have therefore heard from the real culprits own lips that Dreyfus is an innocent man and is suffering from the most cruel injustice Wilde, smarting from the Reading rods, does Harris, aglow with the indignation that prompts a Bayard to doughty deeds of retribution, leap to his feet? Not a bit of it Harris desembes their several reactions. They lie back in their chairs and roar with laughter. And the matter is then allowed to drop without another word on either side. There is no hurried consultation, no two-pair brougham galloping off to the Ministry of War, or of Justice, no telephone message to the sorrowing relatives of the prisoner who has so completely been absolved Harris does not see how in this fabrication he covers both himself and Wilde with infamy Dreyfus was not brought back to France to stand his second trial at Rennes till one year later And all this while, neither Wilde nor Harris breathed a syllable of the statement which, made before the court martial at Rennes, would have washed him white as snow It might possibly have been repugnant to Wilde to produce himself as a witness for the defence, in view of his past, but what about Harris? Would he not have leaped at the opportunity that Rennes would have afforded him to take the centre of the stage there—in the eyes of the whole universe -to have proclaimed and proved the prisoner's innocence?

Will anybody, outside a kindergarten or an Institution for Idiots, give the faintest credence to this absurd story?

### CHAPTER XVII

# "A GREAT ROMANTIC PASSION"

It is in the Twenty-Second Chapter of his Life and Confessions (page 450, Vol II) that Harris reaches, in this "faithful chronicle" of the story of Oscar Wilde, the very lowest depths of turpitude So far he has only presented us with a Wilde who is weak, pampered, effeminate, mendacious, hilarious now, now lachrymose, a self-proclaiming pervert, a nauseating olla podrida, certes, but so soused in such a syrupy sauce of adulatory admiration that one can almost forgive Bernard Shaw, whose pabulum, we know, is restricted to the simple fruits of the earth, for so misjudging the dish so cunningly dressed that he could write and tell Harris that kindness could not well be carried further Shaw one can almost understand, at least as far as this Twenty-Second Chapter, but Ross, Robert Baldwin Ross? Shaw saw very little of Wilde, they were entirely antithetic and Shaw took such delight in Harris's portraiture of his contemporaries that I can sometimes fancy him adapting Burns and pacing his study to the rhyme:

Oh' wad some power the giftie gie us To see oursel'es as Harris sees us

Pour les autres, of course

But Robert Baldwin Rass?

Harris's Twenty-Second Chapter bears the same caption as the one I have headed mine with "A Great Romantic Passion"

In this chapter we are to be shown the pervert (whom we have followed so far with pity rathe, than disgust) as the bibulous,

deliberate debauchee, the cynical, heartless corrupter of innocent boyhood. Of this list vileness none of Wilde's worst enemies and traducers ever once accused him. The attempt of the prosecuting Treasury at his second trial to show the prisoner in this diabolical incarnation, was, we know, pitchforked back on to the Treasury dungheap by Mr Justice Wills, to the 'sick chagin' of Sir Frank Lockwood, who was heard to mutter. What an old fool!

Douglas has pointed out that it was never charged against Wilde at any time that he had been a corrupter of youth, that there never was an innocent one among the wretched fellows he was proved to have associated with

But Harris has a very different story to tell. It is in this nefarious chapter that he attempts to justify the title of his book as regards. Confessions.

The story is, in brief, that on a journey down to the South with Oscar Wilde in November, 1898, Harris having noticed that Wilde seemed very miserable and indeed had evidently been weeping copiously, and having rallied him on his condition-as well he might seeing how he had during the previous week showered gold upon him and the fact that he was taking him at his sole expense to have a perfect six months on the Riviera-Wilde, with tears filling his eyes, told him that his great gricf arose from the circumstance that this journey to sunshine and blue seas had interrupted a "great romantie passion and had separated him from a Florentine bronze-like youth with large and lustrous eyes and an exquisite, olivebrown complected face, who reminded one of the First Consul (presumably after Napoleon had quite recovered from the ravages of the acarus that passed on to him at Toulon), that he had met this youth in the street outside Maire's on the very night when he was waiting for Harris (who had wired him from London) to dine with him at that restaurant Wilde tells how his heart stood still and how he followed the Florentine

bronze, who was in the uniform of a pioupiou, and took him to a café to have a drink, that he then and there began his infernal process of seduction, that the lad was willing to continue the acquaintance but wanted a bicycle with nickel-plated handle-bars and ditto chains, and that when he had promised to send him one (a gift which would have swallowed up his whole income for a couple of months, and have left him to depend for his subsistence on Douglas's handouts at the Avenue Kléber), the young soldier promised to come to his hotel on the following Thursday, that he had then gone on to Maire's and joined the party, Harris and his wife, Lord Alfred Douglas, and R-, that the lad had come to his hotel (Hôtel de Nice, rue des Beaux Arts) as agreed, and that the association had lasted right up to that very evening, when the soldier had come to the Gare de Lyons and dined with him at the restaurant in that station where Harris had just found him in tears, by the table whence the Florentine bronze had fled, carrying off inside him his share of the contents of "the surprising number of empty bottles" which Harris had noticed on the table when he found Wilde weeping by his vacant chair Wilde then passionately defends abnormal love, while Harris argues for the love that is normal Harris puts words into Wilde's mouth which suggest that Wilde looked to Athens for his lightthe truth being, of course, that, perfect Hellenist as he was, nobody knew better than he the horror and disgust with which Athens regarded, and the severity with which she punished, such violations of the natural and social laws The conversation as reported by Harris covers twelve ipecacuanhic pages, till merciful sleep swathes Harris in silence They reach Napoule, he tells us, at ten next morning and the wonderful six months' holiday begins for both

When I had read through this horrible chapter and remembered that Robert Ross had let it pass into the world without one word of condemnation, though nobody knew better than

himself from Wilde's own letters to him that it was all a fabrication as vile as it was clumsy, I could not but recall a passage in Æschines' philippic where the orator asks the archontes whether they do not think that Hegesander, wishing to make his conduct—conduct which is known to everybody—appear less culpable, has caused Timarchus to commit nameless excesses with the idea that his own actions will appear moderate in companison?

In the letter which Wilde wrote to Ross about the dinner at Maire s—when the bill was terrific and when Rostand with one of his mistresses," dining at an adjoining table, listened so intently to Harris holding forth on the Greek passions of Christ and Shakespeare —he specially tells Ross that he had "made Frank Harris invite Bosic to dinner and that "Bosic was child like and sweet." This is a few minutes after the Florentine bronze has come into his life and has taken his heart by storm and the great romantic passion has been started. But not a line about it to Ross, though he would know how much it would please Ross to hear that Bosic's influence would be likely to be much less in the future

In the letter which he wrote to Ross on the Saturday of the week during which Harris liad descended upon him in such a shower of gold as could be formed of four or five hundred pounds, he tells him that Harris and he are going to La Napoulc on the morrow night. Yet in the course of their conversation in the train after they returned in their pyjamas from getting a bowl of coffee on the station platform by the early light of dawn (at the end of November!) Harris represents Wilde as asking him where they are going to, as Harris has not yet told him, and Harris adds that it was for him to choose, and suggests a choice between Agay and La Napoule and an unamed place up in the hills behind Nice. Wilde decides on La Napoule, the place which according to his letter the day before had been definitely agreed upon between him and his

257

host Harris forgets here that such vagueness as to one's destination when one takes a train may sound lordly enough but that even a dense reader will ask. "Where were their tickets for? What about their luggage, which would have to be registered at the Gare de Lyon? Or did they travel without luggage for a six-months' stay on the Riviera, near fashionable Cannes?" For if they had booked for Agay and then had decided to go on to Mandelieu-La-Napoule and pay the difference at the latter station, their registered baggage would have been put out at Agay and they would have had to send back for it And a similar imbroglio if they had decided on Mandelieu-La-Napoule and had got out at Agay, sixteen kilometres nearer to Marseilles

Further, Ross was a frequent traveller, as escort to his mother, on the trains from Paris to the Riviera; yet Harris's story of taking a train from Paris some good time after an early dinnerhour, let us say at 8 pm, that allowed them to get out in the "early, pearly morning" for a bowl of non-existent coffee on the platform at Avignon (the buffet tenant there not being allowed to compete with the trains de luxe which cater for all meals and refreshments for their passengers) seems not to have raised the least query in his complacent mind, any more than did Harris's further statement that they arrive at La Napoule by ten o'clock in the morning. Even to-day, though the service between Paris and the Riviera has been vastly improved, the 7 40 pm train de luxe only reaches Avignon at 6 1 am, when the morning might be early but certainly would not be pearly, in fact when it would be pitch dark towards the end of November It reaches Marseilles at 7 45 am, but as its passengers are plutocrats and not pikers it doesn't stop at any such tin-horn place as Agay, nor such a one-horse resort as Mandelieu, but goes whizzing on to Cannes as first stop after St Raphael So the two disputants would have to get out at Marseilles, allow their registered baggage to go ever eastward without them, and wait for a local train which would land them in Agay or La Napoule hours after Harris's schedule

Being myself, however, more concerned with my unhappy friend's honour and his reputation in the years to come than Mr Robert Baldwin Ross, when I had read this abominable chapter, to which Ross has given his approval, knowing that it was a tissue of falsehoods and suspecting that the journey had never taken place at all and that consequently Harris's report of Wilde s confession in transit was a cruel and malicious invention, I wrote to the archivist of the PLM railway company at the Gare de Lyon, and appealing to that interest in things literary which distinguishes every educated Frenchman, begged him to inform me after consulting the time-tables of his line for November, 1898, to tell me at what hour passengers would have to leave the Gare de Lyon terminus in Paris to be able to land at Agay or Napoule by ten o clock next morning. I append a translation of this gentleman's answer

PARIS, 28th November, 1929

sir,

In your letter of November 21st, you have been so good as to ask us at what o'clock a passenger would have had to leave our Gare de Lyon station in Paris, by train de luxe, in November, 1898, so as to reach La Napoule at about ten o clock on the morrow morning

I have the honour to inform you that in 1898 our winter-service included the tram de luxe, L 21, which left Pans at 540 in the evening. This train, however, which stopped at Avignon at 417 (the exact hour given is 4 hours 17 minutes and 23 seconds') and reached Marseilles at 6 h 02 m 09 sec did not stop at Napoule. It was necessary to change at St Raphael-Valescure (which was reached at 851 a m) and to leave this station at 902 a m so as to get to La Napoule at 942 a m

Please receive, Sir, the expression of my very distinguished consideration

THE INGÉNIEUR EN CHEF DE L'EXPLOITATION (Signature)

Comparing the above schedule with Harris's story, we see that Wilde must have got through his dinner with the Florentine bronze at the Gare de Lyon buffet, at which a surprising number of bottles of wine were drunk by the infatuated couple, shortly before 5 pm, because their tearful leave-taking would take up at least a quarter of an hour and because after the martial Antinous had left and Wilde had had a good cry by himself there was still time, for Harris, obviously Impransus (like Doctor Johnson on many occasions), had time on arriving at the buffet to have a consolatory cup of coffee (made let us hope with the waters of Lethe) while waiting for the train So dinner for Wilde must have begun well before four, and as he tells Ross he never finishes lunch till well after 2 pm and there were oodles of wine to drink . Harris Impransus is so taken up in the train with his dialogue on the morals of the Greeks that he forgoes all food or refreshment—at least he says nothing about it in his detailed narrative-till they get to Avignon where the November sun is rising at seventeen minutes and twenty-three seconds past four o'clock, and where he and Wilde in pyjamas alight and go to the non-existent platform stall for more coffee

This documented proof that Harris was lying and that his narrative is quite worthless is, however, only the Hindenburg line of defence drawn round the citadel in which such honour as the world (harshly judging a wretched man congenitally abnormal, whom disease later rendered insane) had left him is jealously guarded the honour that the impartial must admit in a man of passions overwhelming to the point of dementia to whom innocence and purity were sacrosanct, in a man who

never uttered one gross or unscendy word, in a writer who never penned a line that might corrupt or taint

For behind this first line of defence there is yet my Wotan line, against which the Frankish forces will hurl themselves in vain, supposing they have broken through my Hindenburg line by means of plausible explanations about Harris's lack of memory and the argument that if he disremembered the exact schedule of his journey with Wilde that night, that does not disprove the conversation, does not delete the confession in all its wicked foulness

My Wotan line of defence is the simple statement that Frank Harris never travelled to La Napoule with Wilde at all, that Wilde went there alone without Harris, whom he only saw again six or seven weeks later

This section being under the command of General Léon Lemonnier, Wilde's latest biographer, who has had access to many of Wilde's letters and notably to those he wrote to Robert Ross, let him depose to facts I have cited as unsurmountable and decisive, in proof that the story of the journey was a fabrication and consequently that the confession never was made. He has narrated from Wilde's letter to Ross how Harris had helped Wilde and how in order that he might work in more congenial surroundings he was to take him to La Napoule It had been arranged that the two friends were to leave on the Sunday night, as Wilde had written to Ross. Now, M. Léon Lemonnier.

At the very moment of departure Harris disappeared mysteriously but left Wilde the money for the journey Wilde travelled to La Napoule and put up there at the Hôtel dees Bains and waited there in vain for any news of Harris He made there the acquaintance of an Englishman named Harold Mellor and made friends with him The two men spent much of their time together. At the end of January 1899 Harris turned up at last and took Oscar to Cannes to see the Battle of Flowers. Wilde and Mellor left Napoule together at the end of February.

261

Lemonnier is nothing if not strictly chronological His dates are as exact as a railway time-table and can absolutely be relied upon. We know, accordingly, that Harris was not with Wilde at Napoule till near the end of January, 1899, and that, consequently, the narrative of Wilde's doings and sayings during the period between his first arrival at La Napoule at the beginning of December, 1898, and Harris's coming towards the end of January, 1899, is entirely worthless as biography, though as affording insight into Harris's own character and his literary methods it is not without interest to the student of biographical teratologists

However, before examining his narrative from that point of view let us revert to Wilde's monstrous "confession" in the train I imagine that when Harris was fabricating the story about the Florentine bronze in his Catskills crucible, he did not trouble to realize that his book would one day be translated into French and be read in Paris, where the whole story which he puts into poor Wilde's mouth would only excite derision for its absurd impossibility. When I quoted it to a high official at the Paris Prefecture of Police, on the occasion of my visit to M Chiappe, the Prefect, for the purposes of this book, that gentleman simply shrugged his shoulders, laughed and pointed to his empty grate, the receptacle, he had told me, of all the malicious slander that every morning of the year poured into his office But Harris had builded better than he knew, and from the notices which Davray published in the Mercure it is apparent that he did not excite either incredulity or indignation by his clumsy fabrications on matters on which any Paris urchin could have put them wise-amongst quite a number of distinguished and remarkable Parisians such as Henri de Régnier, Georges Maurevert, Maurice Beaubourg, and Maître José Théry (even Théry, a lawyer steeped in law, criminal practice and Parisian police procedure) At any rate, all the critics cited by Davray seem

like Ross, Sinclair and Shaw to have drunk down Harris's nauseous draught like so much new milk. A smart Parisian is asked by Harris to believe that in Paris in the year 1898 a foreigner, who was under sharp police supervision, arranged foreigner, who was under sharp police supervision, arranged in barracks in Paris, to whom he had presented a nickel plated bicycle, to visit him on certain fixed days every week either at his hotel (where he had only a small bedroom) or at some other rendezvous that they had agreed upon, that the soldier carried out his agreement and constantly visited his friend, who (although documents exist to prove that at that time his total income was less than 300 fr a month) loaded him with gifts and entertained him to sumptuous feasts at which great quantities of wine were drunk, at leading Paris cafes

Now anybody who knows anything about a soldier's life in a Paris barracks will know that not twenty-four hours would have elapsed after the arrival of the nickel plated bicycle at the barracks, before every single proupon in the caseme would know of the origin of this bicycle and that before another twenty-four hours had elapsed it would have come to the colonel's knowledge that a mysterious and remarkable-looking foreigner had made this handsome present to one of his young people' and was expecting the young soldier to visit him every week. The colonel, not having the delightful naivete of Messrs Henri de Regnier and Jose Théry, and being, oh! so worldly wise, would have acted promptly and this is what would have ensued

The colonel would at once have sent a note to Police Headquarters to inquire what was known there about this mysterious Englishman The young soldier would have been watched and followed Certainly, after keeping his first rendezvous with Sebastian Melmoth a report would have been sent up to the colonel The soldier would have been brought up to the salle des rapports to explain before his chief what were his relations with this foreigner. The immediate result would have been that M Sebastian Melmoth would have received from the Prefect of Police an ordre administratif requesting him to leave the territory of the French Republic within twenty-four hours

Supposing, however, that all this had escaped the notice of the colonel, and that police intervention had not been invoked by him, it would not have been very long-and the great romantic passion is said to have lasted several months-before, through another channel, police headquarters would have been informed of something "pas Catholique" that was going on at a small hotel in the Latin quarter, which certainly required further investigation Every morning, year in, year out, an inspector of police from the service des garnis, calls at every hotel and lodging-house in Paris to read the names of the people who have spent the preceding night there The inspector who was detailed to call at the Hôtel de Nice where Wilde was living would have special instructions with regard to M Sebastian Melmoth On his return to headquarters, after the young soldier's first two or three visits, a note would have been passed to the service des mœurs, certain investigations would have resulted, Wilde and the Florentine bronze would have been shadowed and the mere fact of Wilde's having forced his company on the youthful recruit would, in view of his unfortunate reputation, have been deemed all-sufficient to warrant the issue of an administrative order of expulsion

Harris is particularly cruel in his report of this conversation with Wilde in the train because he represents Wilde as telling him that on their first meeting the lad spoke to him of his mother "He spoke to me first of his mother," he quotes him as saying, "Yes, Frank, of his mother!" And Harris adds "Here Oscar could not help smiling"

The established fact that Harris was not with Wilde at La Napoule during the whole of December, 1898, and almost the

whole of January, 1899, shows that there were no conversations between them during that period, and that therefore Harris has relied on his memory strongly tinged with malice to concoct Wilde's words during this imaginary companion-However, in places where his memory is accurate and unbiassed he does record some of Wilde's dieta which were well worth preserving, such as his comments on different literary men and artists of mark in England at that time-Ricketts and Shannon, Arthur Symons, George Moore, Bernard Shaw Wells, Hardy, Hall Caine and Marie Corelli, all agreeably pungent but perhaps indiscreet. But the little that is valuable and obviously genuine, though spoken at other times, is mixed up with much fabrication, the object of which seems to be to present Wilde in an odious light, just like his constant references to Wilde's fondness for and pursuit of the Napoule fisher-lads But a perfectly wicked thing is where he represents Wilde during this imaginary period as giving a foul account of why marital relations had ceased between him and Constance, who at that moment, at sacrifice to herself and her children, was helping him to live Do Ross and Shaw profess to believe that Wilde ever spoke those words on page 486? Can they imagine the courteous, kindly, dignified Oscar making such a confidence on so sacred a subject as his love for his wife to pleberan, woman hunting Harris? Everybody knew in London that Wilde had deserted the thalamus not long after the birth of his second son I wondered at it at the time, but attributed it to mere tadium amoris on both sides Since it has been definitely established what was the complaint that first drove Wilde mad and then killed him, just as it killed poor Maupassant after having first stimulated and then destroyed his brain, I came to the logical conclusion that doubtless some affaire de canapé as Napoleon called it, having rendered him an invalid, he naturally withdrew at once from all contacts which might imperil others and so, his con

genital impulses resurgent, fell into those horrible associations which led to his downfall. The extraordinary brilliancy of his last play, as different from his previous dramatical works as was Maupassant's *Boule de Sunf* from his preceding literary productions, almost allows one to fix the approximate date of the fleeting but fatal contact which brought him to such utter ruin

In his faithful chronicle, where it would be impossible to "carry kindness further without sentimental folly," Harris is careful while relating anything that diminishes Wilde or shows him in an unfavourable light, to suppress on the contrary anything favourable He never mentions one of the several projects of literary work which the poor impotent frequently discussed, but insists more than once on a "Ballad of a Fisherboy" of which he says Wilde often spoke and of which Wilde recited three or four first verses to him, doubtless by the wireless telephone as he was hundreds of miles away from Wilde at the time It is indifferent that the wireless telephone had not yet been invented in 1899. It does not make the story any less untrue He uses these imaginary conversations to make deductions from things Wilde never said which are always unfavourable to his friend He repeats that "Oscar was a snob by nature" He is constantly showing us Oscar in tears On the boulevard as he and Wilde were walking home after that famous supper, and they had been talking of the consequences that Greek morality had had for him, he speaks of the tears that were pouring down Wilde's cheeks I knew Wilde seventeen years and was with him in the bitterest moments of his despair, but I never once saw him unmanned Harris, however, wants Wilde to go down to posterity as weak and effeminate, which is exactly what he was not

He is careful to tell us of the cruel way in which Sir George Alexander cut Oscar on the road to Cannes when he passed Oscar on his bicycle He relates how very flushed and indig-

### "A GREAT ROMANTIC PASSION"

nant Wilde was when he came home and told him of the mortifying incident. This incident took place on December 26th, 1898, when Harris was nowhere near the Hotel des Bains, but as he no doubt heard of it later-Wilde wrote off at once to complain to Robert Ross-he uses it to make one believe that he lind accompanied Wilde to La Napoule He, however, carefully omits to refer to a gratifying meeting Wilde had a few days later with somebody far greater than the pitiful Alexander, though here he might truthfully have shown us Wilde in tears Let Lemonnier, who has read Wilde's letters to Ross, tell the story Some days later," writes Lemonnier, 'Wilde had his revanche He went to see Sarah (Bernhardt) play la Tosca at Nice, and he went to see her in her dressingroom She folded him in her arms and the two mingled their tears' Not only could Harris have shown Wilde lachrymose once more, but magnanimous People who remember how shabbily the great actress had treated him over Salome, how after promising to purchase all the rights of this play for a most moderate sum at the time when he was penniless in Holloway Gaol and desperate for the means to provide for his defence, she shuffled out of her promise and would not part with a centime, might well see magninimity in Wilde's conduet in going to see her in Nice There is not a word about this striking incident in Harris s biography, and Sarah is only mentioned to stress Wilde's snobbishness because he spoke of her with admiration I really cannot get away from the conviction that Harris had a bitter grudge against Wilde at heart and in consequence always shows him in the very worst light Wilde's reluctance to allow him to batten on his brains may have been one reason, but I think that the teterrima causa of this obvious hostility-obvious in spite of the smokeclouds of admiring adulation that rise around the central figure of his book-proceeded from the constant sense of his complete inferiority in every single respect to Oscar Wilde, inferiority

in appearance, looks, stature, inferiority in birth, family and education, inferiority—oh! how great!—in knowledge and scholarship, inferiority in social success and literary achievement, and, above all, inferiority in those elusive qualities proceeding from heredity and good breeding which distinguish a gentleman from a plebeian qualities that can never be assumed but for which simplicity, modesty and goodheartedness—none of which Harris possessed in the faintest degree—are often taken as an acceptable substitute

I am sure that Harris must at all times when producing himself in public by the side of Oscar Wilde, bitterly have felt the terrible superiority of his friend He would read it in the eyes of all who saw the two together I have often wondered what may have been the thoughts of Edmond Rostand that night at Maire's, when Harris and his wife were entertaining Wilde and Bosie, and R--- was with them Wilde has described this dinner and its sumptuousness and the way in which Rostand, at an adjoining table, seemed listening so intently to Harris, who as usual monopolizing the conversation was roaring out, in a place where quiet and consideration for the comfort of others were de rigueur, foolish and blasphemous nonsense about the "Greek loves of Jesus Christ and Shakespeare" I can imagine the pitying glances that the quiet, refined, retiring, modest Rostand must have turned from the noisy Barnum to his guest, the fallen gentleman and the artist who had been hurled into the gutter I can imagine Rostand's thoughts and I am sure that in the midst of all his blatancy and of all his pride of purse, Harris must have read reprobation there also 
It seems certain also that on such occasions Wilde may faintly by a mere look or word have recalled the noisy trumpeter of theories certain to offend outside some Bohemian café of Montmartre or the Latin quarter to some sense of propriety and decorum, and that that would rankle intolerably in the man's memory

Otherwise it is difficult to understand his constant efforts to show Wilde at his worst, and greatly to exaggerate this worst, and still more difficult to understand his reason for the foul fabrications about Wilde's brother and about his wife which he actually puts into Wilde's own mouth, to leave the odium of them to him I also totally disbelieve much of what Harris quotes as having been said about Douglas If Wilde had wanted or had been able to make such charges it is at the Old Bailey that he would have made them He would not have waited till after he had served his sentence to confide in Harris-of all persons-nor would he have exposed lumself to the ridicule of that cymical roud by pleading that it was Douglas who had introduced him to the servants in the House of Shame There is no protocol requisite here, no gold laced Introducer of Ambassadors

He writes maliciously about all Wilde's friends and even attacks the kindly Mellor whom Wilde found at La Napoule, and who afterwards took Wilde to stay with him in Switzer land. He launches in his very first lines about him a foul innuendo. But he is careful to suppress that it was on his journey to Gland with Mellor that Wilde, reaching Genoa, went to lay some flowers on poor Constance's grave. Harris had something else to say about this grave and duly said it

He can have spent only a very short time at the Hotel des Bains, for on February 14th, 1899, he was writing to Davry who was then working for him as a press agent for his (Harris s) hotel at Monte Carlo, telling him that he had just returned from a trip to Corsica and would like to see him at the Palace Hotel a month later. It is noteworthy that he never asked Wilde to come to this hotel but left him at the cheap little inn at La Napoule where pension was six frances a day, but where, as he tells us, the Mocha coffee was not very satisfactory and the champagne left much to be desired

## CHAPTER XVIII

# HOW FRANK PUT OSCAR IN EASY STREET

Harris had all the less excuse for his lies about Wilde's conduct during the year 1898—the year of "the great romantic passion"—that we know that during the whole time he was concocting his narrative he had at hand André Gide's little brochure about Wilde which he copiously both quoted and plagiarized From this book he could have gathered—though, of course, he was well aware of the fact—that during the whole of that year, 1898, when he depicted Wilde as spending money lavishly on Florentine bronzes, Wilde was in one of those periods of "extreme poverty" to which Robert Ross refers in the preface to *De Profundis* 

Wilde returned to Paris after the Naples fiasco in December, 1897. Lady Queensberry's £200, which Bosie had put—"crowns for convoy"—into Oscar's purse, had all been spent and he had no means of subsistence except an allowance of £10 or £12 a month, supplemented by occasional driblets from Smithers, the publisher How small these were may be gathered from his letters to Smithers On March 15th, 1898, he writes from the Hôtel de Nice "1000 thanks for the £4" Two months later, he writes from the same address, May 20th, 1898, to beg him, if he can, to send him £4, "or even £3" so that he can get his morning breakfast at his inn, where one supposes they had refused to give him credit any longer But let us concede that Harris had not seen these pitiful letters (in which threats of suicide are not infrequent), he certainly had read in Gide, how one evening in the summer of the

great and costly passion, he was hailed by Wilde as he was walking on the boulevards with a friend, how shabby Wilde looked with a lustreless top-hat, a dingy collar and frayed cuffs to his frock-coat, and how, as he and his friend were leaving the cafe, Wilde drew him aside and 'confusedly and in a whisper' said to him "Listen, I must let you know, I am absolutely penmless (absolument sans ressources)"

In the same brochure Harris no doubt had read the letter from Wilde to Gide which the latter quotes in a letter about Wilde's situation in Pans in 1898, in which he writes

It is none the less true that on the last occasions on which I saw Wildle he seemed to me profoundly poverty stricken (mistrable) sad helpless and despairing—such indeed as he depicts himself in this letter which he wrote me very shortly before his departure for Cannes (winter of 1898–1899) However just now I am very unhappy—I have received nothing from my publisher in London who owes me money—and I am in a state of utter destitution. So you see how ignoble the tragedy of my life has become—suffering is possible—is perhaps necessary but poverty destitution—that is what is terrible what drittes a man s soul

This letter, which shows Wilde's situation right up to the time when Harris proposed the journey to La Napoule, was under the eyes of the author of *The Life and Confessions* when he was depicting his friend as noting viciously all that year in Paris

Douglas in his Autobiography states that he believes all that Frank Harris has written about the large sums of money he gave Wilde during his years of destitution in Pans. But this opinion has been greatly modified since. Douglas has now come to a most definite conclusion, which he asserts with his characteristic emphasis, that Harris in his financial transactions with Wilde reimbursed himself many times over for what he may have given him, by the shameless and heartless way in which he plundered him over the play Mr and Mrs Daventry,

which was entirely Wilde's production. He goes so far as to state his definite belief that the anger and indignation of Wilde at being thus robbed by his "friend" undoubtedly hastened Wilde's death. He has even said to me that Wilde might very easily have lived another two or three years if it had not been for the terrible distress that this victimization by Harris caused him. There is no doubt that there may be a good deal in this theory, for everybody knows that intense worry on a sick-bed is the best trump card that *la Camarde* can produce from her sleeve

I myself do not believe a word of Harris's bunco-steering yarns. He represents himself as, in one period of six days, having given Wilde over two thousand dollars as a present and in the "full summer" of 1900, he tells us (on p 515) that, Wilde having suggested he should give him £50 for the scenario of the play that afterwards developed into Mr and Mrs Daventry, he did produce this magnificent sum "after a good deal of talk" and on the further condition that Wilde should promise to write the first Act, a great sacrifice, a great act of generosity on his part seeing that, as he told Wilde on that occasion, he didn't care about writing plays, because stage-effects were so "theatrical"

Possibly to palliate the unspeakable meanness displayed in this admission, he, some pages later on (p. 530) tells us that to console Wilde, whose tears were pouring down his cheeks, for Bosie's refusal to settle £3,000 on him, he, Harris, would provide him with an income of a "hundred or so" a year, which he points out "wasn't very much" Just two half-pages farther on, however, he informs us that on his return to London, Oscar who was dying at the time pestered him by almost every post for money, to which appeals he gave a "curt" refusal, inasmuch as he had already paid him more than the £50 agreed upon for the scenario, and because he was "hard-up" himself at the time, i.e. a month or two after

he had promised to provide Oscar regularly with an income of a "hundred or so' a year

But the utter hollowness of this boasting claim of having behaved with great generosity to the unhappy poet whose genius he was trying to exploit for his own ends, is most strikingly shown by the falsehood with which he tries to camouflage his treatment of Wilde during the last twenty four hours of his tormented life Wilde is penniless in the Hotel d Alsace, besides six or seven hundred pounds of debts in Paris, he owes his kind and devoted landlord 2,268 francs The last money he has received from anywhere is the £10 which Alfred Douglas had sent after an appeal from Ross, with a letter which makes Oscar weep a little ' This was on November 12th, 1900 On November 29th, Ross having been urgently summoned to Paris by Reginald Turner, wires to Harris that Oscar is dying, hasn t twenty-four more hours to live He did actually die the next day at a little before 2 pm; and there was so little money in the place, that only two days later was Ross able to pay Dupoirier £8 on account of his bill of £90 odd But for the hurried arrival of Alfred Douglas, who shared the expenses of the funeral with Ross and Turner, the penniless poet would have been buried by the municipality in the fosse commune after a station at the morgue mortuary Harris had been informed by another wire from Ross on the 30th that his friend, Wilde, was dead and that there was no money with which to bury him Harris sent no money and did not come to the funeral The poor man was ill in bed, you see He was ill in bed on November 29th when Ross's telegram came telling him that Oscar had not twenty-four hours to live, too ill to move, or of course he would have gone to Paris at once But, although he tells us eight lines lower down that he was so hard up himself, that he could not see his way clear to wire any money to Paris on 30th, he had the previous day sent for T H Bell and, having given him cash and a cheque besides, had begged him to go at once to Paris to relieve the terrible situation at the Hôtel d'Alsace and to let him know if Oscar was as poorly as Ross made him out to be The next afternoon—note the fatality of it all—just about the time when Oscar had breathed his last in a "deep sigh"—Mrs T. H Bell comes to tell Harris that Tom hasn't started, that he is down with asthma, but would go as soon as he felt equal to the journey It apparently had not occurred to Tom to remit the cheque and cash which Harris had given him the day before to Paris where money was so sorely needed Even if he had sent it by letter-post it would have reached the Hôtel d'Alsace a few hours before Oscar died.

It is rather difficult to believe that Harris was as hard up as he tells us The cheque and the money to Tom Bell on the 29th don't look much like great financial embarrassment on the 30th But there is something else, which is that on November 30th, Harris's play, Mr. and Mrs Daventry, had been running already five weeks It had been produced at The Royalty Theatre on October 25th, 1900. It was generally supposed in London to have been written by Wilde and people were flocking to see it. It was a great financial success and indeed ran in London alone for over 117 nights By November 30th Harris's banking account had already been alimented with the royalties on over forty performances (evening and matinée), for almost every cent of which Harris knew himself indebted to Wilde's brain. And Wilde was dying, nay, Wilde was dead and Harris knew it an hour or two after Mrs Tom Bell's visit, for then Ross's second telegram arrived to tell him so To camouflage his mean and callous conduct, Harris, who was so foolish as to print Robert Ross's "Oscar's Last Days" in the Appendix to his book, thus exposing the falsity of his own account, tells us that after November 30th, "day after day passed" while he lay on his

sick-bed feeling ever so much more poorly because his thoughts about and anxiety for Oscar Wilde were so very disturbing. He tells us that after this period his doubts were at last put at rest by the receipt of a telegram from Paris informing him that Oscar Wilde was dead. I can't help wondering who sent him that telegram. It must have been somebody who had not what Jo' Pulitzer used to call 'a good nose for news,' because already on the morning of December 1st, indeed already in the late evening editions on November 30th, every newspaper throughout the civilized world had published this item and Harris might well have heard of it not long after Mrs. Bell's call. Of course it is quite possible that as he was so very poorly such distressing news would be thoughtfully withheld by his nurses and attendants from the great hearted invalid and that day after day he was kept in ignorance till some blundering fool in Paris, with more money than nose for news, sent him the fatal telegram.

One is not disputing that Harris spent a lot of money in treating Wilde on his occasional visits to Paris It was good publicity for him to figure as the great-hearted friend, reckless of public opinion, who was proud to be seen in the company of the man whom the world had ostracized It was, however, only too apparent that there was little kindness for, or consideration of, Wilde in the expensive and vulgar hospitality which he forced upon him To bring a poorly dressed man from a Latin quarter lodging house to play vis a vis to him, the boisterous Harris, and to cue his noisy and objectionable tirades in front of an audience of fashionable Parisians who had known Wilde in the days of his supremacy, was to pillory him in a cruel fashion. He seems even to have used his hospitality for the deliberate purpose of humiliating his guest

He would give him a standing invitation to lunch and dine with him at some fashionable and expensive place like Durand's every day during his stay in Paris, but here is what happened, as described by Wilde in the same letter to Ross in which he tells him he is going with Harris, at Harris's charges and as his guest, to Napoule He says Harris has been "most nice and kind," and that they lunch and dine together every day at Durand's, but that while he, Oscar, lunches at 1 pm and dines at 8 pm, Harris never comes to the first meal before 2 30 pm or to dinner before 9 15, which Oscar says is rather tedious, adding that people ought not to make a formal rule of unpunctuality He says he has splendid if solitary meals, and that when his lagging host does come on the scene he, Oscar, is expected to be brilliant in the highest degree.

It is difficult for me to understand how Wilde could have put up with such calculated discourtesy after it had been repeated once. How were the mighty fallen! Durand's was in those days a fashionable restaurant which was largely patronized by the attachés at the British and other Embassies. It was before such an audience d'élite that Harris exhibited poor lustreless Wilde feeding at his expense, lunching and dining alone, and waiting for Harris, booted, spurred and befrogged, to arrive in the cage of circumambient contempt, to put him like an old lion through his paces and to pay the bill

There was neither kindness nor generosity in these feasts that his moneyed friend, in those mournful days of his starved and lonely life, spread for the poor fellow. He was asked as, and must have felt himself the hired entertainer, the paid pique-assiette, invited—high intellectual pressure being always insisted upon—to amuse his host for the fee of a meal and a cab-fare home to his dreary bedroom

He would read pity in the eyes of the young attachés and in the manner of the waiters, who would sense that he had not one louis in his pocket, a veiled insolence

It was no doubt in the same spirit of contemptuous indifference to Wilde's feelings that Harris, after all the arrangements

had been made for the Journey to Napoule, pitched him 'the means for the Journey 'and left him to go alone, "disappearing mysteriously

Harris was not generous to friends in misfortune In a recent biography of him in a passage where his "Contemporary Portrait" of poor Ernest Dowson is referred to, we are told that this was Harris's favourite portrait and that Frank Harris knew Dowson well During the seven or eight years that Dowson and I were friends, I never once heard Harris's name mentioned by him There is not a single reference to Harris in Victor Plaris biography of the gentle poet, not a single mention of his name in the numerous letters from Ernest that Victor Plarr publishes During the whole time that Dowson was in great poverty in London in 1899-the year in which Harris was rolling in money—he never made a single inquiry after the unfortunate poet whom I found literally starving in his garret in the Euston Road He was too weak and ill to get up and go out for food and his landlord, an Italian music master, refused to let him have any other meals except his early breakfast which was usually served to him with a torrent of abuse He was then existing on 30s a week very irregularly paid him by Smithers for translating into English verse French eighteenth century books of the most objectionable nature He was with me at my cottage in Catford after I had found him miserably weak, ill and ragged in the Strand, for six weeks, dying of consumption His condition was very well known in Fleet Street and Harris must have been very well aware of it, if he ever knew Dowson at all On the morning of the poor man's miserable death-I was holding him up in bed when he died—I sent a scathing letter about how this man of genius had been allowed to pensh by his friends, without one finger being raised to help him, to the Daily Telegraph, which set all London agog and which would surely have come to Harris's ears Yet he was not one of

the many distinguished persons who attended his funeral at Lewisham Catholic Cemetery. I need hardly say that no inquiry came from Harris, nor was the famous two-horse brougham ever sent to fetch me to come and talk to him of the death of this king of lyric verse.

Someone did come, however, to inquire very closely and with me to visit poor Dowson's grave, and that was Arthur Symons, whom Harris afterwards so shamelessly plagiarized for his "Contemporary Portrait" of Dowson.

I am also well aware that during the whole time of Guy de Maupassant's last long agony in my friend Dr Blanche's madhouse there was not one single inquiry ever made by Harris about the "friend" whom he professes to have known so well, and whom he certainly never met through Taine, though he says he did He knew very well what Maupassant's condition was, for I told Wilde about it after I had had an interview with Dr Blanche and Wilde had immediately sent the news to Lady Dorothy Nevill, who would of course pass it on to Harris, who according to his own account was an intimate friend of hers

But with regard to the Dowson portrait, it seems to have done a great deal to make Americans love and admire the poor lad and to establish his fame, and to send him down to posterity in a character as lovable as the presentment of Wilde in the only detestable facet of his character is odious. Harris was undoubtedly gifted with spiritual insight and possibly his picture of Wilde the homosexualist is as fine a portrayal as it appears to have been to Robert Ross. I daresay people of that lamentable brotherhood may admire the portrait, or those who fancy that this was the sort of man he must have been I never saw Wilde the homosexualist. As Lord Alfred Douglas wrote me, he carefully concealed all that side of his life from me and when I first wrote about him I honestly did not believe that, except under the influence of drink, he could ever

have conducted himself in a way to arouse such a suspicion I never thought he was thus congenitally afflicted because I never felt in his company the curious physical manifestation of my nerves which I remember describing to Sir George Lewis at the Old Bailey during Douglas's trial for libel then told Lewis that I had never believed Wilde to be a man of that sort because I had never felt in his presence the natural warning of a feeling like goose-flesh that always made me creepy when I was near enough to a person of whom I knew nothing at the time but who I afterwards learned was an unfortunate member of the brotherhood 'That is exactly what I feel,' said the lawyer, with a shiver From the first day to the last of my contact with Wilde during seventeen years, I knew Wilde as the charming, brilliant talker, Wilde as the stimulating optimist, Wilde as the self sacrificing and devoted friend, Wilde as the genial leutseliger Herr, Wilde as the wit, Wilde as the good son, Wilde as the kind husband, the affectionate father, and I think that in any of these capacities he is more worthy of the study of posterity (outside the clinics, mental and physical) than in the sole character of a pervert whom an acquired disease had rendered still more of interest to pathologists only

The recent biography of Harris alluded to above gives certain chronological data which prove that the stories of this constant hospitality to Wilde in the early years '83 and 84 are as imaginary as much else, or that if he entertained Wilde it would perforce be at Lockharts or Peace and Plenty's or at the famous Harris shops where a speciality was made of 'hot dogs and mashed potatoes. We are told that in those days Harris had only a very small post as a reporter on the Evening News, lived in obscure lodgings and went about very shabbily dressed. As such he would be in no position to entertain the radiant Wilde of The Sphym and The Duchess of Padua. It was, in fact, not till after he had become editor

of the Fortnightly Review and had married Mrs. Clayton, that is to say in 1886, that he was ever Wilde's host. But au fond I believe that Wilde would have enjoyed his hospitality more at a hot dog and mashed potatoes emporium, where the ordinary tenets of courtesy due from a host to a guest were observed, than eating the sumptuous meals at Maire's or Durand's twelve years later, where he was left to feed alone and to wait an hour or two for the arrival of the man who had invited him, and to whose triumphal car he was yoked

It is not, however, with Frank Harris, the man or the host, that I have to deal, except in so far as his descriptions of himself in these capacities, bearing upon passages in his book, expose its worthlessness as a biography for the enlightenment of posterity. It is his book that I am studying The untruthfulness about the "confessions" has, I think, been irrefutably I have also shown how inadequate it is as a "Life" by stressing the fact that while he gives enormous space to reports of fabricated conversations between himself and Wilde, he dismisses with the briefest mentions such important periods in Wilde's career as his life at Trinity and at Oxford and the fruitful months of endeavour and creation that he spent in Paris in 1882-1883 Of much that was significant and of interest in the external facts of Wilde's life between their separation at Napoule and Oscar's death two years later he has nothing to say at all, Wilde's journey to Switzerland with Mellor, his halt at Genoa and his visit to his wife's grave, his stay with Mellor at Gland, his return to Genoa (maybe to live near where Constance lay), his return to Paris, his miserable destitution there and most important of all, his journey to Italy at the beginning of 1900 On the latter very interesting episode he does not write one single word

After describing an imaginary lunch he had with Wilde (who at that time was with Mellor in Switzerland) at the Réserve at Beaulieu (p 508) he skips all the intervening period

till the "full summer" of 1899 when he was in Paris, and when Oscar 'lunched and dined with him as usual' I have considerable doubts in my mind whether he did see Oscar at that time at all, because if he had had any conversation at all with him he would not have told us that he (Oscar) was staying at 'the mean little hotel in the rue des Beaux Arts," which makes one suppose Wilde had returned to the Hotel de Nice, nor would he have antedated by a year Oscar's complaint about the rash that had broken out all over him and which he tells us Oscar attributed to having eaten some "mussels and oysters" in Italy One pardons the inaccuracy, however, because it gives him the opportunity to quote one of the few witty sayings of Oscar's which he seems to have recalled in writing his book. Oscar has been complaining about doctors and disputing their utility, but adds 'the best of it is, they all listen to you with an air of intense interest when you are talking about yourself-which is an excellent To the whole of this period in the summer of 1899 Harris devotes just four pages, which are taken up with two conversations, the one about the doctors followed by a disparaging story of Wilde's about Mellor's hospitality, and the next where the Florentine bronze is resuscitated which gives Harris an opportunity of showing Oscar in the odious role of the satiated and therefore callous seducer, indifferent to his victim's subsequent lot and telling him (Harris) that "of course" he has replaced him by somebody else, change being the essence of passion Some filthy talk about the sting of passion, the stimulus of cruelty and the Marquis de Sade follows and the couple part, not to meet again till the late spring of 1900 The rest of the book is taken up with Harris's bargain for and acquisition of the scenario of Mr and Mrs Daventry, his alleged squabbles with Oscar over payments, and a purely exparte account of the quarrel between Wilde and Lord Alfred Douglas with long manipulated reports of what each said to

him (Harris) of the other Then comes the statement that in September he went over to Paris to settle finally with Wilde, whom he describes as "well in health"—at a time when the poor fellow was fighting the agonizing pains in his ear with constant injections of morphine and was bracing his shattered nerves to face a horrible operation, which eventually took place on October 11th He then returns to London, is busy with his play, though he rather wisely kept away from the première on October 25th and leaves Oscar without news of its triumphant success, sits back and lets the royalties pour in during the next five weeks, hears Oscar is in articulo mortis on the 29th of November and being so ill that all news has to be kept from him does not learn of his friend's death till several days after Ross's second wire had been sent to acquaint him of the fact

Wilde's journey, with the money provided by Lord Alfred, to Italy in the spring of 1900 is briefly but efficiently described by Léon Lemonnier, who has had access to the letters which Wilde wrote to Robert Ross during its course They are admirable material for a conscientious biographer. Sicily, the seminarists in the roof of Palermo Cathedral, his fine words about poverty as the road to Heaven to the very poorest among them, Rome, his magnificent bow to the King, his eagerness to take all for himself alone the Pope's benediction, delivered with an "ineffably graceful gesture" of the hand It was one long "period of enchantment" Yet he never says one word about it all to Harris when they meet in Paris, or at any rate Harris does not think it of any interest whatever Oscar narrated what must have been one of the happiest periods of his life in the same way in which he described it in his letters to Robert Ross he showed how little, though the rest of him was dying, the Lord of Language was dead.

The other items referred to would not, I think, have been left unnoticed by a chronicler who was truly faithful On

returning in March, 1899, from Gland, Wilde, feeling humiliated by his treatment there, went to Genoa and on April and was writing from the Albergo di Firenze, a low inn on the quays Later letters to Ross were dated from Santa Margherita and it was here that Ross visited him and induced him to return to Paris, whither he accompanied him put up first at the Hotel de la Neva, was turned out, and went to the Hotel Marsollier off the Avenue de l Opéra Here his destitution was such that far from noting he was again unable to pay his bill-a bill of £5-and one evening towards the end of September was turned penniless into the streets, his effects being held by the abusive landlord He went over to the rue des Beaux Arts, possibly hoping to coax the landlord of the Hotel de Nice to give him another trial, when he met Dupoirier who kept a small hotel in that same street The two men were known to each other Wilde had spent a short time at the Hotel d'Alsace previously, but Dupoirier had become suspicious about his money and had forced him to leave his house, the bill being unpaid Wilde told the kindhearted little man of his grievous plight and Dupoirier immediately bade him in God's name come back to his old room. and set off to redeem his new lodger's belongings in pawn at the Hotel Marsollier Here Wilde lived the thirteen months of life that remained to him, here at number 13 of the rue des Beaux Arts, and it was with Dupoirier alone watching his bedside that he died while Ross and Turner were for a moment out of the room

But it was not to Harris's interest to give any of these particulars His business was to show a Wilde with a fixed income of £300 a year, supplemented by his own boundless liberalities and later by those of Lord Alfred Douglas—making out that he had in addition to his income at least £2,000 to spend in the last ten months of his wretched life—a Wilde rioting in Paris not only with the Florentine bronzes of his adoration

but with troops of "roaring boulevardiers," and worse, with Parisian outcasts of the Clibburn, Allen and Atkins type the "New Preface" he wrote that he actually saw Wilde in the last year of his life more than once entertaining companies of lads of the lowest type at the Grand Café on the boulevards To get credence from anybody who knew the Paris of 1900 for such a statement would be like asking a Londoner to believe that he had seen an Archbishop entertaining a couple of Piccadilly prostitutes to tea and toast in the smoke-room of the Athenæum Club, or a New Yorker to swallow the statement that he frequently saw old John Jacob Astor shooting craps with half a dozen buck negroes at Sherry's or Rector's The Grand Café, largely frequented by leading French politicians and high Government officials, was most exclusive, and another point is that no restaurant business was ever carried on there It was the rendezvous of chess-players President Grévy was a steady customer

Harris having set out to show Wilde in the worst possible light presents an abominably false picture of the man's existence in Paris during the few months between his return from Italy and his death at the Hôtel d'Alsace I say it is not only a deliberate falsehood, but a patent absurdity And this, in my next and last chapter, I hope definitely to prove and establish

#### CHAPTER XIX

### THE ACE UP MY SLEEVE

HARRIS for an obvious purpose, Crosland for one which it is difficult to explain, have represented Wilde as, during the two last years of his life, 1800, 1900, noting in Paris, indulging evil passions in gluttony and drunkenness and have gone so far as to print and circulate the statement that, by Harris first and then by others, large sums of money were given to Wilde For the ten months of 1900, it is specified. Wilde had from various sources £2,000 'in equal moieties' in addition to a private income, which Harris by inventing an allowance of f.150 a year from Ross, fixes at £300 Total moneys therefore received and squandered by Wilde in those wretched ten months £2,300 Yet when he died, he died penniless, was owing £90 to his kind friend, the innkeeper, Dupoirier, and over £600 of what the French call dettes creardes' (clamorous debts), outside debts owing at restaurants, cafes, to waiters and cab-drivers, dentist, besides tradesmen

We have seen that in 1899 from the evidence of Gide and Wildes letter to him what was his situation that year. We have seen also that in 1899 he was turned out of three seventhrate lodging houses because he couldn't pay miserably small bills. As to the annus mirabilis when his income leaped up to £2,300 or £230 a month, let us listen to Ernest Lajeunesse (who wrote that magnificent notice of Wilde's last months, his death and funeral, which I quoted in my Twenty Years in Paris) on Wilde in Paris after his return from Italy in 1900

We should need here words rushing forth in torrents a headlong

stampede of hopes, of intonations, of smiles, a frenzied downpour of phrases, of onomatopœics, gathered in the monotony of a wretched and mummified existence, to show the poet as he dies slowly, the poet who is not resigned and yet abandons himself, who fears death day by day amongst his fellow-men, but who in the narrow room of his gloomy inn calls for it, equal to equal He has been into the country and to Italy, he longs for Spain, he wishes to return to the shores of the Mediterranean all that he can have is Paris, a Paris which has no longer more to offer him than holes into which he may creep to drink, a Paris which is deaf, a famished, spasmodic Paris, flushed here, there pale, a city without eternity and with no myth Each day brings sufferings with it for him he has no longer either a court or a true friend, he falls into the blackest neurasthenia Money troubles harass him the eight shillings a day which his family allows him are no longer supplemented by advances from publishers, he ought to work, to write the plays which by signed contracts he has undertaken to write, yet he finds it impossible to leave his bed before three o'clock in the He does not become embittered, he is simply dying out One day he takes to his bed on the pretext that he has been poisoned by eating some mussels in a restaurant When he rises from his bed again, it is like one who has made a bad recovery He is haunted with a foreboding of death which in the end will kill him. He then tells all his stories in one breath, it is the bitter yet dazzling final piece of a display of superhuman fireworks Those who, at the end of his life, heard him unravel the skein of gold and jewelled threads, the strong subtleties, the psychic and fantastic inventions with which he proposed to sew and embroider the tapestry of the plays and poems which he was going to write, those who saw him proud and indifferent, affronting extinction, and coughing or laughing out his ultimate phrasings, will keep the remembrance of a sight at once tragic and lofty, the sight of a man damned yet impassive, who refuses to perish altogether.

When Lajeunesse wrote the passage about the 10 francs a day which I have underlined, he evidently did not know of Douglas's proved gifts of money to Wilde but possibly he is referring to the period between August 16th, when Douglas sent him £15 (which but for the £10 that reached Wilde on November 10th was his last remittance), and his death His

previous liberalities—and I have stated that nobody could ask more of the young man than to give his friend a larger sum than the total of his entire income for that year—had been swallowed up in that divine journey to Italy, in the rapture of which even poor thankless Wilde must have felt a glow of gratitude to the young Mæcenas who had given him a few weeks of spiritual pleasure and surcease from gnawing anxieties. It must also be remembered that during all this period Wilde was fighting a terrible disease, which after wrecking his athletic physique and paralysing his magnificent brain, was then torturing him with unbearable pain. Towards the end it was only by constant injections of morphine with the Pravaz syringe that he could obtain any relief

'There he lay,' said the landlord, pointing to the bed in which Wilde died, "with ice on his head, and in his delirium he swore at his pain. I was constantly giving him injections of morphine—this chagreen case contains the Pravaz syringe—to ease his hideous torture."

His rent at Dupoiner's was 90 francs, the remaining 210 francs of his monthly income would not go far, even allowing for Douglas's gifts, towards doctors, nurses, morphine and all the expenses of fighting a tenacious and hellish disease. Certainly there was Harriss £50, which may have paid for the cruel and abortive operation—but as to Harris's other largesses, amounting, he tells us, to the moiety of £2,000

My conversations with Dupoiner, which were taken down in writing immediately, enable me to prove that Wilde did NOT end as an 'Unproductive drunkard and swindler,' as George Bernard Shaw describes him on Harris's sole testimony, and fully confirm what Ernest Lajeunesse wrote about his efforts to keep his engagements with the people who had advanced him moneys on plays or scenarios he had promised to write for them

Thus Dupoirier He used to work at nights—all night 287

long As a rule he would come in at one o'clock in the morning and sit down to this table, and in the morning he would show me what he had written and 'I have earned 100 francs to-night,' he would say. And he seemed pleased and proud to think that he had earned 100 francs in one night. Towards the end it became very difficult for him to write, and he used to whip himself up with cognac A litre bottle would hardly see him through the night. And he ate little and took but little exercise. He used to sleep till noon, and then breakfast, and then sleep again till five or six in the evening "

So much for the unproductive swindler and the glutton Now for the debauchee rolling home dead-drunk, according to Harris, after two or more bottles of champagne and numerous cognacs

Thus Dupoirier, pointing to the little courtyard below the window.

"And there is the table where Monsieur Melmoss used to sit and take his absinthe. But I never saw him drunk Parfois entre deux vins, ça se peut Mais saoul, ça jamais" (A bit squiffy, perhaps, at times, but drunk, never)

I will add that I myself never once saw him, during the seventeen years of our friendship, even "entre deux vins."

Eh, bien! Monsieur Georges Bernard Shaw?

But now to produce the ace that all this while I have had up my sleeve to trump Harris, to euchre Crosland

In Crosland's Oscar Wilde and Myself, Harris's Life and Confessions and his New Preface, such very definite statements were made about Wilde's immorality during his last years in Paris, the hideous publicity which he gave to his perverse associations and the filthy miasma of scandal that swathed him like a cloud of ignominy when he walked abroad in Paris, that after asking myself for years. "If there be any truth in all this, what on earth was the Paris police doing?" I determined to act

Let me repeat here from my Life of Wilde that during the whole time that he lived in Paris after his release from Reading Gaol he was under strict police supervision Henri Bauer had told me that the Minister of the Interior had informed him that Wilde was being carefully watched by the police, and that on the least provocation he would be arrested, punished (for instance, for détournement de mineurs) and, of course, expelled the territory of the Republic, and he asked me to convey this warning to Oscar Wilde 'Now," as I wrote in my Life (now, hélas! wiped out by Harris), "as he was never interfered with to the time of his death, it seems very clear that he did nothing that warranted such interference, and that calumny has discovered what the spies of the rue de Jérusalem failed to observe For instance, we have all heard the story of his being seen outside a cafe with his arm round the neck of an intoxicated sailor, himself with vine leaves in his hair This story has been very largely circulated and of course sends shudders of horror over the frames of British Puritans But the spectacle thus afforded, had it been noticed by an agent of the brigade des mœurs, would have sent him, as a worldly wise public servant, smiling along his road with some such reflection as this Passablement cutt, le chent ' ( Pretty well boozed, that fellow ) He would put this display of affection down not to some bestial lust, but to the mollifying effect of alcohol, namely maudlin sentimentality

Still the statements were so definite and in the case of Harris s book and New Preface so detailed that—strong as my conviction was that all this was misrepresentation—I decided, colte que colte, to find out at headquarters in Paris what foundation there was for these stories

And 'coûte que coûte' there certainly was in the matter For a man of seventy, whom life has dreadfully knocked about, it seemed a long, long voyage and journey from my mountain fastness in Corsica to Paris, many tiring leagues of sea and

land And then how to approach Monsieur Chiappe, the Prefect of Police, the busiest man in Europe, the real go-getter of Paris, with whom I was unacquainted and who might well not respond enthusiastically to the request that I should have to make to him that he should disturb in their busy tasks the employés of the Prefecture to allow the archives where police reports are stored to be searched for any records of the doings of a foreigner who, it appears, was being charged in his country with scabrous things—nastiness to be recalled to light. The fatigue of the voyage and journey for a man who was old and ill and tired, and the cost of it all. Yes, it was a question indeed of coûte que coûte.

And then one day while I was sitting with Philippe Bunau-Varilla, in the smoke-room of the Calvi Palace Hôtel in Calvi, calling up the hundred heavy times that had befallen us since first I interviewed the hero of the Panama Canal, over forty-six years ago in Paris after de Lesseps had introduced us, there came in upon us HE Monsieur Adolphe Landry, then as again afterwards Minister of Labour in the two last Laval Cabinets, and here I saw my introduction to his brother Corsican, M Chiappe, and the archives of the Prefecture throwing themselves wide open to an irresistible "Open Sesame" was well acquainted with M Landry and it was he who kindly on my behalf had prodded the reluctant British Government through M de Fleuriau to submit my name to HM the King, for his gracious permission to accept and wear the Cross of the Legion of Honour, which had been offered me some years previously for my book on Guy de Maupassant and services in connection with the Entente Cordiale I attacked His Excellency at once and obtained from him then and there a warm note of introduction and recommendation to the Prefect of Police Some days later found me at the Prefecture, on the morning of June 8th, 1931 I append the note that I

wrote out immediately on returning to the beautiful house of my dear friends Arthur and Hope Richardson, in the rue Notre Dame des Champs

"On Monday morning, June 8th, 1931, having made an appointment by telephone on the Saturday before, I interviewed Monsieur Chiappe, the Paris Prefect of Police, to whom I had brought a warm letter of introduction from the Minister of Labour, and by his directions the archives of the Prefecture for the years during which Oscar Wilde was living in Paris, from December, 1897, to November 30th, 1900, were carefully searched for any dossier containing police reports about him He had been under constant and strict police supervision and had there been anything whatever to report of an unfavourable nature on his habits or conduct-represented by Harris and Crosland to be so infamous-there would have been a bulky dossier about him from the services of the inspection of lodginghouses and that of the police des mœurs There was not a single report to be found, there was no dossier whatever, there was not a fiche in the fichier, or card index of the dossiers In fact, in the parlance of the Prefecture, there was about him rien au fichier (nothing in the card index), the supreme brevet of a man s massallability '

Monsteur le Préfet, however, had told me that in August, 1914, just before the Battle of the Marne, his predecessor at the Prefecture, fearing that the Germans might enter Paris and take possession of the Prefecture of Police—it was at the time when the Government offices were being moved to Bordeaux—with all the other administrative bureaux, had burned a quantity of special dossiers, concerning prominent people in French society to hide many scandals from a malicious enemy. However, in each case there remained in the cardindex (fichier) a card with the name and number on the dossier repeated upon it to show that such a dossier had existed and had been burned. No card referring to any Wilde dossier

was to be found in this fichier and this was sufficient proof that no such dossier had existed or had been burnt at the time of the great and malodorous holocaust. It was further pointed out to me that Wilde being a foreigner, an ex-convict and a penniless outcast with no social influence or connections whatever in Paris, it was highly improbable that the police authorities in 1914 would have seen any necessity for destroying any record of his misdoings and all the less so because at that time (1914) Oscar Wilde was the idol and admiration of the Germans and it would have been a great temptation to leave them clear documentary evidence (had any ever existed) to show the Germans what kind of a man they had been worshipping

Monsieur Chiappe spoke to me very nicely about Wilde with absolutely nothing in his tone or manner to show that he had conceived at the time or harboured since any unfavourable opinion whatever about the man He had made Wilde's personal acquaintance after his arrival in Paris in 1897 and had frequently met him at the Calisaya Bar on the boulevard des Italiens He had never noticed anything reprehensible in his conduct or conversation He then introduced me to his chef de cabinet and gave orders that the archives should be searched as I had requested While this search was going on below -and it was a very thorough and exhaustive one-I spent about two hours in the room adjoining the Prefect's cabinet, with the Prefect's chef de cabinet and other high police officials (One of these in private life is a most distinguished dramatist and poet ) All this while I was discussing my friend, and I will say that I did not hear one single disparaging remark about It is true that one of these gentlemen observed rather cynically to me, "Oh! so you believe in virtue, do you?" And he added: "You ought to come here one morning and go through my post with me Quite half of my letters go in there"-pointing to the fireplace But my impression was that there was nothing against Wilde in the minds of any of

the Prefecture people, and that he was regarded there with sympathy for his sufferings and compassion for his pitiable condition at the time of his death, rather than with the indignation and contempt that such conduct as Harris and others have described would have inspired—especially in such a place

Indeed, as I was taking my leave, the archivist having reported that there was 'Nothing, absolutely nothing" about Oscar Wilde in the police records, the playwright referred to above said to me as he shook hands "Wilde lived here in Paris in obscure poverty and died almost abandoned by all in a fifth-rate hotel. He had neither the means nor the physique to indulge in what you say is charged against him. We have no trace here of anything against him, neither any complaint nor adverse police report." Here there was absolute concurrence amongst the high officials who were present, and nods of agreement. "You may," he added, "deny with absolute confidence the truth in toto of the stories you say have been published about his conduct in Paris during the last years of his life." And as I was going away he further said. You say it was a man who professed to be his dearest friend who has published these stories to besmirch his memory. Drole d amil. (A funny sort of friend.)

These gentlemen evidently did not agree with George Bernard Shaw that Harns 'could not have carried his kindness towards Wilde's memory further without sentimental folly'

My endeavour throughout this book has been, while pitilessly exposing Harris's biography of Wilde as a literary imposture of the most reprehensible kind, to say as little about Harris, the man, as possible His latest biographers, Messrs Tobin and Gertz, while greatly extolling him as an artist, give a terrible picture of his character. In so doing they afford me, in one striking passage on page 198 of Frank Harris A Study in Black and White, a possible answer to the question that has so long puzzled me What, apart from the financial profits to be gained from his biography of Oscar Wilde, can have prompted Frank Harris to indite about the man he so admired and professed so deeply to love even worse "Lies and Libels" than those he wrote about the friend and admiration of his youth, Byron Smith, so pitilessly exposed by Kate Stephens, Byron Smith's faithful fiancée?

The passage in Tobin and Gertz's book is a quotation from a letter written by a man whom the authors describe as well authorized to write about Frank Harris, and who to judge from a reference in the index to their book is no other than T H Bell, who was so closely connected with Harris at the time of Wilde's death and who had afterwards grave reasons for complaining of Harris's treatment of him He writes that the worst thing about Harris was not so much that he made a practice of plundering and defrauding his friends, but that after having plundered them he invariably slandered them so as to make any subsequent recriminations on their part against him for his dishonest treatment of them appear not statements of fact but mere abuse on their side, in one word utterly to discredit them in the eyes of the public. Doubtless this explains his treatment of Alfred Douglas Doubtless it also explains why, having most certainly defrauded Wilde over the ghostwork he did for him (Harris) in connection with Mr and Mrs Daventry and the other literary work which Wilde used to grind out under the whip of alcohol on those awful nights which Dupoirier described to me, he determined to represent him in the colours which inspired George Bernard Shaw and Maître Théry, amongst others, to pass on the dead man those Judgements condemning him to eternal infamy that we have By premonitory slander he discredits in advance any attempts on the part of his friends to defend his memory even on the score of simple commercial rectitude He is content he should go down to posterity as a reckless spendthrift, mendicant and swindler, besides as oh! how much else that is had!

Yes! Xavier de Maistre was indeed right There is much to be learned in a simple Voyage Autour de Ma Chambre, just by looking round the walls of one's room

There hangs on my study wall, opposite me where I write, a calendar for the year 1932, issued by the French Post Office for distribution by the postmen to their clients when they come to wish them a Happy New Year It is chromolithographically illustrated with a picture of the Jay in Peacock's Feathers and represents the grotesque little bird gaudily arrayed strutting before three lordly peacocks. These are not looking at him. Their attitude is one of supreme indifference and lofty contempt. This calendrier consoles me every time I think of Harris the Playwright and dispenses me from any other posture in the matter.

I have given names to these three lordly birds the finest-looking one, of course, is Oscar Wilde The second I call George Bernard Shaw, and the third, who is a little in the background in the picture, but oh! how contemptuous, is another playwright whose name, I think, was William Shakespeare

And elsewhere in this same study hangs a portrait of Maximilien Robespierre and not far from him one of Jean-Baptiste Carrier and when I look at these two pictures, I cannot help remembering how on the day of Thermidor Ioth, An 2 of the One and Indivisible Republic, the Proconsul fresh from the Noyades de Nantes was seen strutting along behind the tumbril on which the disfigured and agonizing body of his

friend, patron and benefactor was being dragged to the guillotine He was "radiant" and as he walked along, and all the way to the Place de la Révolution, he was heard to shout, exciting the mob against the dying Robespierre, "Mort aux tyrans! Death to the tyrants!" It has been supposed that the base purpose of the man in this demonstration was to repudiate all responsibility for the alleged abominations of his friend The two portraits—as I seem to hear Harris's shouts of obloquy following Wilde's tumbril down the long prospect of posterity—give me furiously to think

Jean-Baptiste Carrier paid for his atrocious crimes and treachery under the knife of the guillotine on the Place de Grève Robespierre was no longer there to protect him. The last thing he heard on earth was the tune of "Ça ira" played in derision on a clarionet

When, many years after Carrier's death, they broke into the courtyard of the secluded house where he had lived and rioted in the Faubourg du Bourg-Fumé at Nantes, which after his departure had been locked up and was thought to be haunted by blood-stained phantoms, it was found to be all filled with one mass of stately arum lilies, lucent, fragrant, white, in serried ranks, a virginal, not jocund, company

Men said these were the souls of the innocent whom Carrier had done to death. Such as remembered him with a little kindness suggested these might have returned here fragrant with forgiveness. Not even his bitterest enemy, I think, may have conjured up such a picture as was inspired to Maître Théry by Harris concerning Wilde, of a booted and plumed Proconsul, gaudy with his tri-colour sash, haunting this place at nights to trample into the mud this fragrance, this whiteness,

this piety If any emblems from the other world beautify any place where Wilde sinned on earth, these could not be there for pardon, for Wilde never did a cruel or even an unkind thing to any living being in the whole of his life. His grievous faults were all against himself. Nobody had aught for which to forgive him, more sinned against than sinning. Yet Harris has prompted a clever Frenchman to depict him creeping from his grave to crush into ordure the tributes of his sorrowing friends.

James Thomas Harris—for the Frank of his name (probably on the lucus a non lucendo principle) was assumed—died full of years amidst pleasant and lovely surroundings, leaving behind him works for which Mr George Bernard Shaw predicts immortality According to Harris's American biographers, Mr Shaw thinks it probable that in ten years from now Harris's My Life and Loves will be on sale at every bookstall He has already done for the Life and Confessions of Oscar Wilde all that he could do to ensure for this book, also, immortality Eh, bien! Nous verrons!

## CHAPTER XX

# A FACER FOR SHAW

"THE evil that men do lives after them" Harris has left behind him a store of mendacious facts from which successive biographers have gleefully helped themselves and will continue to do so until the noisome emporium is razed to the ground and its contents reduced to malodorous ashes

In a recent biography, for instance, there occurs the following slanderous statement:

He wanted more and more money . . . He even resorted to dishonesty in order to come by money. He made the scenario for a play and sold the exclusive rights to four or five people.

The inventor of this cruel lie was Frank Harris—true to his tactics of defaming his victims so as to discredit any subsequent charges they might make against him. It was substantiated by George Bernard Shaw in the letter which he wrote to Harris in praise of his infamous book, and which Harris so skilfully used to advance his sales in the States

How great Shaw's mansuetude and tolerance towards Harris's publishing manœuvres has been, is shown by the fact that a special edition of his Oscar Wilde. His Life and Confessions was issued with George Bernard Shaw's name on the title-page as its sole author, so that purchasers were induced to believe that they were buying a book on Wilde by Shaw When this was pointed out by Lord Alfred Douglas to George Bernard Shaw, the latter smilingly replied "Frankie would have nothing to do with that That would be some Yankee

publisher's trick.' Unfortunately, Frankie was here not only author but publisher

G B Shaw, in his letter, not only expressed full belief in Harris's accusation against Wilde but wrote that this conduct on Wilde's part established the fact that Wilde died in Paris "an unproductive drunkard and swindler"

Shaw's present attitude on Harris's vile book in general, and on the specific falsehood about his having been swindled by Wilde over the scenario of Mr and Mrs Daventry in particular, is shown in the interview with the author of The Apple Cart from the able pen of Mr Hugh Kingsmill which I print as the next and last chapter in this book. When with gratitude and enthusiasm I accepted Kingsmill's chivalrous offer to see Shaw on the subject of his ill-advised recommendation of Harris's book, it was in the hope that Shaw would be sufficiently convinced of the way in which he had been bamboozled by Harris to withdraw this particular charge of swindling against Wilde. All the rest of his letter of recommendation left me unconcerned

Well then, in his book Harris states that as soon as Mr and Mrs Daventry was announced as forthcoming at the Royalty Theatre, "a crowd of people' claimed prior rights in the scenario of this play

This statement alone should live opened Bernard Shaw's eyes. No writer for the stage in England has had more experience of stage craft than he. Did not accordingly the questions present themselves to his mind "Did Mrs Campbell in announcing Mr and Mrs Daventry give a résume of the plot of the new play? And if she did not and the play was just announced in the same fashion as every other forthcoming play staged in London is advertised, how the dickens could Harris's crowd of people' know what it was about and so decide that it was based on a scenario which they had bought from Wilde and in which they had "valuable rights"?

Harris's phantom crowd of defrauded playwrights next dwindles down to a selection of persons, whose names are given and of whom not one was a playwright or could have bought a scenario from Wilde with any intention of using it for the writing of a play

The first person Harris names as having been swindled is Mrs Brown-Potter, who, Harris says, declared that she had "bought a play from Oscar some years before for £100 (1)" Can Shaw see the Oscar of The Importance of Being Earnest selling a play for that sum?

I may add, as regards this lady, that some years after Oscar's death she and Murray Carson were staying at Guilsborough Hall with Irene Osgood (the then Mrs Sherard) and myself and that though poor Wilde's tragic story and career as a playwright were discussed frequently and at great length between us, not at any moment was anything said by Mrs Brown-Potter to indicate that she had ever had any reason to complain of Wilde's treatment of her.

The other names given by Harris as having been defrauded by Oscar Wilde by buying the scenario which Harris had paid £50 for are Horace Sedger, Beerbohm Tree, George Alexander, Olga Nethersole, Leonard Smithers and Ada Rehan

I am not at present in a position to state what were the facts about Oscar's transactions, if any, with Miss Nethersole or with Horace Sedger, but as to Tree and Alexander, is not Harris's falsehood so transparent that it ought not to have deceived anyone? Tree was a very kind-hearted man and had—as he told me at the supper he gave to Lord Edward Cecil at the Garrick Club—a real affection and the greatest admiration for Wilde and it is quite possible he may have advanced £50, not as the purchase-price of a complete scenario—he was rather better informed as to the monetary value of a scenario by the author of A Woman of No Importance than either Frank Harris or G B Shaw—but as handsel on some

possible if problematic future work by the disgruntled playwright. He would fully realize the importance of giving earnest-money in this case and his good heart would do the rest. Harris might have added to his list of persons so defrauded the names of Hare and Wyndham because both these gentlemen gave. Wilde money as a small advance on work which some day he might be able to do for them, Sir Chirles coming specially over to Berneval to visit Wilde and comfort him. As to Alexander, who is going to believe that after cutting Wilde in the eruel and heartless way at Napoule which Wilde has described in one of his letters to Robert Ross, he would have approached him in the matter of 1/50 scenario, or that Wilde would have responded if he had? That Oscar Wilde would have approached George Alexander, after having been publicly snubbed by him, is a proposition that does not demand one moment's investigation.

We now come to Smithers, whose case according to Harris was the worst and most heartless of Oscar's fraudulent man-Harris tells us that while Mr and Mrs Daventry was in rehearsal, Smithers 'himself in dire need" came to him with a pathetic story how when Oscar was in 'absolute need" in Italy he, Smithers, had induced a man named Roberts to advance him £100 on a play to be written by Wilde During his tour in Italy in 1900, Oscar was at no time in want He had the now capitalist Bosie behind him and was travelling at the charges of H Mellor Nor was Smithers in 1900 in any dire need He was going over weekly to Dieppe and taking £60 in gold with him to be spent on the "mud-honey" for which his soul eraved I happen to know, for it was during one of his swine-fugues to France, that I rescued from starvation in the streets his back, Ernest Dowson, and heard all about Smithers from him while he was slowly dying in my cottage at Catford

That, however, Smithers had no claim at all on a pre-

empted Mr and Mrs Daventry is shown lower down by Harris himself where he writes "the characters he" (Oscar) "sketched for Smithers and Roberts were altogether different from mine and were not known to me when I wrote my story."

Who, then, is going to believe Harris when he states that "about the twentieth representation of his play I paid Smithers"? Paid him what, and what for?

I don't and for the very good reason that meeting a draggled and limping Smithers down and out in Fleet Street some years later I took him into the bar at The Cock and made him drink his fill, and that while doing so he entertained me with a very different story as to the way he had been treated by Frank Harris, whom he described as "a blackmailing swindler"

I don't even believe that Smithers ever made any such claim on Harris How could he, when Oscar had definitely, in a letter to him, disposed of any liability either towards him or towards Roberts? This letter, as well as a very amusing one about the Dreyfus case, is published in La Tragédie Finale, by the same Davray, by the way, who issued Harris's lies about Smithers and his claim to the French public. In this letter he expressly tells Smithers that he had never been his dupe and had seen through his manœuvres to get a play from him for next to nothing, and he added that the part that Smithers had played in the whole business was not a very pretty one

We now come to the last of the people whom Harris names as having been swindled by Wilde—Miss Ada Rehan I commend the following passage to the very special attention of Mr George Bernard Shaw To my thinking this one passage alone justifies me in saying that at the bottom of Harris's abominable lies about "his friend" Oscar Wilde spite, envy, malice and all uncharitableness were the prompting motives

The profound baseness of Frank Harris in this matter demonstrates itself by the fact that in order to adduce Robert Ross's testimony, he actually interpolated a forged passage into Ross's pathetic Oscar's Last Days. In this forgery Ross is made to repeat the names of all the persons whom Wilde had 'swindled over the seenario. This list of names includes also that of Ada Rehan, but gives Louis Nethersole not Miss Nethersole as one of Oscar's victims.

When this forgery was committed, namely in 1918, Robert Ross was dead and could not protest. Hid he been living, I think that even Ross would not have allowed this use to be made of his name, this lying fabrication to pass unchallenged No one better than Ross knew what had been the dealings between Oscar and Ada Rehan when by hazard they met in Paris in 1899, how Oscar had behaved on that occasion and with what sentiments towards the unhappy man the 'swindled' Ada Rehan had parted from him for the last time

I am so fortunate as to possess a probatory document about this meeting. It is an extract from a book of considerable interest entitled Time War, published in 1932 by Messrs Hamish Hamilton Ltd, a book of memoirs written by Mr W Graham Robertson, RBA, ROI, the distinguished artist and man of letters and to have permission both from him and from Mr James Hamilton, MA, LLB, managing director of Hamish Hamilton Ltd, to publish this extract I commend it to the attention of Mr Bernard Shaw and ask him, when he has perused it, whether my publication of Mr Graham Robertson's narrative can honestly be described (to quote his words in a letter to Mr Hugh Kingsmill) as a mere attempt to 'throw a handful of soot on Frank Harris, or whether it is not fully justified by my wish to defend the memory of my friend against the charge of having swindled Ada Rehan I am writing these lines, by the way, just fiftythree years after I first met Wilde in Paris (March, 1883) and can frankly say that not once in all those fifty-three years did I ever detect him in an untruth or find him guilty of a

mean and unworthy action—never once For me, he is still alive

And now let us hear what Mr. Graham Robertson and Miss Ada Rehan have to say about the meeting with him in Paris I quote from page 230 of Time Was.

he (Augustin Daly) died quite suddenly in Paris, where he was staying on a holiday with Mrs Daly and Miss Rehan

It was a terrible experience for the nervous, sensitive Ada Rehan, and when I next saw her I remember her telling me how much she had been helped and sustained by Oscar Wilde, whom she and her party had come across accidentally a few evenings before. She and the Dalys had been dining at a restaurant and, looking up, she had seen Oscar Wilde sitting with some man at a neighbouring table and looking at her tentatively. It was after the tragic shipwreck of his life, he was a wanderer and an exile, unrecognized by nearly all his former friends—and there he suddenly was, looking at her kindly and earnestly

"I didn't know what to do," she told me "Mr. and Mrs Daly were with me and I could not tell how they would feel about it You never do know with men when they are going to feel very proper and when they are not!"

I agreed that the male sense of propriety was liable to fluctuations "And was Mr Daly feeling proper?" I inquired

"No," said poor Ada, "he wasn't It was such a relief if I could not have bowed I should have cried So Mr Wilde came over and sat with us and talked so charmingly—it was just like old times—we had a lovely evening And then, only a few days later, Mr Daly died Arrangements had to be made and Mrs Daly was not equal to taking them in hand. I seemed to be all alone and so confused and frightened And then Oscar Wilde came to me and was more good and helpful than I can tell you—just like a very kind brother I shall always think of him as he was to me through those few dreadful days"

This was the last direct news of Oscar Wilde that I ever received .

According to Harris, Wilde, whom he met in the summer

<sup>1</sup> Augustin Daly died on June 7th, 1899

of 1899, was living at the time of this rencontre with Ada Rehan in 'the mean little hotel' in the rue des Beaux-Arts, where though he had "come out in great red blotches" all over his arms and back, he was busy carrying on a filthy intrigue with the successor to the young soldier about whom he had "confessed" to Harris when Harris accompanied him on the journey to Napoule, which never took place Wilde, is a matter of fact, at the time he met Ada Rehan wis living at the Hotel Marsollier

It seems to me quite possible that "the other man with whom the Dalys and Miss Rehan saw him dining at Durand's was none other than Harris and that the latter not being invited to join the party at Daly's table, conceived an augmentation of jealous spite against his friend and revenged himself by giving a perfectly false account of his meeting with the American actress. In any case he knew of their having met and thought that this fact would lend some artistic verisimilitude to his story of what passed between them

Frankly, could one hope, by throwing at him all the soot of all the chimney-sweeps in Christendom, to deepen the nigritude of such a man's character?

Harns's motive in accusing Wilde of dishonesty in the matter of the scenario proceeds from the time-honoured artifice of the pickpocket, who yells 'Stop Thiefl and accuses the man he has robbed

Harris basely defrauded Wilde over Mr and Mrs Daventry
On this subject I commend to the reader's special attention
the following extract from a letter which Lord Alfred Douglas
wrote me some years ago

About Mr and Mrs Daventry [he writes] I have always believed that Oscar's rage and indignation against Harris about this killed him Harris completely ruined the play and never paid Oscar his share of the royalties

He then refers me to the story published in The Bookman of

New York (April-May, 1930) by T. H Bell, who was at one time secretary to Harris and who exposed Harris's conduct in extenso Unfortunately Bell's story of the Harris-Wilde association over Mr. and Mrs Daventry is completely discredited by the fact that he adds to it an entirely imaginary account of how Harris, hearing Wilde was in extremis, sent him, Bell, over to Paris with a large sum of money for Oscar's relief, and of how he arrived to find Oscar dead on his bed, with Sisters of Mercy watching the corpse in a candle-lighted Bell's story is pure fake Harris never sent him to Paris, Harris gave him no money to take to Oscar Long before any train started for Paris on December 1st, Harris knew that Oscar was dead and beyond the need of charity Bell not only never went to the Hôtel d'Alsace but has no idea what the house looked like He speaks of entering the hotel by its side-entrance and of stepping from the staircase directly into Oscar's death chamber The Hôtel d'Alsace has no sideentrance To get to the room where in His Mercy God released my friend, one has to pass through an anteroom. Two men were in attendance in the house of death Ross and Reginald Turner Bell says he gave the money he had brought over for Oscar to one of the two, which he cannot remember. He certainly did not give it to Ross, or the latter would have mentioned the fact in his account of what happened at the hotel between the death and the funeral a word of any visit from Bell. As to receiving any money through him from Harris he is also silent. We all know that the scarcity of cash in the Hôtel d'Alsace was very great and was not relieved until Douglas's arrival post-haste from Scotland. Ross was so hopelessly embarrassed, even after his large demands on Douglas's purse, for funeral charges and all the other expenses that he was only able to allot to the devoted landlord, Dupoirier, the sum of 200 francs—less than the eleventh part of what was owing on Oscar's last bill alone

As to Reginald Turner, here is what he wrote me from Florence on April 24th of this year

I has en to answer your question Though I couldn't swear in a Court of Law that Harris didn't send his secretary to the Hotel after Oscar's death I could swear that he never got there I was there all that day and among the various callers there was no one from Harris and no money from anyone Had any been handed to Ross he would certainly have told me

I will add that I had it from my late friend Louis Fabulet, that his friend and collaborator Viscount Robert d Humieres was convinced that Harris's treatment of the "swindler Wilde over Mr and Mri Daventry so maddened the unhappy playwright that he laid violent hands on himself and that his death was suicide. I hardly agree I know Oscar's view on suicide. The greatest compliment a man can pay to society. Of course the means were close to liand. His agony was so great from the tumour in his ear that morphia injections were constantly being administered to numb the pun and the bottle of the divine anæsthetic was within his reach. The Pravaz syringe with which Duporier used to administer up to eighty injections a day to Wilde, as well as his gold mounted denture were on sale at the hotel, two years after his death

Altogether, was it nice of Bernard Shaw, on the strength of Harris's statement to write of Oscar is dying a 'swindler and so to encourage a biographer to make about him the abominable assertion which I have quoted from page 154 of his compilation? It is true that this writer having on pages 106, 107 and 108 of the said compilation depicted Wilde on the sole evidence of André Gide as a monster and a criminal of the vilest type may well have considered it an anticlimax and venial, just to add a mild charge of dishonesty against the dead man

We have seen in a preceding chapter how George Bernard Shaw prophesies that Harris's pornographic My Life and

Loves may very probably, in a few years from now, be found on sale at every bookstall

Meanwhile, with reference to the particular book of Harris's with which I have been dealing in these pages, all appeals to Mr Shaw to modify his pronouncement on its excellence, veracity, and the authenticity of the charges made in it on almost every page against his brother craftsman, fellow-countryman, and fellow-townsman have proved in vain. Pharaoh has hardened his heart—in what manner and to what extent is faithfully related in the following chapter by Mr Hugh Kingsmill who, for this purpose, has taken the pen from a weary hand

Ouf!!

#### CHAPTER XXI

#### PHARAOH HARDENS HIS HEART

### AN INTERVIEW WITH MR BERNARD SHAW

In the summer of this year (1932) Mr Robert Sherard wrote to me about my study of Frank Harris, which had appeared in the spring. In the course of this study, I had shown again and again, while analysing Harris s Autobiography, that he was completely unable to discriminate between what had happened and what he preferred to think had happened, whether in his own life or the lives of others. By the time I reached Harris's biography of Wilde, charity or exhaustion had weakened my detective zeal, and, while taking the same general view of Harris's attitude to Wilde as Mr Sherard takes in the preceeding pages, I accepted as true the legend of the yacht at Erith and two or three other important episodes in the book

Mr Sherard, in his letter, put me right on these points, and, after some further correspondence, sent me his destructive and absolutely convincing analysis of Harriss Wilde I wrote to Mr Sherard, who was in Corsica, saying that Mr Shaw ought to see this analysis, which would convince him of his mistake in endorsing Harriss Wilde as the book by which Wilde must stand or fall Mr Sherard consented to my approaching Bernard Shaw on the matter I therefore wrote to Mr Shaw, giving the most important points in Mr Sherard's indictment of Harris Mr Shaw replied that no doubt the famous lugger (the yacht at Erith) existed only in Frank's imagination, but that he was certain it would have materialized had Oscar con-

sented He went on to say, in defence of Harris's pictures of Wilde's unrestrained debauchery in his last years, that it was clearly not plain living and high thinking which prevented Wilde writing in Paris, and he ended by suggesting that to convict Harris of a hundred lies would not discredit his powers of portraiture, though it might rake up all the mud into which Oscar finally sank, and once more obscure and befoul his very considerable literary achievements and the momentary nobilities of which he was capable "My verdict on Frank's Memoir," he wrote, "stands until I read a better one"

A postcard accompanied this letter. Mr. Shaw suggested on it that I should persuade Sherard to let poor Oscar alone, and not rake up his vaguely remembered disgraces for the sake of throwing another handful of soot at Harris, which wouldn't whitewash Oscar The postcard concluded with an invitation to come and talk the matter over.

On the Sunday before I called on Mr Shaw, London was placarded with "OSCAR WILDE'S ARREST", for the serialization of Edward Marjoribank's Life of Lord Carson, in the Sunday Express, had just reached that important moment in Lord Carson's career. When Mr. Shaw opened our talk by expressing his regret that Wilde's follies could not be left in the oblivion which was fast covering them, I asked him what he thought of the placards announcing Oscar Wilde's arrest which were on view throughout London on the previous Sunday Mr Shaw, who had spent the week-end in the country, was taken aback I pressed the point as far as I could It was indeed more than a point, it was a complete and unassailable argument for saving Wilde from liars and sensation-mongers But in the verbal prize-ring the effect of a knock-down blow is entirely neutralized if its recipient chooses to overlook the fact that it has been delivered know," said Mr Shaw, "Harris's Wilde is far more interesting than the real Wilde"

"But, Mr Shaw, the point isn't whether Harris s Wilde is more interesting than Wilde wis. The point is whether his biography deserves to be endorsed by you as the authoritative life by which Wilde must stand or fall. You call Wilde, on the strength of Harris s picture of his last days, 'an unproductive drunkard and swindler'. If you would look through Sherard's manuscript, I am sure you would not send down this phrase uncorrected to posterity." I then gave in outline the evidence which Mr Sherard had collected from Wilde's landlord, and from Monsieur Chiappe, Prefect of the Paris Police, evidence which conclusively showed that Harris's picture was a malicious slander. Mr Shaw looked thoughtful over Monsieur Chiappe. I felt that I was getting him into a corner, but a moment later he was back in the middle of the ring, listening with courteous attention to my attack on the disgusting and ridiculous seene in which Harris saddles Wilde with his own dislike of women during pregnancy.

'Ah, yes, yes Ah, to be sure Yes, yes
No wonder, I thought, the Irish problem is insoluble

"It's not a question of whitewashing Wilde," I persisted, "or even of taking Sherard's view of him. It's simply a question of making it clear that no confidence at all is to be placed in Harris's Wilde, which on the strength of your endorsement is everywhere accepted as the true account of Wilde

"Oscar could be really charming at times

At the end of an hour I gave it up Mr Shaw escorted me out of his flat and along the passage to the lift I entered the lift, which contained three women, and as it began to descend I saw Mr Shaw smiling benignly down on me "Bernard Shaw!" the three women gasped It was an impressive moment, but it would have been more impressive to me if Mr Shaw had consented to repair the damage which he had done to the most brilliant wit and dramatist of his generation

Public men are naturally reluctant to impair their prestige by withdrawing their pronouncements. But in this instance Mr. Shaw had simply to remind the world that since giving his endorsement of Harris's Wilde he had experienced in his own person what it felt like to be the subject of a biography by Frank Harris—an experience the rigours of which, he has himself told us, he very wisely mitigated by revising Harris's Bernard Shaw before publication, and in many places entirely rewriting it

HUGH KINGSMILL.

#### INDEX

NB—As Oscar Wilde Frank Harrs and George Bernard Sha.n figure on almost every page of this book th ir names are omitted from the Index

Adey, More 223-6, 230 231 Adrentures of John Johns The 60-2 68 Æschines 137, 257 Esthetic Movement in England 83 d Alençon Emilienne 29 249-52 Alexander Sir George, 170 266, 267 300 301 Anderson Mary, 116 Argyll Duke of 153 Arnold Sir Edwin, 95 96, 58 Arnold Matthew, 125 Astor, John Jacob 284 Athenæum The 23, 108 Autobiography of Lord Alfred Douglas 11, 68 124 170, 196, 271

Ballad of a Nun 71 74
Ballad of Reading Gaol, 29 129
168 224 227 249
Balzac Honoré de 30
Baronet and the Butterfly The 13
Bath Club 179
Battersea Lord 180
Bauer Henri 243 289
Beardsley Aubrey, 138

Beckett Ernest 138 Becque Henri 97 Bel Art 59-61 Bell, Thomas H 273, 274 294 306 Bennett Arnold 93 Benson, Sir Frank 87-89 209 Berkeley Gold Medal 51 118, 162 163 Berlichingen Goetz von 149 Bernhardt Sarah 187 267 Bibi la Purée 104 Birrell, Augustin, 248 Bismarck Count von 68 Blackwood s Magazine 133, 134 Blanche Dr., 146 278 Blunt Wilfrid, 68 Bock E J, 85 Bogue David 106 107 Book of Snobs, 145 Bookman The 124, 305 Bordeaux Henri 59 Boston Evening Transcript 112 Bourget Paul 59 Bovet Madame de 241 248 British Museum 218 Browning Robert 117

Beaubourg Maurice 131 262

Brown-Potter, Mrs, 300 Buchanan, Robert, 186 Bunau-Varilla, Philippe, 290 Burton, Sir Richard, 148

Café Royal, 27, 113, 125, 176 Came, Sir Hall, 74, 265 Cape, Jonathan, 229 Captain Brassbound's Conversion, 58 Carlisle, Lord, Viceroy of Ireland, 46 Carlyle, Thomas, 148 Carmen Sylva, 133 Carrell, Frederic, 60 Carrier, Jean-Baptiste, 295, 296 Carson, Murray, 300 Casanova, 63, 69 Cassell, Petter & Galpin, 133 Catholicism, 12 Catılına, 160 Céard, Henri, 109 Cecil, Lord Edward, 141, 300 Cesarotti, Melchiorre, 43 Chabot, 64 Chapman & Hall, 74, 132 Châteaubriand, 43 Chatterton, Thomas, 41 Chatto & Windus, 107 Chiappe, M, Prefect of Police, 261, 262, 290-2, 311 Choisy, L F, 21, 85, 86 Christian, Princess, 133 Churchill, Lord Randolph, 61 Churchill, Winston, 14, 72 Clarke, Sir Edward, 96, 176, 186 Coleridge, John Duke, 150 Coleridge, Lord J, 150, 151 O'Connor, T P, 33, 128 Cook, Sir Edward Tyas, 132

Corelli, Marie, 133, 265

Cousine Bette, La, 30

Crawford, Oswald, 74, 132

Crosland, Thomas W H, 11, 61, 75, 97, 121, 124, 140, 145, 163, 285, 288

Cuffe, Hon Hamilton, 178

Curwen, Sir Thomas, 165

Daily Chronicle, 128, 129 Daily Graphic, 107 Daily News, 134 Daily Telegraph, 95, 98, 102, 129, 277 Daly, Augustin, 304 Damien, Father, 36, 243 Danton, 64 Daudet, Alphonse, 65 Davidson, John, 71, 74, 148 Davray, Henri D, 15, 19-24, 28–30, 40, 49, 73, 105, 131, 138–40, 152, 153, 172, 238, 247, 269, 302 De Profundis, 11, 12, 34, 35, 48, 52, 99, 146, 169, 201, 213, 217, 218, 226, 231 Desart, Earl of, 178 Détoisien, 237, 238 Detroit Free Press, 55 Diary, The, 93 Douglas, Lord Alfred, 17, 21, 31, 44, 62, 73, 110, 124, 145-70, 176, 183-5, 196, 201, 202, 210, 213-16, 223-6, 231, 237-41, 249, 250, 256, 257, 269-73, 278-83, 286, 298, 305, 306

Douglas, Percy, ninth Marquess

193, 198, 202

of Queensberry, 164, 165, 189,

Douglas Sholto, 150
Dowson Ernest 170 202, 203
277, 278, 301
Dreyfus Alfred, 75, 245, 252,
253 302
Dreyfus Matthieu 246 247
Drumlanry 165
Duchest of Padua, The, 116
Dunsany, Lord 72
Dupoiner, 171, 273, 283 285,
287, 288
Dvořák, 52

Edward VII of England, 141
d Églanune Fabre 64
Egout The 140
Elgee Jane Francesca (Lady
Wilde) 43
Esterhazy Count Ferdinand Wal
sin, 20 244-7, 252 253
Etudes Anglaises 33, 53
Evening News, 76, 117, 129 279

Fabulet Louis 307
Faux Bonihommes Les 97
Fersen Count 197
Five o clock Lecture 13
Figures et Caractlees, 23
Forinightly Review, 61, 68, 74, 132, 176 280
Fiance Anatole, 243
Frank Harris by Hugh Kings mill 229
Frank Harris A Study in Black and White 293
Frankau Mis (Frank Danby) 76
Frere Sir Bartle 68
Freud Siegmund 102, 103

Galsworthy John 72

Garrick Club 141
Gattie Walter Montagu 87
Gentleman with the Duster 105
Gertz Elmer, 73 293 294
Gide, André 22 37, 138-41,
171, 174, 238, 239, 270 271
285
Goethe 41 42 54

Goethe 41 43 54 Gosse Sir Edmund 28, 33 Green Carnation The, 129 Grévy Président, 284

Hackett, Francis 72

Hamilton Hamish 303
Hamilton Walter 83
Harlot's House The 117
Harmsworth Alfred 74 76
Harriette Wilson's Memoirs, 152
153
Haris Mis Nelly 15
Hawkins Sir Henry 215
Headlam Rev Stewart, 165, 193
Hearst W R 245
Heloogabalus 143
Henry O 123 124
Herder Gottfried von, 41 43
Horndge Mr Justice 159
Hugo Victor 104

Huxley Leonard 72

Ickornshaw, Viscount 147

Ideal Husband An 72

Importance of Being Earnest, The,
13 59 169 300

In Excelin 225

Intentions, 13

Isaacson Lt Col H B 208
212 213 216

d Humières Vicomte Robert 307

Humphreys Robert, 200

Jacquemaire, Madeleine Clémenceau, 7, 99, 100-2, 105, 116

James I of England, 160, 164

James II of England, 161

John Johns, 60, 68

John o' London's Weekly, 87, 89

Johnson, Dr Samuel, 42, 45, 260

Joseph of Arimathea, 138, 139

Journal, Le, 137, 243

Journal d'une Femme de Chambre,

137

Justine, 34

Jutland, Battle of, 14

Kahn, Otto, 17
Karr, Alphonse, 33
Kegan Paul & Trench, 132
King Henry V, 147, 167
Kingsmill, Hugh, 229, 230, 299, 303, 309–12
Kipling, 160

Lady Chatterley's Lover, 64, 135 Lajeunesse, Ernest, 285, 286 Landry, Adolphe, 290 Lane, John, 107 Lang, Andrew, 134 Laurie, Mrs Marjorie Werner, 60 Lawrence, Arthur, 87 Leighton, Lord, 180 Lemonnier, Léon, 22, 65, 86, 137, 237, 261, 262, 282 Leverson, Ada, 165, 166 Leverson, Ernest, 165, 193-5, 198 Lewis, Sir George, 279 Life of Guy de Maupassant, 59 Life of Lord Carson, 310 Life of Oscar Wilde, 42, 50, 52,

53, 163

Lippincott's Magazine, 123, 135
Lockwood, Sir Frank, 202, 255
London Mercury, 225
Long, John, 163
Lonsdale, Gladys, Countess of, 104
Lorrain, Jean, 242, 243, 244
Louys, Pierre, 90
Lowther, Rt Hon William, 208
Luther, Martin, 149

Macbeth, 63 Macdonald, Hector, 180-2 MacDonald, Ramsay, 192 Macpherson, Dr, 41-3, 45, 54 Maeterlinck, Maurice, 137 Maga, 134 Maistre, Xavier de, 295 Mallarmé, Stéphane, 242 Marie Claire, 137 Marius, the Epicurean, 86 Marjoribanks, Edward, 179, 310 Marks, Sir Harry, 196 Martin Chuzzlewit, 24 Martin, Thomas, 129, 132, 221, Mason, Stuart, 84, 115, 117, 130 Mathews, Elkin, 107 Matin, Le, 25 Matthews, Charles, 186 Mattos, Texeira de, 64 Maupassant, Guy de, 59-61, 68, 146, 265, 266, 278, 290 Maurevert, Georges, 262 Maurois, Andrê, 32, 53, 110, 138 Mellor, Harold, 95, 261, 269, 280, 281, 301 Memories of Oscar Wilde, 53, 176 Mercure de France, 19, 21, 23, 28, 29, 32, 53, 110, 174, 262

Meredith George 140 141. 211, 212 Merrill Stuart -11 Methuen & Co., 201 Mejerfeld Dr Max 99 226 Milton, John 148 Mirbeau Octave, 137 Moder" Erglish Literature, "8 Montaigne, 190 Moo e, George, 265 Morles John 13. Moris Sir Levus 247 Mr and Mrs Daventry 57, 173 271,27° 274 294 298 et seg My Life and Lores 63 69 70 71 97ء

Napoleon Bonaparte, 41, 43
255
Narcissus 138-40
Natier The (New York), 112
Nelson Major 215, 217, 221, 224
Acther ole Olga 300
Nevill Lady Dorothy 278
New College, Oxford 50
Newcastle Duke of 140-2
Newman Ernest, 77
New Preface 38 44 110, 741,

Nicholas Nickleby 214, 215 Nicolson Harold 145 Nireteenth Certury 115 132 Nouvelle Revue Critique 2.

Œdipus Rex, 137 Oscar of Sweden 4-Oscar Wilde and Myself 11 124 145, 163 288 Oscar Wilde In Mersonam 238 Oscar Wilde la Tragédie Finale 29 154 302 Oscar Wilde Three Times Tried, 143 Osgood Itene 300 Ossar 4° 43 45 Ouda 133 Osr Best 50

Our Boys 59 Pall Mall Gazette 32 96 114 128 131 Paradise Lest 148 Pater Walter Horatio 44 78 81 83-, 1 4 136 141 -52 Paters Einfass auf Oscar Wilde 85 Paul Madame Herman, 105 Perma & Cie , 🤚 Pickwick 84 Pecture of Dorsan Gray The 85, 123 1 9, 132 135 136 137, 154 Plarr Victor 277 Poe, Edgar Allan, 157 Poers by Oscar Wilde 106 107, Portrast of Mr W H, The 133 Prosecutor, Public 175-9 Puhtzer, Joseph 275

Quaritch, Bernard 117 Quariterly Review, 128 Queensberry, eighth Marquess of 14 90 91, 95-7 1-9 133 143 155, 174-6 179-81 Queensberry Sybil Marchioness of 150, 167 270 Quincey de 135

Purser Louis Claude 50 52

Raffalovitch André 108

Ravenna, 81 Real Oscar Wilde, The, 83, 89, 143, 210-13, 248 Régnier, Henri de, 23, 38, 173, 209, 262, 263 Rehan, Ada, 300, 302-5 Renan, Ernest, 81, 148 Renier, G J, Ph D, 22 Retz, Gilles de, 34 Reynolds's Newspaper, 227 Richardson, Arthur and Hope, 291 Ridley, Sir Matthew White, 183, 206, 208, 215 Robertson, W Graham, 303, 304 Robespierre, 295, 296 Rodd, Lord Rennell of, 121 Roosevelt, Blanche, 133 Ross, Robert Baldwin, 11, 76, 99, 127-53, 156, 162-4, 171, 213, 217, 218, 220, 222, 226, 231, 232, 239, 241, 246, 251, 254-9, 270, 273, 274, 282, 303, 306 Rostand, Edmond, 268 Royal Corinthian Yacht Club, 196, 197 Ruggles-Brise, Sir Evelyn, 206-Russell, Lord, 192

Sade, Marquis de, 34, 281
St Anthony of Padua, 225
St Heher, Lady, 104, 121, 122,
133
St James's Gazette, 129
Saint Joan, 56
Salisbury, Marquis of, 92
Salomé, 129, 187, 267

Saturday Review, 73, 75, 108, 109, 134, 191, 220, 223, 225, 227, 251 Scheurer-Kestner, 246, 247 Scholl, Aurélien, 33 Schreiner, Olive, 133 Schwob, Marcel, 243 Secker, Martin, 225 Sedger, Horace, 300 Shakespeare, 13, 158, 159, 295 Shelley, Percy Bysshe, 135 Sinclair, Upton, 23, 38, 173, 263 Smith, W H, & Son, 191 Smithers, Leonard, 227, 270, 277, 300, 301, 302 Socrates, 13, 188, 213 Sophocles, 137 Speranza (Lady Wilde), 43, 86 Sphynx, The, 116, 279 Stead, William Thomas, 123 Stimmen der Voelker, 43 Story of an Unhappy Friendship, 65, 89, 93, 102, 113, 119, 147, 171, 180, 190, 222, 239 Strong, Rowland, 245 Sullivan, Sir Edward, 79 O'Sullivan, Vincent, 145 Sunday Express, 310 Swinburne, Charles Algernon, 128, 141 Symons, Arthur, 265, 278 Symons, A J A, 202

Talleyrand, 43 Terry, Ellen, 58, 92 Thaulow, 65 Théry, Maître José, 25–8, 30, 31, 38, 39, 173, 262, 263, 294, 296 Thompson, Henry Yates, 131 Times, The 23 52, 129
Tire Was, 303, 304
Toussant I Ouverture 16
Travers Miss 46 47
Tree, Beerbohm, 300
Trinity College Dublin, 47, 49
50 51 79
Truth 115 129 144
Turner Reginald, 23, 239, 273, 283 306 307
Thenty Lears in Paris 52, 224
Tyrrell, R V, 47-50, 52, 54

Valentia, Lord 84 Verestchagin, 40 Verlaine 74, 148 Vernon Madeleine, 19, 21, 28 Vivian, Herbert, 248

Walpole, Horace, 41
Weininger 163
Werthers Leiden 43
Whistler, James, 13 112-15,
128 129 143
Whitehall Review 128
Who s Who 30

Wilde Constance 102, 114 118-22, 126, 127 168 169 204 205 265 269 280 Wilde Lady, 41 42 46-8, 117, 198 221 Wilde Sir William 46 47 49 Wilde Willy, 48, 65 110 132 190 195 199 200 Wills Mr Justice, 202, 203, 215 Winter Mrs John Strange, 240 248 Woman of No Importance A 140 300 Woman's World 133 Wordsworth William 16, 151 **188** World, The, 111 113 114 129, 132

Yates Edmund, 111, 112, 113, 132
Young J Stuart 18
Zola, Émile 75 81

Wyndham, Sir Charles 301